

First District



Gloria Molina

Second District



Yvonne B. Burke

Third District



Zev Yaroslavsky

Fourth District



Don Knabe

Fifth District



Michael D. Antonovich



Adobe Acrobat Reader 5.0

Finding Words

You can use the Find command to find a complete word or part of a word in the current PDF document. Acrobat Reader looks for the word by reading every word on every page in the file, including text in form fields.

To find a word using the Find command:

- 1. Click the Find button (**Binoculars**), or choose Edit > Find.
- 2. Enter the text to find in the text box.
- 3. Select search options if necessary:
 - Match Whole Word Only finds only occurrences of the complete word you enter in the box. For example, if you search for the word *stick*, the words *tick* and *sticky* will not be highlighted.
 - Match Case finds only words that contain exactly the same capitalization you enter in the box.
 - Find Backwards starts the search from the current page and goes backwards through the document.
- 4. Click Find. Acrobat Reader finds the next occurrence of the word.

To find the next occurrence of the word:

Do one of the following:

Choose Edit > Find Again

Reopen the find dialog box, and click Find Again. (The word must already be in the Find text box.)

Copying and pasting text and graphics to another application

You can select text or a graphic in a PDF document, copy it to the Clipboard, and paste it into another application such as a word processor. You can also paste text into a PDF document note or into a bookmark. Once the selected text or graphic is on the Clipboard, you can switch to another application and paste it into another document.

Note: If a font copied from a PDF document is not available on the system displaying the copied text, the font cannot be preserved. A default font is substituted.

To select and copy it to the clipboard:

1. Select the text tool T, and do one of the following:

To select a line of text, select the first letter of the sentence or phrase and drag to the last letter.

To select multiple columns of text (horizontally), hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option (Mac OS) as you drag across the width of the document.

To select a column of text (vertically), Hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option+Command (Mac OS) as you drag the length of the document.

To select all the text on the page, choose Edit > Select All. In single page mode, all the text on the current page is selected. In Continuous or Continuous – facing mode, most of the text in the document is selected. When you release the mouse button, the selected text is highlighted. To deselect the text and start over, click anywhere outside the selected text. The Select All command will not select all the text in the document. A workaround for this (Windows) is to use the Edit > Copy command.

- 2. Choose Edit > Copy to copy the selected text to the clipboard.
- 3. To view the text, choose Window > Show Clipboard
 In Windows 95, the Clipboard Viewer is not installed by default and you cannot use the
 Show Clipboard command until it is installed. To install the Clipboard Viewer, Choose
 Start > Settings > Control Panel > Add/Remove Programs, and then click the Windows
 Setup tab. Double-click Accessories, check Clipboard Viewer, and click OK.



1	2004-05 Budget Deliberations, June 21, 2004
2	
3	
4	SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WE'LL GO AHEAD AND BEGIN THE MEETING.
5	APOLOGIZE FOR THE SHORT DELAY. A NUMBER OF US WERE OUT AT THE
6	FUNERAL FOR DEPUTY SHERIFF ARUDA AND WE JUST GOT BACK SO
7	LET'S CALL UPON THE C.A.O. FOR HIS PRESENTATION. DAVID?
8	
9	C.A.O. JANSSEN: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR. THERE IS AN AGENDA
10	POSTED TODAY FOR THE BUDGET DELIBERATIONS. THERE ARE 21 ITEMS
11	AND I WILL TRY JUST TO WALK YOU STRAIGHT THROUGH THE AGENDA
12	ITEM BY ITEM, IF THAT WOULD BE THE EASIEST WAY TO DO IT. AND
13	WE HAVE SET UP A TRACKING SYSTEM ALSO THAT WILL SHOW ON THE
14	SCREEN, AND I THINK ALSO ON YOUR COMPUTERS, AS WE GO THROUGH
15	SOME OF THE DETAILS OF ITEM NUMBER 5.
16	
17	SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OF 5.
18	
19	C.A.O. JANSSEN: UNDER ITEM NUMBER 1, UPDATE ON THE STATUS OF
20	THE BUDGET, I JUST WANT TO MAKE A COUPLE OF COMMENTS, REALLY,
21	AND THEY RELATE PRIMARILY TO MY CHANGE LETTER, WHICH IS ITEM
22	NUMBER 4. FIRST OF ALL, WITH RESPECT TO THE STATE. WE STILL
23	ARE OPTIMISTIC THAT THE STATE WILL COMPLETE ITS BUDGET PROCESS
24	BY JULY THE 1ST. I THINK THEY HAVE EVERY INTENT TO DO SO. THEY
25	HAVE CERTAINLY A FEW ISSUES THAT THEY HAVE TO DEAL WITH. THERE



- 1 ARE A NUMBER THAT AFFECT US BUT THE MOST IMPORTANT ONE IS THE
- 2 LOCAL GOVERNMENT DEAL THAT THE LEAGUE AND SPECIAL DISTRICTS,
- 3 REDEVELOPMENT AGENCIES AND COUNTIES REACHED WITH THE GOVERNOR
- 4 THAT REDUCED THE -- PROPOSED TO REDUCE L.A. COUNTY'S LOSS FROM
- 5 \$289 MILLION TO \$103 MILLION AND TO MAKE THAT LOSS TEMPORARY
- 6 FOR TWO FISCAL YEARS. PART OF THE DEAL IN THE THIRD YEAR WAS
- 7 THAT THE STATE WOULD RETURN ADDITIONAL PROPERTY TAX TO LOCAL
- 8 GOVERNMENT. THE GOVERNOR WOULD SUPPORT AN INITIATIVE ON THE
- 9 FALL BALLOT OF THIS YEAR THAT WOULD PROVIDE MANDATE RELIEF,
- 10 PROVIDE PROTECTION TO LOCAL REVENUES, AND WOULD SWAP PROPERTY
- 11 TAX WITH VEHICLE LICENSE FEES. NOW, THAT ISSUE HAS NOT BEEN
- 12 RESOLVED IN THE LEGISLATURE. THERE ARE A COUPLE OF ISSUES THAT
- 13 WE'RE AWARE OF THAT PEOPLE ARE STILL STRUGGLING WITH, NEITHER
- 14 OF WHICH I THINK ARE CRITICAL TO COUNTIES, THEY ARE MUCH MORE
- 15 CRITICAL TO CITIES. BUT WE ARE, IN THE BUDGET BEFORE YOU
- 16 TODAY, PROPOSING, ASKING YOU TO ALLOCATE \$103 MILLION TO PAY
- 17 THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR WHAT WE WILL OWE THEM NEXT YEAR IF
- 18 THE DEAL IS APPROVED BY THE LEGISLATURE. AND I AM CONFIDENT,
- 19 WITH RESPECT TO THE DOLLARS IN ANY EVENT, THAT THE 103 MILLION
- 20 IS WHAT WE WILL BE LOOKING AT FOR THE STATE. WITH RESPECT TO
- 21 OUR OWN BUDGET, IN THE APRIL PROPOSED BUDGET, WE MADE, I
- 22 THINK, ABOUT \$267 MILLION WORTH OF REDUCTIONS THROUGHOUT THE
- 23 BUDGET, INCLUDING THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT. SINCE THAT TIME,
- 24 PROPERTY TAX REVENUES HAVE IMPROVED BY ABOUT \$40 MILLION FOR
- 25 NEXT YEAR, SO WE'RE REVISING OUR ESTIMATE UP AND DEED TRANSFER



- 1 TAXES ARE-- OR DEED TRANSFER FEES ARE UP. SO THERE'S \$45
- 2 MILLION OF NET COUNTY COST, NEW MONEY AVAILABLE FOR
- 3 DELIBERATIONS TODAY THAT WE DID NOT HAVE IN APRIL. THERE ARE
- 4 OTHER CHANGES THAT WE ARE PROPOSING IN THE BUDGET, UNDER ITEM
- 5 NUMBER 4. THE TOTAL CHANGE TO THE BUDGET IS \$140-- \$146.3
- 6 MILLION BUT, AGAIN, THE 45 MILLION OF THAT IS NET COUNTY COST
- 7 AND WE CAN COVER THE DETAIL OF THAT WHEN WE GO TO ITEM NUMBER
- 8 4. THAT COMPLETES MY OVERVIEW. I'D BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY
- 9 OVERVIEW QUESTIONS THAT YOU HAVE OR WE CAN GO TO ITEM NUMBER
- 10 2.

11

- 12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: DAVID, JUST ONE QUICK QUESTION. YOU
- 13 INDICATED, ON THOSE ITEMS THAT STILL CONTINUE THAT PEOPLE HAVE
- 14 SOME HEARTBURN ABOUT, ARE MORE CRITICAL TO CITIES THAN THEY
- 15 ARE TO COUNTIES BUT, IF THEY'RE SUCCESSFUL IN DEALING WITH
- 16 THEIR ISSUES, WOULD IT IMPACT COUNTIES?

- 18 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I DON'T BELIEVE IT IS. ONE OF THE CRITICAL
- 19 ISSUES, AND WE CAN TALK ABOUT THIS TOMORROW, I THINK THERE'S
- 20 AN ITEM ON THE AGENDA TOMORROW, IS THE SWAP OF SALES TAX FOR
- 21 PROPERTY TAX. THERE ARE A NUMBER OF CITIES IN CALIFORNIA THAT
- 22 ARE VERY MUCH OPPOSED TO THAT. THE PEOPLE, THE ADVOCATES ARE
- 23 SAYING IT DEALS WITH FISCALIZATION OF LAND USE. WE ONLY HAVE
- 24 ABOUT \$30 MILLION OF SALES TAX IN OUR 17-BILLION-DOLLAR
- 25 BUDGET, SO WHETHER THEY SWAP OR NOT IS REALLY NOT GOING TO



- 1 IMPACT US. THE ALTERNATIVE THAT'S BEING PROPOSED BY THE
- 2 LEGISLATIVE ANALYST IS TO PROTECT THE GROSS ALLOCATION OF
- 3 LOCAL REVENUES RATHER THAN THE SPECIFIC FUNDING SOURCES. AS
- 4 LONG AS IT'S COUNTY-SPECIFIC, CITY-SPECIFIC, WE'RE OKAY. IT
- 5 REALLY IS AN ISSUE FOR CITIES.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS? IF NOT, WE'LL
- 8 MOVE TO ITEM NUMBER 2.

9

- 10 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM NUMBER 2 IS A REQUEST TO RECEIVE AND FILE
- 11 THE ATTACHED REPORT AND IT CONTAINS ALL THE ISSUES THAT WERE
- 12 RAISED AT THE BUDGET HEARINGS AND PUBLIC, BY THE PUBLIC, BY
- 13 COUNTY DEPARTMENTS, MANY DEALING WITH LIBRARY, SHERIFF'S
- 14 BUDGET, HEALTH BUDGET, A.I.D.S., DISTRICT ATTORNEY, ET CETERA.
- 15 THIS IS JUST, TECHNICALLY, JUST TO RECEIVE AND FILE.

16

17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO ORDERED. ITEM 3.

18

- 19 C.A.O. JANSSEN: OKAY. ITEM NUMBER 3, COUNTY COUNSEL LITIGATION
- 20 COST MANAGERS ANNUAL REPORT OF PROJECTED LEGAL COST SAVINGS.
- 21 THAT DOCUMENT HAS BEEN PREPARED BY MR. FORTNER'S STAFF. YOU
- 22 HAVE IT BEFORE YOU. THE SUBSTANCE OF THE REPORT, I THINK, IS
- 23 MR. NEAGLE IS SAYING THAT IT IS...



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: I'D LIKE TO ASK THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED FOR A
- 2 COUPLE OF WEEKS. IT DOESN'T NEED TO BE PART OF THE BUDGET.

3

4 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THAT'S FINE. THAT IS ABSOLUTELY FINE.

5

- 6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WE WILL-- WITHOUT ANY OBJECTION THEN, WE
- 7 WILL CONTINUE ITEM NUMBER 3 TWO WEEKS?

8

9 SUP. MOLINA: THAT WOULD BE FINE.

10

11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: FOR TWO WEEKS.

- 13 C.A.O. JANSSEN: OKAY. THANK YOU. ITEM NUMBER 4 ARE MY
- 14 RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS TO THE PROPOSED BUDGET. AS I
- 15 INDICATED, THE TOTAL IS \$146 MILLION, 26 MILLION IN CARRYOVER
- 16 FUNDS FROM THIS YEAR. THE CRITICAL ITEM PROBABLY IS TWOFOLD:
- 17 ONE, THERE ARE CURTAILMENT RESTORATIONS OF \$22 MILLION AND
- 18 THERE IS AN ADDITIONAL 15.9 MILLION IN P.F.U. THAT'S AVAILABLE
- 19 FOR THE BOARD TO ALLOCATE; ACTUALLY, THE ENTIRE 45 MILLION IS
- 20 AVAILABLE TO THE BOARD TO ALLOCATE. THERE ARE 20 PAGES OF
- 21 RECOMMENDATIONS; MOST OF THEM HAVE TO DO WITH EITHER CARRYOVER
- 22 OR NEW REVENUE SOURCES, OTHER CHANGES, HEALTH DEPARTMENT
- 23 CHANGES. ON PAGE NUMBER-- LET ME ASK YOU TO GO TO PAGE NUMBER
- 24 5, BOTTOM OF PAGE 4, RESTORATIONS FROM THE '04/'05 PROPOSED
- 25 BUDGET, \$22.1 MILLION. AS I INDICATED, WE HAVE ADDITIONAL



- 1 REVENUE AVAILABLE. I AM RECOMMENDING THAT YOU RESTORE TWO AND
- 2 A HALF MILLION DOLLARS TO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY, \$400,000 TO
- 3 THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION, 300,000 TO PUBLIC
- 4 DEFENDER, 8.9 MILLION TO PUBLIC LIBRARIES; AND TO RESTORE \$10
- 5 MILLION TO THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. AND LET ME SAY ON THE
- 6 LIBRARY, SINCE THE LAST TWO BUDGETS THAT WE HAVE DONE, THE
- 7 LIBRARY HAS BEEN REDUCED AS PART OF THE PROPOSED BUDGET AND
- 8 YOU HAVE FUNDED IT EACH YEAR WITH ONE-TIME REVENUES. I'M
- 9 RECOMMENDING THIS TIME THAT YOU USE GENERAL FUND TO RESTORE
- 10 THE REDUCTION-- PROPOSED REDUCTION ON THE LIBRARY SO YOU WON'T
- 11 HAVE TO DO THIS EVERY YEAR, BARRING FUTURE BUDGET PROBLEMS,
- 12 WOULDN'T HAVE TO DO THAT EVERY YEAR. THAT WOULD LEAVE, AS I
- 13 SAID, 15-- I THINK THE-- 15-- I DON'T WANT TO USE 16 AS
- 14 ROUNDING-- \$15.968 MILLION UNDER ITEM NUMBER 5. LET ME SAY
- 15 ALSO BECAUSE...

16

- 17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WHAT WAS THAT LAST ITEM, DAVID, YOU'RE
- 18 REFERRING TO?

19

20 C.A.O. JANSSEN: EXCUSE ME?

21

- 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WHAT WAS THE LAST ITEM YOU WERE REFERRING
- 23 TO, DID YOU SAY?



- C.A.O. JANSSEN: THE 15.68-- EXCUSE ME, \$15,968,000 IS WHAT'S
- 2 NOT ALLOCATED UNDER THE PROPOSED CHANGE FOR ITEM NUMBER 5, THE
- 3 NEXT ITEM.

4

5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY.

6

- 7 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THERE ARE OTHER CHANGES IN HERE THAT ARE
- 8 ADDRESSED IN LATTER REPORTS BEFORE YOU. ONE HAS TO DO WITH
- 9 CODE ENFORCEMENT THAT WAS A BOARD REFERRAL, ANOTHER TO THE
- 10 TRANSPORTATION OF JUVENILES AS FELONS, AS ADULTS. THAT'S
- 11 ADDRESSED ALSO IN THE CHANGE LETTER. I WOULD BE HAPPY TO GO
- 12 THROUGH THIS IN ANY FASHION YOU WOULD LIKE, MR. CHAIRMAN. THE
- 13 RECOMMENDATION IS THAT, OBVIOUSLY, THAT YOU ACCEPT THEM, BUT
- 14 I'LL DO WHAT YOU WANT TO DO ON THIS ITEM. NUMBER 4.

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ON ITEM NUMBER, WHICH IS AN ACTION ITEM.
- 17 ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS AT THIS POINT? BEFORE WE GET TO ITEM 5
- 18 THERE?

19

20 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WE CAN ALWAYS COME BACK. I MEAN, IT'S...

21

22 MALE VOICE: WHY DON'T YOU HOLD THIS [INAUDIBLE]

23

24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YEAH, THAT'S FINE.



1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YEAH. NO, JUST HOLD IT ON...

2

3 **SPEAKER:** [INAUDIBLE].

4

- 5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. WE ARE GOING TO HOLD ITEM 4, THEN,
- 6 UNTIL LATER TODAY, I GUESS, UNLESS... AND THEN ITEM 5 IS
- 7 BEFORE US.

8

- 9 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ALL RIGHT. ITEM NUMBER 5 ON THE AGENDA IS
- 10 ASKING THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO ORDER SUCH REVISIONS,
- 11 ADDITIONS, OR CHANGES TO THE BUDGET THAT'S DEEMED NECESSARY.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN I-- MR. CHAIRMAN-- HANG ON A SEC. CAN I
- 14 GO BACK TO 4 FOR A SECOND? I WOULD LIKE TO ASK ONE QUESTION AS
- 15 IT RELATES TO-- AT LEAST ONE FOR NOW, ON THE LIBRARIES. COULD
- 16 YOU JUST EXPLAIN WHAT YOU'VE DONE IN THE LIBRARY DEPARTMENT?

17

18 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES. LET ME FIND...

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: COMPARE IT TO WHAT WE DID LAST YEAR WHEN WE
- 21 APPROPRIATED \$7 MILLION MORE.

- 23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, I THINK THE BIG ISSUE WAS-- HE
- 24 INDICATED, IT WAS-- BEFORE, IT WAS ONE-TIME FUNDING. THIS TIME



- 1 HE PROPOSED A BACKFILL THROUGH GENERAL FUNDS. IS THAT CORRECT,
- 2 DAVID?

3

- 4 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES. I WANT TO FIND THE-- WHAT PAGE THE
- 5 LIBRARY IS ON. ALL RIGHT. IF YOU GO TO ATTACHMENT NUMBER 5,
- 6 WHICH IS AT THE VERY BACK OF ITEM NUMBER 4, ON PAGE-- NO,
- 7 STRIKE THAT. IT'S ATTACHMENT NUMBER 4, PAGE 2. IT'S ABOUT FIVE
- 8 PAGES FROM THE BACK. THE 7 POINT-- THE FIRST...

9

10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT PAGE?

11

- 12 C.A.O. JANSSEN: PAGE 2, ATTACHMENT 4, OKAY? THE FIRST ITEM IS
- 13 7.624 MILLION DOLLARS. THAT IS, ESSENTIALLY, THE AMOUNT OF
- 14 MONEY THAT THE BOARD HAS BACKFILLED FOR THE LAST TWO BUDGET
- 15 YEARS WITH ONE-TIME MONEY. IT IS-- THE COUNTY, I THINK,
- 16 CONTRIBUTES ABOUT \$14 MILLION OF GENERAL FUND TO DEAL WITH
- 17 BUDGET ISSUES OVER THE LAST TWO YEARS. I'VE RECOMMENDED
- 18 CUTTING ABOUT \$7.3 MILLION OUT OF THE BUDGET. THE BUDGET HAS
- 19 RESTORED THE MONEY EACH YEAR WITH ONE-TIME. WE'RE PROPOSING,
- 20 IN CHANGE LETTER, TO ALLOCATE THE 7.264 MILLION TO THE LIBRARY
- 21 FROM PROPERTY TAXES, WHICH IS AN ONGOING REVENUE, SO THAT THE
- 22 BOARD WON'T, NEXT YEAR, HAVE TO DEAL WITH THIS AGAIN.

23

24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO THAT ACTION ALONE PUTS THEM...



1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: MAKES THEM WHOLE

2

- 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ...WHERE WE WERE-- WHAT MAKES THEM WHOLE,
- 4 WHOLE DEFINED AS WHAT THEY GOT FROM US LAST YEAR AFTER WE
- 5 APPROPRIATED THE \$7 MILLION?

6

7 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES. THAT IS CORRECT. ALL RIGHT.

8

9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND WHAT ARE ALL THESE OTHER ADDITIONS?

10

- 11 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THEN-- WELL, THE NEXT ITEM, AS YOU MAY OR MAY
- 12 NOT REMEMBER, THE STATE PUBLIC LIBRARY FOUNDATION, WHICH IS
- 13 STATE FUNDING TO THE LIBRARIES, IS CUT EVERY YEAR, AND WE
- 14 DON'T HAVE ANY ANTICIPATION THAT THE STATE WILL START
- 15 RESTORING FUNDS SINCE, IN THREE YEARS, THEY'RE GOING TO HAVE
- 16 ABOUT A SEVEN OR EIGHT BILLION DOLLAR SHORTFALL. SO I AM
- 17 RECOMMENDING THAT WE MAKE THEM WHOLE IN THIS AREA AS WELL,
- 18 WITH PROPERTY TAX ALSO.

19

20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO THE...

21

- 22 C.A.O. JANSSEN: 1.572 IS A STATE CUT OF PUBLIC LIBRARY FUNDS
- 23 THAT WE RECEIVE AND HAVE RECEIVED AND WILL NO LONGER RECEIVE.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO YOU'RE RECOMMENDING ITEMS ONE AND TWO,
- 2 NOT 3, 4, OR 5?

3

- 4 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO, THEY'RE ALL PART OF OUR RECOMMENDATIONS
- 5 BUT THOSE TWO ARE-- THE NEXT ONE IS A FUND BALANCE CARRYOVER,
- 6 BUT THOSE TWO ARE THE BIGGIES. WE'RE PROPOSING TO MAKE THE
- 7 LIBRARIES WHOLE HERE WITH PROPERTY TAX IN THOSE TWO ITEMS.

8

9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT. GO AHEAD.

10

- 11 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ALL RIGHT. ITEM NUMBER 3 IS A CARRYOVER. NOW,
- 12 WE'RE TALKING ABOUT HOW MUCH MONEY IS THE LIBRARY GOING TO
- 13 HAVE LEFT OVER AT THE END OF THIS YEAR AND THIS HAS BEEN AN
- 14 ISSUE FOR THE LAST COUPLE OF BUDGET DISCUSSIONS AS WELL, AS
- 15 WE'VE LOOKED AT REDUCTIONS IN LIBRARIES. THE FUND BALANCE
- 16 TENDS TO COME IN HIGHER THAN THEY HAVE PROJECTED AND I THINK
- 17 THE BOARD HAS DIRECTED US THAT ANY AMOUNT OVER EXCESS FUND
- 18 BALANCE NEEDS TO COME BACK TO THE BOARD. THIS IS A CARRYOVER
- 19 OF \$1.5 MILLION THAT THE LIBRARY IS TELLING US IS NEEDED FOR
- 20 MAINTENANCE AND BOOKS AND MATERIALS, PURCHASES AT VARIOUS
- 21 LIBRARIES. IT'S A ONE-TIME REVENUE FOR A ONE-TIME EXPENDITURE.
- 22 THEIR TOTAL FUND BALANCE, AS I RECALL, IS GOING TO BE ABOUT
- 23 \$5.1 MILLION. THIS IS PART OF THAT. IS THAT RIGHT?

24

25 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHILE YOU'RE TALKING, COULD WE HAVE THE...

1

7

10

12

15

18

20

23

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



C.A.O. JANSSEN: MARGARET? 2 3 4

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MARGARET COME UP SO I CAN ASK HER A COUPLE

- 5 OUESTIONS? WHAT IS YOUR TOTAL BOOK-- BOOKS AND MATERIALS
- BUDGET IN THE LIBRARY DEPARTMENT BUDGET? 6

8 MARGARET TODD: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD,

- FOR NEXT YEAR, WE'RE BUDGETING...
- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO. THIS YEAR IS WHAT...
- 13 MARGARET TODD: OH. IT'S PROBABLY, AT THIS POINT, AT ABOUT FIVE
- MILLION. I CAN CHECK AND GET YOU THE EXACT NUMBER. 14
- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: FIVE MILLION. AND THEN PART OF THAT FIVE
- MILLION WAS FUNDED BY THE STATE THROUGH THAT... 17
- 19 MARGARET TODD: YES.
- SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHICH IS NOW BEING REPLACED BY WHAT MR. 21
- 22 JANSSEN WAS JUST TALKING ABOUT. CORRECT?
- MARGARET TODD: CORRECT. LAST YEAR, YOU ALLOWED ME TO USE SOME 24
- EXCESS FUNDS BALANCE TO MAKE UP THE 1.6. 25



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: RIGHT. I UNDERSTAND. ALL RIGHT. SO YOU HAVE
- 3 A FIVE-MILLION-DOLLAR BOOKS AND MATERIALS BUDGET AND THIS FUND
- 4 BALANCE ADJUSTMENT, HOW MUCH OF IT'S GOING TO BE USED FOR
- 5 BOOKS AND MATERIALS?

6

- 7 MARGARET TODD: I WOULD LIKE TO USE ALL OF IT FOR BOOKS AND
- 8 MATERIALS. SUPERVISOR, AS I THINK YOU'RE AWARE, WE SHOULD BE
- 9 AT ABOUT \$16 MILLION IF WE WERE SORT OF WHERE LARGE URBAN
- 10 LIBRARIES SHOULD BE PER YEAR. SO WE'RE SO FAR BELOW THAT AT
- 11 THIS POINT, ANY TIME I CAN GET EXTRA MONEY TO PUT INTO
- 12 MATERIALS, THAT'S WHERE I WANT TO PUT IT.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT WERE THESE OTHER IMPROVEMENTS THAT--
- 15 MAINTENANCE THAT YOU WERE REFERRING TO?

16

- 17 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YEAH. WE REFERRED TO SOME ROUTINE MAINTENANCE,
- 18 PROJECTS.

- 20 MARGARET TODD: WE'VE GOT JUST SOME ROUTINE MAINTENANCE THINGS.
- 21 AS YOU KNOW, MANY OF OUR FACILITIES ARE IN-- I GUESS, FROM A
- 22 SAFETY STANDPOINT, THEY'RE IN ACCEPTABLE CONDITION BUT WE HAVE
- 23 A LONG LIST OF THINGS WE'D LIKE TO DO, AND SO WE KNOW WE HAVE
- 24 A FEW WE DIDN'T GET DONE THIS YEAR THAT WE'D LIKE TO BE ABLE
- 25 TO DO. RIGHT NOW, TO BE HONEST WITH YOU, I'M SORT OF HOLDING

7

9

15

17

20

22

24



- 1 MY BREATH ON THE STATE, AND I WOULD LIKE TO COMMIT IT ALL TO
- 2 MATERIALS, BUT I REALLY, PROBABLY WILL WAIT UNTIL THAT
- 3 BUDGET'S SIGNED TO SEE WHERE I AM. I'M NOT-- I BELIEVE THE
- 4 REMAINING 1.6 MILLION WE HAVE IN PUBLIC LIBRARY FUND MONEY
- 5 FROM THE STATE WILL STAY IN THAT BUDGET AND COME TO US. NOT
- 6 SURE, THOUGH, UNTIL THE GOVERNOR SIGNS THAT BUDGET.
- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT THE 1.572?
- 10 MARGARET TODD: I HAVE-- I LOST 1.572 LAST YEAR, AND I'VE GOT
- 11 ABOUT 1.6 MILLION STILL COMING FROM THE STATE. IT WAS AT ABOUT
- 12 3.2 THE BEGINNING OF LAST YEAR. SO I'VE STILL GOT 1.6 THAT'S
- 13 SUPPOSED TO COME. IT'S IN THE DEAL. I THINK THEY'RE GOING TO
- 14 REMOVE ABOUT 144,000 IF THE...
- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT THE 1.572 IS NOT IN THE DEAL?
- 18 MARGARET TODD: NO, THAT'S LAST YEAR'S CURRENT CUT IN PUBLIC
- 19 LIBRARY FUND.
- 21 C.A.O. JANSSEN: CURRENT YEAR, '03/'04.
- 23 MARGARET TODD: OH, I'M SORRY.
- 25 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CURRENT YEAR, RIGHT.



1

2 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THAT'S OKAY.

3

4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT. THANK-- GO AHEAD, DAVID.

5

- 6 C.A.O. JANSSEN: SO THE NEXT ITEM IS GENERAL COUNTY OVERHEAD.
- 7 THIS IS A TECHNICAL SWAPPING, IF YOU WILL, OF REVENUES THE
- 8 AUDITOR WANTS US TO BILL FOR OVERHEAD. SO WE ADD MONEY AND WE
- 9 TAKE IT BACK IN ANOTHER PART OF THE BUDGET, SO IT'S REALLY A
- 10 NO-NET CHANGE IN THEIR BUDGET. ITEM NUMBER 5, \$154,000, IS A
- 11 CARRYOVER OF UNSPENT FUNDS, 103,000 FROM THE PRODUCTIVITY
- 12 INVESTMENT SERVICES, AND 51,000 FROM CALIFORNIA LIBRARY.
- 13 THAT'S NOT AN ADDITION TO THEIR BUDGET, EITHER. AND THEN THE
- 14 LAST ITEM IS-- 181,000 IS JUST PART OF THEIR RETIREMENT
- 15 BUYDOWN PROGRAM. THEY HAVE TO COME UP WITH THE MONEY TO FUND
- 16 THEIR PORTION OF THE RETIREMENT BUYDOWN.

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THE TOTAL BUDGET OF THE LIBRARY DEPARTMENT
- 19 IS HOW MUCH?

20

- 21 MARGARET TODD: UMM... I THINK I'LL LET DAVID LOOK AT THAT,
- 22 LOOK AT THAT NUMBER. I WAS GOING TO SAY, I THINK IT'S ABOUT 94
- 23 THIS YEAR WITH THE 7.2.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: RIGHT. THAT'S ALL I HAVE ON THE LIBRARIES.
- 2 THANK YOU.

3

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?

5

6 C.A.O. JANSSEN: HANG ON A MINUTE. LET ME ANSWER THAT QUESTION.

7

- 8 MARGARET TODD: YEAH, I'M SORRY. I DIDN'T BRING MY BUDGET BOOK
- 9 UP WITH ME. I SHOULD HAVE.

10

- 11 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THE BUDGET FOR THE LIBRARY IS \$85 MILLION AND
- 12 IT WILL GO UP BY THE AMOUNT THAT WE'RE RECOMMENDING, SO IT
- 13 WILL BE ABOUT 94 MILLION.

14

15 MARGARET TODD: YEAH.

16

17 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THE BUDGET IS 84, 85 MILLION. OKAY. ITEM...

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S ALL I HAVE ON THE LIBRARIES, BUT I
- 20 WOULD STILL ASK THAT WE HOLD 4 IN CASE THERE ARE OTHER
- 21 QUESTIONS THAT COME UP ON OTHER DEPARTMENTS AS WE GO ALONG. IS
- 22 THAT OKAY?

- 24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. FINE. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS ON ITEM
- 25 NUMBER 4? OKAY. THEN WE'LL MOVE TO PUT 5 TO THE SIDE-- I MEAN,



- 1 4 TO THE SIDE FOR RIGHT NOW. FIVE, WE HAVE SOME ADDITIONS AND
- 2 CHANGES, SOME BOARD MOTIONS. WE ALSO HAVE A COUPLE MEMBERS OF
- 3 THE PUBLIC SIGNED UP TO SPEAK ON ITEM NUMBER 5. BRUCE SALTZER
- 4 AND ANNA SUAREZ. BRUCE AND ANNA HERE? IF YOU WOULD COME
- 5 FORWARD.

- 7 BRUCE SALTZER: GOOD AFTERNOON. I'M BRUCE SALTZER REPRESENTING
- 8 THE ASSOCIATION OF COMMUNITY HUMAN SERVICE AGENCIES. WE ARE
- 9 TESTIFYING IN SUPPORT OF A MOTION WE UNDERSTAND WILL BE
- 10 PRESENTED TO RESTORE SOME ADDITIONAL MONIES IN GENERAL MENTAL
- 11 HEALTH SERVICES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED FOR CURTAILMENT IN THE
- 12 DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH'S BUDGET FOR '04/'05. THIS \$2.2
- 13 MILLION COVERS REDUCTIONS IN THE AREAS OF CRISIS INTERVENTION,
- 14 RESIDENTIAL CARE, AND MEDICATION SUPPORT, ALL FOR PERSONS WITH
- 15 MENTAL ILLNESS WHO ARE UNINSURED. AS THIS BOARD KNOWS ONLY TOO
- 16 WELL, THE COUNTY TODAY IS UNABLE TO PROVIDE CRITICALLY
- 17 NECESSARY SERVICES FOR ALL OF ITS SERIOUSLY UNINSURED MENTAL
- 18 HEALTH RESIDENTS, BOTH CHILDREN AND ADULTS. MANY COME TO THE
- 19 DOORS OF OUR MENTAL HEALTH CENTERS AND CANNOT BE SEEN SIMPLY
- 20 BECAUSE OF A LACK OF ADEQUATE FINANCIAL RESOURCES. WHO TO
- 21 TREAT AND WHO NOT TO TREAT OFTEN BECOMES NEAR A NEARLY
- 22 IMPOSSIBLE DECISION. I'VE ASKED ONE OF OUR AGENCY DIRECTORS,
- 23 ANA SUAREZ, TO SPEAK WITH YOU BRIEFLY TODAY TO HELP PUT A FACE
- 24 ON SOME OF THESE AWFUL DECISIONS. THE IMPACT OF THE REDUCTIONS
- 25 IN CRISIS INTERVENTION AND SHORT-TERM CRISIS RESIDENTIAL BEDS



- 1 ARE CLEAR AND DON'T NEED, REALLY, ANY EXPLANATION. VERY
- 2 SIMPLY, THESE SERVICES AVERT MORE EXPENSIVE HOSPITAL CARE AND
- 3 ARE MUCH MORE HUMANE. REDUCTIONS IN MEDICATION SUPPORT WOULD
- 4 MEAN THAT PATIENTS WOULD GET LESS INDIVIDUALIZED ATTENTION
- 5 BECAUSE PROVIDERS WOULD BE FORCED TO PROVIDE MEDICATION
- 6 SUPPORT MORE IN GROUPS. CERTAIN SIDE EFFECTS MAY GO UNNOTICED.
- 7 CLIENTS, ESPECIALLY THOSE OF CERTAIN CULTURAL AND ETHNIC
- 8 BACKGROUNDS, MAY NOT FEEL COMFORTABLE HAVING THESE DISCUSSIONS
- 9 DISCUSSED IN GROUPS AND COULD MISS CRITICALLY IMPORTANT
- 10 SESSIONS AS A RESULT. IN SUM, THE PROPOSED REDUCTIONS OF THE
- 11 2.2 MILLION, AND THIS IS, AGAIN, A PART OF A MUCH LARGER SETS
- 12 OF REDUCTIONS, OVER \$30 MILLION, WOULD HAVE A DRAMATICALLY
- 13 NEGATIVE IMPACT ON LARGE NUMBERS OF PERSONS WHO REQUIRE MENTAL
- 14 HEALTHCARE IN CRITICALLY IMPORTANT AREAS. ACHSA URGES YOUR
- 15 SUPPORT FOR THE ADDITIONAL \$2.2 MILLION TO THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 16 MEDICAL HEALTH AND I WOULD LIKE TO TURN IT OVER TO ANA TO TALK
- 17 ABOUT BRIEFLY ABOUT WHAT THE IMPACT IS IN TERMS OF HER AGENCY.

- 19 ANA SUAREZ: OKAY. GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS ANA SUAREZ. I'M
- 20 THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR AT AMENERCIA COMMUNITY COUNSELING
- 21 SERVICES. WE'RE A D.M.H. CONTRACT AGENCY SERVING CENTRAL L.A.,
- 22 PARTS OF EAST L.A., SOUTH CENTRAL AND HOLLYWOOD AREAS. WE ARE
- 23 AN AGENCY THAT'S IN THE PART OF TOWN WITH A LARGE, UNINSURED
- 24 POPULATION. UP TO 44% OF THE POPULATION IN SOME OF OUR
- 25 CATCHMENT AREA ISN'T INSURED AND WE'RE VERY CONCERNED WITH



- 1 POTENTIALLY DEVASTATING EFFECTS OF MENTAL HEALTH FUNDING CUTS
- 2 ON THE POPULATION WITH THE LEAST RESOURCES, WHICH ARE THE
- 3 UNINSURED AND THE UNINSURABLE. THE CURRENT D.M.H. PROPOSAL IS
- 4 TO ADDRESS SOME OF THE 2004/2005 BUDGET SHORTFALL BY CUTTING
- 5 FUNDING TO THE UNINSURED IN THE AREAS OF CRISIS INTERVENTION,
- 6 RESIDENTIAL PLACEMENT, AND MEDICATION SERVICES. I'M HERE TO
- 7 ILLUSTRATE, FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF ONE AGENCY, THE AFFECTS OF
- 8 FUNDING CUTS ON THIS POPULATION. COMPASS HOUSE, OUR
- 9 RESIDENTIAL FACILITY, WORKS WITH CLIENTS THAT ARE JUST
- 10 RELEASED FROM PSYCHIATRIC HOSPITALS WHO ARE HOMELESS. OFTEN,
- 11 THESE PEOPLE DO NOT HAVE BENEFITS, EITHER BECAUSE THEY'RE NOT
- 12 ELIGIBLE OR BECAUSE THEY DON'T HAVE AN ADDRESS TO WHICH THE
- 13 BENEFITS CAN BE MAILED. IN THE SHORT 29-DAY STAY AT COMPASS
- 14 HOUSE, CLIENTS ARE STABILIZED PSYCHIATRICALLY, THEY'RE HELPED
- 15 TO ESTABLISH BENEFITS SO THEY CAN RECEIVE ONGOING HOUSING AND
- 16 OUTPATIENT MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES, AND THEY ARE HELPED TO
- 17 BREAK THE CYCLE OF HOSPITALIZATIONS AND HOMELESSNESS TO WHICH
- 18 THEY'RE OFTEN TRAPPED. FOR THOSE NOT ELIGIBLE FOR BENEFITS, A
- 19 STRONG EFFORT IS MADE TO REUNIFY THEM WITH FAMILY, WHO CAN BE
- 20 SUPPORTIVE, AS WELL AS CONNECT THEM WITH SHORT-TERM OUTPATIENT
- 21 MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES OR AT LEAST MEDICATION MANAGEMENT. AND,
- 22 AT AMENERCIA, WE'RE VERY CONCERNED WITH A NUMBER OF UNINSURED
- 23 PERSONS THAT ARE TURNED AWAY FROM OUTPATIENT SERVICES DUE TO
- 24 LACK OF RESOURCES. CURRENTLY, WE CAN ONLY ACCEPT THOSE WHO ARE
- 25 ACTIVELY SUICIDAL, HOMICIDAL, GRAVELY DISABLED, OR HOSPITAL



- 1 DISCHARGES. THE FOLLOWING ARE SOME CASES THAT RECENTLY APPLIED
- 2 FOR US FOR SERVICES THAT WE HAVE BEEN UNABLE TO SERVE: WE HAD
- 3 A 35-YEAR-OLD HISPANIC IMMIGRANT WOMAN WHO WAS DEPRESSED FOR
- 4 THE LAST THREE MONTHS AFTER BEING ABANDONED BY HER HUSBAND.
- 5 SHE WAS CRYING FREQUENTLY, HAD EXTREME SADNESS, LOSS OF
- 6 APPETITE AND FREQUENT SUICIDAL IDEATION. SHE WAS ALONE AND
- 7 ISOLATED. SHE WAS TURNED AWAY AND REFERRED OUT BECAUSE SHE DID
- 8 NOT HAVE AN IMMEDIATE PLAN TO KILL HERSELF. A 27-YEAR-OLD
- 9 HISPANIC MAN WAS SUFFERING FROM PSYCHOTIC SYMPTOMS, INCLUDING
- 10 HALLUCINATIONS AND DELUSIONS THAT ANOTHER PERSONALITY LIVED
- 11 INSIDE OF HIMSELF. WHEN HE WAS UNSTABLE, HE FAILED TO
- 12 RECOGNIZE HIS RELATIVES AND HE WOULD LAUGH TO HIMSELF. HE HAD
- 13 A HISTORY OF MARIJUANA AND COCAINE USE. CURRENTLY, HE WAS NOT
- 14 USING DRUGS, AS PER THE CALLER, WHO WAS HIS BROTHER, BUT HE
- 15 WAS UNEMPLOYED AND UNINSURED. HE WAS LIVING WITH HIS
- 16 RELATIVES. HE WAS TURNED AWAY DUE TO A LACK OF A PAYER SOURCE.
- 17 HE WAS REFERRED OUT TO LOCAL AGENCIES WHICH MAY OR MAY NOT
- 18 HAVE BEEN ABLE TO SEE HIM DUE TO HIS LACK OF FUNDING. A 44-
- 19 YEAR-OLD AFRICAN-AMERICAN WOMAN WAS REFERRED BY HER DAUGHTER
- 20 TO OUTPATIENT SERVICES. PSYCHOTIC SYMPTOMS INCLUDED HEARING
- 21 VOICES DAILY, FEELING THE T.V. WAS TALKING DIRECTLY TO HER,
- 22 CONFUSION AND MEMORY PROBLEMS. THERE WAS MUCH SADNESS,
- 23 WEEPING, ISOLATION AND INSOMNIA BUT THERE WAS NO SUICIDAL
- 24 IDEATION OR PLAN, SO SHE COULD NOT BE SEEN. FINALLY, A 34-
- 25 YEAR-OLD MEXICAN IMMIGRANT WITH TWO CHILDREN, AGES TWO YEARS



- 1 OLD AND 11 MONTHS OLD, WAS LIVING WITH HIS WIFE. SINCE 2003,
- 2 HE HAS BEEN UNABLE TO CONCENTRATE DUE TO VOICES THAT GIVE HIM
- 3 CONSTANT INSTRUCTIONS, INCLUDING THAT HE SHOULD HURT OTHER
- 4 PEOPLE. HE IS NOT USING DRUGS OR ALCOHOL BUT HE IS UNABLE TO
- 5 WORK OR SLEEP. HE HAS HAD SEVERE HEADACHES, SUGGESTING A
- 6 POSSIBLE ORGANICITY. HE WAS REFERRED TO MARTIN LUTHER KING FOR
- 7 POSSIBLE HOSPITALIZATION. ALL OF THESE CLIENTS MIGHT BE
- 8 MANAGED WITH CASE MANAGEMENT, OCCASIONAL CRISIS INTERVENTION
- 9 SERVICES, LOW COST GROUP THERAPY AND MEDICATION SERVICES.
- 10 HOWEVER, BECAUSE OF THE VERY SERIOUS SHORTAGE OF FUNDING FOR
- 11 THE UNINSURED, AND PARTICULARLY FOR THE UNINSURABLE, THEY WILL
- 12 MOST LIKELY NOT BE TREATED AT ALL. ASIDE FROM THE OBVIOUS
- 13 HUMAN SUFFERING ENDURED BY THE CLIENT AND HIS OR HER FAMILY
- 14 MEMBERS, THERE ARE ALSO THE FINANCIAL COSTS THAT WILL BE
- 15 INCURRED BY THE COUNTY AND THE STATE WHEN THESE CLIENTS ARE
- 16 HOSPITALIZED OR INCARCERATED, AS THEY LIKELY WILL BE AT SOME
- 17 POINT DUE TO LACK OF OUTPATIENT SUPPORT. WHILE THE CASES
- 18 PRESENTED HERE ARE AMONG THE MORE DRAMATIC, EVERY YEAR WE TURN
- 19 AWAY HUNDREDS OF MENTALLY ILL PEOPLE IN NEED. FUNDING FOR
- 20 OUTPATIENT AND RESIDENTIAL SERVICES FOR THE UNINSURED ALREADY
- 21 ACCOUNTS FOR ONLY ABOUT 5% OF THE TOTAL COUNTY DMH BUDGET. WE
- 22 ARE ALREADY NOT CARING FOR THESE PEOPLE WHO ARE SO DESPERATE
- 23 AND HAVE NO OTHER RESOURCES. ANY FURTHER CUTS TO THEIR
- 24 SERVICES WILL ONLY MAKE A BAD SITUATION WORSE, NOT ONLY FOR
- 25 THESE CLIENTS IN NEED, BUT FOR ALL RESIDENTS OF LOS ANGELES



- 1 COUNTY. PLEASE FIND A WAY TO SAVE MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES TO
- 2 THE UNINSURED. THANK YOU.

3

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU.

5

6 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: TO HAVE SOME SEMBLANCE OF ORDER TO THIS
- 9 PROCESS, ON ITEM NUMBER 5, OBVIOUSLY, IT'S THE ABILITY OF THIS
- 10 BOARD TO BRING IN SOME ADDITIONAL MOTIONS OR MOTIONS FOR
- 11 CONSIDERATION. I'M GOING TO START WITH THE FIRST DISTRICT,
- 12 SUPERVISOR MOLINA, AND THEN WE'LL JUST MOVE FROM DISTRICT TO
- 13 DISTRICT.

- 15 SUP. MOLINA: AGAIN, MY MOTIONS HERE DON'T HAVE ANY MONEY, BUT
- 16 THEY'RE RELATED, IN A SENSE, TO THE BUDGET, SO I'D LIKE TO
- 17 INTRODUCE THEM, AND I'LL HAVE MY STAFF PASS THEM OUT. THE
- 18 FIRST ONE IS FOR DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN SERVICES. IN MAY, TWO
- 19 FATALITIES OF CHILDREN OCCURRED WHILE IN CUSTODY OF THEIR
- 20 BIOLOGICAL FAMILIES. ALTHOUGH THE FAMILIES OF THE DECEASED
- 21 CHILDREN HAD PREVIOUS D.C.F.S. HISTORIES, THE DEPARTMENT
- 22 INITIALLY LEARNED ABOUT THE FATALITIES IN THE FIRST DISTRICT
- 23 STAFF RATHER THAN THROUGH OUR CHILD PROTECTION HOTLINE. THE
- 24 HOSPITAL AND THE LAW ENFORCEMENT-- COULD YOU HOLD ON? AND THE
- 25 LAW ENFORCE-- EXCUSE ME. I'M SORRY. THE HOSPITAL AND LAW



- 1 ENFORCEMENT STAFF WHO ATTENDED TO THESE TWO CHILDREN-- EXCUSE
- 2 ME JUST A MINUTE-- DID NOT CONTACT THE CHILD PROTECTION
- 3 HOTLINE BECAUSE THEY DID NOT SUSPECT THE CONTRIBUTION OF CHILD
- 4 ABUSE AND NEGLECT TO THE DEATH OF THESE CHILDREN. THERE IS
- 5 CLEARLY A NEED FOR EXPANDED ENHANCED INTERAGENCY AND COMMUNITY
- 6 COLLABORATION IN THE MANDATED REPORTING EDUCATION. IT IS VITAL
- 7 THAT D.C.F.S. TAKE STEPS TO IMPROVE THE EDUCATIONAL
- 8 CONSULTATION OF MANDATED CHILD ABUSE REPORTING. THIS IS
- 9 CONSISTENT WITH THE COUNTY STRATEGIC PLAN GOAL NUMBER FIVE TO
- 10 IMPROVE THE OVERALL WELLBEING OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES AND
- 11 BUILDS UPON THE DRIVING CONCEPTS BEHIND THE BOARD OF
- 12 SUPERVISORS' DIRECTION TO IMPLEMENT A RISK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM
- 13 AND SUPERVISOR KNABE'S MOTION TO IMPROVE COLLABORATION OF OUR
- 14 LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES. I, THEREFORE, MOVE THAT DEPARTMENT
- 15 OF CHILDREN SERVICES AND THE C.A.O. THE AVAILABILITY
- 16 OF RESOURCES WITHIN EXISTING BUDGET NECESSARY TO UTILIZE THE
- 17 EXISTING FAMILY AND CHILDREN'S INDEX, A DATABASE THAT ENHANCES
- 18 THE QUALITY OF THESE INVESTIGATION, TO FURTHER EXPAND THE
- 19 MANDATED REPORTED TRAINING OF THAT CHILD PROTECTION HOTLINE
- 20 STAFF THAT OFFERS TO SCHOOLS, HOSPITALS, AND OTHER COMMUNITY
- 21 ORGANIZATIONS, AND, THREE, THAT WE FURTHER EXPAND THE MANDATED
- 22 REPORTING OPEN HOUSES HELD IN D.C.F.S. REGIONAL OFFICES FOR
- 23 OUR SCHOOLS, HOSPITAL, LAW ENFORCEMENT, OTHER COMMUNITY
- 24 ORGANIZATIONS TO EXPAND-- NUMBER FOUR, TO EXPAND COUNTYWIDE
- 25 TRAINING FOR THE FAITH-BASED COMMUNITY ON ABUSE, AND NEGLECT



- 1 RELATED ISSUES REVEALED DURING PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATIONS. AND,
- 2 FINALLY, TO PROMOTE THE D.C.F.S. VOLUNTEER COMMUNITY LIAISON
- 3 PROGRAM. NOW, THAT IS THE MOTION THAT REALLY DOESN'T REQUIRE
- 4 ANYTHING. IT'S JUST A MATTER OF UTILIZING THE RESOURCES SO
- 5 THAT WE ARE, IN FACT, GETTING AND BUILDING THE COLLABORATION
- 6 THAT WE NEED TO, HOPEFULLY, GET AHEAD OF SOME OF THESE
- 7 CHILDREN'S DEATHS.

8

9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MOVED AND SECONDED.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN WE GET DAVID SANDERS? IS THIS FOR A
- 12 REPORT BACK, OR IS THIS...

13

14 SUP. MOLINA: NO. WELL, IT IS, IN A SENSE, BUT...

15

16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT'S...

17

18 SUP. MOLINA: DAVID IS HERE. MR. SANDERS IS HERE, I THINK.

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH. THIS IS THE FIRST I'VE SEEN THIS. I'M
- 21 SORRY. I WANT TO UNDERSTAND WHAT IT IS. AND I HAVE NO PROBLEM
- 22 WITH THE PREAMBLE. IT'S THE SPECIFICS THAT I JUST NEED
- 23 GUIDANCE ON. HAVE YOU REVIEWED THIS MOTION?

24

25 DAVID SANDERS: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, YES, I HAVE.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: COULD YOU GIVE US YOUR-- WELL, GIVE US YOUR
- 3 PROFESSIONAL OPINION ON THESE STRATEGIES THAT ARE BEING
- 4 PROPOSED.

5

- 6 DAVID SANDERS: THESE ARE STRATEGIES THAT I BELIEVE WILL HELP
- 7 TO MOVE FORWARD IN THE COMMUNICATION WITH MANDATED REPORTERS.
- 8 THERE ARE SEVERAL THAT HAVE BEEN IN PLACE FOR SOME TIME AND
- 9 THIS WOULD LOOK TO US TO TRY AND EXPAND THOSE. THERE ARE
- 10 OTHERS THAT PROBABLY HAVEN'T BEEN GIVEN THE PRIORITY THAT THEY
- 11 SHOULD AND WE'LL REFOCUS OUR PRIORITIES ON THEM, BUT I BELIEVE
- 12 THAT THESE WILL GET US TO THE POINT THAT...

13

14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND YOU COULD DO IT WITH EXISTING RESOURCES?

15

16 SUP. MOLINA: YES.

- 18 DAVID SANDERS: YEAH, I BELIEVE THAT WE CAN. THE-- WE HAVE A--
- 19 WE'VE BEGUN AS WAS COMMUNICATED IN A REPORT TO THE BOARD, THAT
- 20 CO-LOCATION WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT AND BELIEVE THAT THAT'S ONE
- 21 OF THE STRATEGIES THAT WILL HELP THE NUMBER FIVE, THE
- 22 VOLUNTEER COMMUNITY LIAISON PROGRAM. WE HAVE STAFF THAT HAVE
- 23 VOLUNTEERED TO DO SOME OF THE TRAINING. WE'VE BEEN DOING OPEN
- 24 HOUSES SO WE BELIEVE THAT THESE ARE THINGS THAT WE CAN DO



- 1 WITHIN OUR CURRENT BUDGET. SOME OF IT WILL REQUIRE SOME
- 2 REPRIORITIZING.

3

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS, ZEV?

5

6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'M DONE.

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. THANK YOU, DAVID. THERE'S A MOTION
- 9 AND SECOND. AND THEN, WITHOUT ANY OBJECTIONS, SO ORDERED.

- 11 SUP. MOLINA: THIS IS, AGAIN, ANOTHER ONE THAT REALLY-- IT
- 12 REQUIRES A REPORT BACK. THIS YEAR, BECAUSE OF UNEXPECTED
- 13 REVENUE GENERATED BY PROPERTY TAX AND BECAUSE THE STATE BUDGET
- 14 BEING LESS HARMFUL THAN EXPECTED, I DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S FAIR
- 15 TO SAY, WE HAVEN'T DECIDED YET, BUT, AT THIS POINT, THE COUNTY
- 16 IS ABLE TO RESTORE THE FUNDING FOR CRITICAL LOCAL SERVICES
- 17 SUCH AS PUBLIC PARKS, PUBLIC LIBRARIES, AND OUR PUBLIC PARKS.
- 18 THE COUNTY HAS MAINTAINED FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY DURING THE
- 19 LEAN TIMES, YET OUR PARKS AND LIBRARIES HAVE SUFFERED OVERALL
- 20 30% IN CURTAILMENTS OVER THE PAST DECADES BECAUSE OF
- 21 REDUCTIONS IN BUDGETS, UNFILLED VACANCIES, INADEQUATE FUNDS TO
- 22 MAINTAIN FACILITIES OR PURCHASE NEEDED MATERIALS. ONE OF OUR
- 23 MAIN RESPONSIBILITIES IS TO SERVE THE ONE MILLION RESIDENTS OF
- 24 UNINCORPORATED LOS ANGELES COUNTY. ENHANCEMENT OF LIBRARIES
- 25 AND PARK PROGRAMS WOULD INCLUDE PROVIDING ADEQUATE BUDGETS FOR



- 1 MATERIALS, ADDRESSING ALL THE DEFERRED MAINTENANCE NEEDS,
- 2 PROVIDING AFTER-SCHOOL AND WEEKEND RECREATIONAL PROGRAMS FOR
- 3 ALL AGES, PROVIDING COMMUNITY PROGRAMS IN OUR PARKS, PROVIDING
- 4 FULL STAFFING LEVELS FOR SEVEN DAY A WEEK LIBRARY SERVICE AND
- 5 TO CONSIDER PROVIDING LITERACY SERVICES AT OUR LIBRARIES. I
- 6 THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE C.A.O., IN CONJUNCTION WITH PUBLIC
- 7 LIBRARIES AND THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECS, BEGIN THEIR
- 8 ANALYSIS OF THE FOLLOWING FUNDING SOURCES: THE BALANCE OF
- 9 EITHER THE VALENTIN FUNDS OR THE UTILITY TAX FUNDS AND REPORT
- 10 BACK IN 60 DAYS WITH A STRATEGIC PLAN FOR ENHANCING LOCAL
- 11 PARKS AND LIBRARIES. THIS PLAN SHOULD FOCUS ON INCREMENTAL
- 12 IMPLEMENTATION AT UNINCORPORATED AREA FACILITIES.

14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THE CHAIR WOULD SECOND THAT. ANY QUESTIONS?

15 SUPERVISOR BURKE?

17 SUP. BURKE: WHAT IS THE VALENTIN FUND? I'M NOT SURE I KNOW

18 WHAT THAT IS.

20 SUP. MOLINA: THAT IS THE OVER-DETENTION MONEY.

22 **SUP. BURKE:** OVER-DETENTION?

24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY.

25

13

16

19

21



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN I JUST-- I MEAN, IF WE'RE GOING TO LOOK
- 2 AT THE UTILITY TAX FUND, MAYBE WE OUGHT TO HAVE A DISCUSSION
- 3 ABOUT IT IN SOME DETAIL, WHICH I'VE NOT-- SURE. HOW DO YOU
- 4 READ THIS, MR. JANSSEN? TO ME, IT SOUNDS MORE-- LIKE MORE THAN
- 5 A REPORT BACK, EVEN THOUGH IT SAYS REPORT BACK, ESPECIALLY THE
- 6 WAY YOUR OFFICE OPERATES. IT SOUNDS TO ME LIKE A CALL TO-- I
- 7 DON'T KNOW WHAT THE PARAMETERS ARE FOR A STRATEGIC PLAN FOR
- 8 PARKS AND LIBRARIES. MAYBE I SHOULDN'T ASK HOW MUCH IS THE
- 9 UTILITY TAX FUND.

10

11 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO.

12

13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH.

- 15 C.A.O. JANSSEN: AND I'M NOT SURE, ACTUALLY, HOW MUCH IS IN THE
- 16 VALENTIN FUNDS. I DON'T KNOW IF WE'VE SEEN A FIGURE ON WHAT
- 17 THAT IS OF LATE. I HAVE-- I CERTAINLY UNDERSTAND THE INTENT OF
- 18 THE MOTION. I THINK 60 DAYS IS A LITTLE AGGRESSIVE BECAUSE I
- 19 DO THINK THIS IS TALKING ABOUT AUGMENTING THE BUDGET, WHICH IS
- 20 FINE, I MEAN, THAT'S A BOARD DECISION AND IF THERE'S REVENUE,
- 21 YOU CERTAINLY CAN DO THAT. I THINK I WOULD RATHER RETHINK THIS
- 22 OR LOOK AT IT WHEN WE DO FUND BALANCE IN SEPTEMBER THAN IN 60
- 23 DAYS AND CONSIDER IT AT THAT TIME. IT DOES NEED A FULL
- 24 DISCUSSION BECAUSE THIS IS, I THINK, THE FIRST TIME THAT WE'VE



- 1 USED THE FUND FOR ANY ONGOING PURPOSE, AND I THINK THAT'S THE
- 2 INTENT IS TO USE IT FOR ONGOING PURPOSES.

3

4 SUP. MOLINA: YES, THAT IS THE INTENT.

5

6 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THAT'S WHAT I THOUGHT.

7

8 SUP. BURKE: HOW MUCH IS IN THAT FUND?

9

- 10 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WE RECEIVE ABOUT \$60 MILLION A YEAR IN THE
- 11 FUND.

12

13 SUP. BURKE: AND IT'S USED MOSTLY FOR WHAT?

14

- 15 C.A.O. JANSSEN: IT HAS BEEN USED FOR CAPITAL PROJECTS, SHERIFF
- 16 STATIONS, PRIMARILY.

17

- 18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: DO YOU STILL WANT IT 60 DAYS OR DO YOU WANT
- 19 TO COME BACK ON SEPTEMBER 30TH?

20

- 21 SUP. MOLINA: AGAIN, THIS DOESN'T SPEND THE MONEY AT ALL. THIS
- 22 ISN'T THAT AT ALL. IT IS STARTING TO LOOK AND WORK WITH REC
- 23 AND PARKS AS WELL AS OUR LIBRARIES TO LOOK AT-- WE HAVE A LOT
- 24 OF PARTICULARLY DEFERRED MAINTENANCE ISSUES.



1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT. IN BOTH.

2

- 3 SUP. MOLINA: IN BOTH, AND I APPRECIATE THE RESTORATION THAT'S
- 4 BEEN DONE UP TO NOW, BUT I THINK THAT'S WHAT WE NEED TO LOOK
- 5 AT. IT DOESN'T SAY SPEND IT ON ANYTHING, IT JUST SAYS CREATING
- 6 OR LOOKING AT A PLAN. IT DOESN'T PUT ANYTHING IN ANY
- 7 EXPENDITURE BUT THERE ARE FUNDS THERE. AND I'M NOT SAYING USE-
- 8 USE IT TO DEPLETE THIS FUND, I WOULDN'T WANT THAT TO HAPPEN,
- 9 EITHER.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHY DON'T WE-- CAN I MAKE A SUGGESTION AND
- 12 MAYBE...

13

14 SUP. MOLINA: SURE.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHY DON'T YOU JUST TAKE REFERENCE TO THOSE
- 17 TWO FUNDS OUT AND JUST ASK THEM TO COME BACK WITH A STRATEGIC
- 18 PLAN?

- 20 SUP. MOLINA: WELL, THE REASON I PUT THEM IN IS BECAUSE THEY'RE
- 21 CLEAR SURPLUSES, AND THERE NEEDS TO BE A DISCUSSION ABOUT THE
- 22 UTILITY FUND IN SOME WAY AND, AGAIN, I PUT IT IN THERE
- 23 PURPOSEFULLY. I DON'T THINK IT HURTS ANYTHING. THERE ARE OTHER
- 24 FUNDS THAT YOU COULD PUT IN THERE. FOR EXAMPLE, WE ALSO LOOKED
- 25 AT THE POSSIBILITY OF UTILIZING THE LITIGATION ISSUES IN THE



- 1 EL PASO ENERGY BUT WE DIDN'T PUT THAT ONE IN. I LEFT THAT OUT
- 2 BECAUSE IT DEFINITELY IS KIND OF A COUNTYWIDE... RIGHT? SO I
- 3 LEFT THAT OUT COMPLETELY.

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE: I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE AMOUNT IN THE FUND AND I
- 6 DON'T THINK IT HURTS ANYTHING FOR US TO HEAR ABOUT THE FUND. I
- 7 HAVEN'T SEEN A LOT OF REPORTS ON IT AND THE UTILIZATION OF
- 8 THAT PARTICULAR FUND. I'D LIKE TO SEE WHAT IT IS AND THEN, I
- 9 MEAN, THERE'S NOTHING THAT'S GOING TO REQUIRE US TO SPEND
- 10 ANYTHING OUT OF IT.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, AS LONG AS I-- I MEAN, I DON'T, I'M
- 13 NOT SURE THAT'S THE WAY THE C.A.O. STAFF IS GOING TO READ IT
- 14 AND THAT'S WHAT I'M CONCERNED ABOUT. AND I DON'T KNOW HOW YOU
- 15 GET CONTROL OVER SOME OF YOUR OWN FOLKS BUT THIS THING TAKES
- 16 ON A LIFE OF ITS OWN. IT ALREADY HAS IN YOUR OFFICE, I MIGHT
- 17 ADD. THIS ISN'T THE FIRST TIME YOU'RE GOING TO BE LOOKING AT
- 18 THE UTILITY USERS TAX FUND, WHICH HASN'T JUST BEEN USED FOR
- 19 CAPITAL PROGRAMS. IT'S ALSO BEEN SITTING THERE AS A RESERVE IN
- 20 CASE...

21

- 22 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT. THREE YEARS OF RESERVES IN CASE WE GET
- 23 SUED.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ... THE ROOF FELL IN ON THE HEALTH SYSTEMS
- 2 AND OTHER THINGS. AND, I MEAN, WE DON'T HAVE TO GET INTO THE
- 3 LEGAL LIABILITIES THERE BUT, IN ANY CASE-- I SHOULD SAY
- 4 POTENTIAL LEGAL LIABILITIES. SO I WOULD-- I JUST DON'T NOW
- 5 HOW-- IMPORTANT TO ME TO UNDERSTAND IS HOW YOU'RE GOING TO
- 6 INTERPRET THIS, BECAUSE IF THIS IS SOMETHING YOU'RE GOING TO
- 7 PROCEED TO CANNIBALIZE, THEN I -- FIRST OF ALL, I DON'T THINK
- 8 IT SHOULD BE RESTRICTED TO LIBRARIES AND PARKS. THERE ARE A
- 9 LOT OF OTHER THINGS THAT WE MIGHT WANT TO DO. AND, SECONDLY, I
- 10 THINK YOU NEED TO HAVE A PRETTY CANDID DISCUSSION ABOUT
- 11 WHETHER WE WANT TO CANNIBALIZE, TO ANY EXTENT, AT THIS POINT,
- 12 THESE FUNDS. ANY OF THE RESERVES, NOT JUST THESE TWO. SO-- BUT
- 13 YOUR OFFICE HAS BEEN, FOR A WHILE, HAS BEEN PURSUING THIS
- 14 THING, AND I DON'T KNOW AT WHOSE DIRECTION OR AT WHAT
- 15 DIRECTION BUT YOU'VE BEEN DOING IT, AND NOW THIS WOULD BE AN
- 16 OFFICIAL BOARD ACTION THAT ASKS YOU TO LOOK SPECIFICALLY AT
- 17 THOSE TWO FUNDS AND I'D JUST-- THIS IS NOT JUST A REPORT BACK.
- 18 I KNOW HOW YOUR OFFICE OPERATES. IT'S GOING TO BE A BIG
- 19 PROJECT.

21 SUP. BURKE: WELL, CAN WE JUST SAY IT WON'T BE A BIG PROJECT,

- 22 IT WILL JUST BE A REPORT BACK? WE JUST WANT THE INFORMATION.
- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: JUST A TINY LITTLE PROJECT.

25

23



1 **SUP. MOLINA:** MR. CHAIRMAN?

2

3 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YES?

4

- 5 SUP. MOLINA: MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK THAT, AGAIN, IT SPEAKS FOR
- 6 ITSELF. AND I THINK THAT THE C.A.O. UNDERSTANDS CLEARLY. I
- 7 HOPE HE WILL MEET WITH PARKS. I THINK HE WILL MEET WITH
- 8 LIBRARIES. I THINK THEY NEED TO SIT DOWN, AND THERE IS A LIST
- 9 THAT CAN BE MADE, LIKE ANYTHING ELSE, AND I THINK THIS ISN'T A
- 10 LIST FOR APPROVAL, THIS ISN'T A LIST TO CANNIBALIZE ANYTHING,
- 11 IN YOUR WORDS, MR. YAROSLAVSKY. THIS IS A LIST OF ALL KINDS OF
- 12 ISSUES. I PUT LIST IN THERE. I PUT DEFERRED MAINTENANCE, I PUT
- 13 OTHER KINDS OF THINGS THAT WE HAVE JUST NOT BEEN ABLE TO DEAL
- 14 WITH. IF YOU LOOK AT THE SITUATION OVERALL, AND THIS ISN'T
- 15 JUST FOR UNINCORPORATED AREAS, OUR LIBRARIES ARE SUFFERING,
- 16 AND THESE ARE VERY VITAL AND VALUABLE RESOURCES IN A
- 17 COMMUNITY. AND MY ONLY ISSUE IS IS BEGIN THE PROCESS OF
- 18 COLLABORATION WITH THESE TWO DEPARTMENTS AND LOOK AT THOSE
- 19 OPPORTUNITIES OF WHAT NEEDS TO BE THERE. IT IS ABOUT MAKING A
- 20 LIST, NOTHING ELSE, SO THAT IS WHAT I HAVE BEFORE YOU.

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, COULD WE ADD THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
- 23 TO THAT?

24

25 SUP. MOLINA: WHY WOULD YOU ADD THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT?



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHY NOT? 2 3 SUP. BURKE: BECAUSE WE HAVE SOME PROBLEMS... 4 5 SUP. MOLINA: I'D LIKE TO KNOW-- I MEAN, IF YOU WANT TO BRING 6 7 IN A MOTION TO START LOOKING AT WHAT KIND OF ENHANCEMENT THE 8 SHERIFF HAS, I THINK YOU'RE ENTITLED TO DO SO, BUT WHY DON'T YOU DO IT ON YOUR OWN TICKET? 10 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'M JUST TRYING TO SAVE PAPER. I'M JUST TRYING TO... 12 13 SUP. MOLINA: YOU CERTAINLY COULD. BUT I PREFER TO LEAVE IT AT-14 - THIS WAY AT THIS TIME. THERE'S ANOTHER MOTION YOU COULD 15 16 BRING IN AND CERTAINLY YOU COULD DO IT FOR THE SHERIFF. 17 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I KNOW, I KNOW. 19 20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, MAYBE WE CAN CHANGE IT IN THAT I

- 21 THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE C.A.O. IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE PUBLIC
- 22 LIBRARY AND THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION ANALYZE
- 23 POTENTIAL FUNDING SOURCES SUCH AS THE VALENTIN FUNDS AND THE
- 24 UTILITY TAX FUND AND REPORT BACK IN 60 DAYS.



- 1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: MR. CHAIRMAN, I STILL THINK THE 60 DAYS IS
- 2 UNREALISTIC. I'M NOT...

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA: AND I AGREE. IF YOU NEED 90, 120, WOULD BE
- 5 ACCEPTABLE TO ME.

6

7 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I THINK-- OKAY.

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, IF YOU WANT A FULL DISCUSSION, THEN
- 10 PROBABLY SEPTEMBER 30TH, RIGHT AROUND-- WHICH IS THE TIME THAT
- 11 WE EXPECT THE FUND BALANCE DEBATE TO TAKE PLACE. IS THAT
- 12 CORRECT?

13

- 14 C.A.O. JANSSEN: SEPTEMBER WOULD BE MUCH BETTER THAN 60 DAYS
- 15 BUT...

16

17 SUP. MOLINA: THAT'S FINE.

18

- 19 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I WOULD BE-- NOT KNOWING EXACTLY WHERE YOU'RE
- 20 GOING, AND IT'S NOT CLEAR TO ME WHAT WORK WE'VE ACTUALLY BEEN
- 21 DOING SPENDING MONEY IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREA BUT...

22

23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WE'LL HELP YOU FIND OUT. SO I'M AWARE OF IT.



- 1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: OKAY. HE WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHERE IT IS. THAT
- 2 THIS MAY BE SOMETHING WE OUGHT TO BE LOOKING AT NEXT YEAR, FOR
- 3 '05/'06, AND NOT SOMETHING THAT WE WANT TO RUSH INTO. BUT WE
- 4 CAN REPORT BACK IN SEPTEMBER.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE: LET'S HAVE THE REPORT. YOU KNOW, LET'S HAVE THE
- 7 REPORT. I DON'T KNOW WHAT MONIES ARE BEING SPENT ON
- 8 UNINCORPORATED, AT LEAST, MAYBE NOT IN THOSE AREAS THAT ARE IN
- 9 THE SECOND DISTRICT, MAYBE-- MUST BE SOMEWHERE ELSE BECAUSE
- 10 IT'S NOT IN THE SECOND DISTRICT THAT I KNOW OF ANY
- 11 EXPENDITURES THAT ARE BEING MADE OUT OF THIS.

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. WHAT IS THE CONCLUSION HERE? WHAT ARE
- 14 WE...

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT YOU'RE
- 17 GOING TO BE DOING? ARE YOU GOING TO BE DEVELOPING WITH THOSE
- 18 TWO DEPARTMENTS A STRATEGIC PLAN BETWEEN NOW AND SEPTEMBER
- 19 30TH? IS THAT WHAT YOU THINK YOU'RE DOING?

20

21 C.A.O. JANSSEN: DID HE ADD-- DID YOU ADD...

22

23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO.

24

25 C.A.O. JANSSEN: HE DIDN'T.



- 2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: NO, I JUST CHANGED. INSTEAD OF BEGIN THEIR
- 3 ANALYSIS, JUST SAY AND ANALYZE POTENTIAL FUNDING SOURCES SUCH
- 4 AS.

5

6 SUP. MOLINA: WHICH I AGREED TO.

7

- 8 C.A.O. JANSSEN: OKAY. GOT IT. OKAY. SO THE SHERIFF WAS NOT
- 9 ADDED AS PART OF THAT MOTION?

10

11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO, NOT YET.

12

13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: AND REPORT BACK IN SEPTEMBER.

14

15 SUP. MOLINA: RIGHT.

- 17 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I READ THAT AS PROBABLY TAKING-- CERTAINLY
- 18 TAKING A LOOK AT THE MAINTENANCE AND CAPITAL PROJECT NEEDS. I
- 19 WAS AT WHITTIER NARROWS TWO WEEKS AGO AT A COUNTY FUNCTION, I
- 20 WENT INTO A BATHROOM, I WAS HORRIFIED, ABSOLUTELY HORRIFIED AT
- 21 THE CONDITION OF THAT BATHROOM. WE HAVEN'T HAD THE RESOURCES
- 22 TO DEAL WITH THAT, SO THEY KNOW THOSE-- THAT SHOULDN'T TAKE AN
- 23 AWFUL LOT OF TIME. WE HAVE LISTS OF THINGS THAT NEED TO BE
- 24 MAINTAINED AND FIXED AND THOSE CAN BE MELDED IN. WHERE I WOULD
- 25 START TO GET NERVOUS, OBVIOUSLY, IS ADDING ADDITIONAL ONGOING



- 1 PROGRAMS. THAT'S GOING TO GET A LITTLE DICIER. IF WE'VE MADE
- 2 REDUCTIONS OVER THE LAST TWO, THREE, FOUR YEARS, THAT
- 3 CERTAINLY IS WHERE YOU WOULD START AND COME BACK WITH WHAT
- 4 THOSE ARE. I WOULD IMAGINE, I THINK THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS
- 5 AND RECREATION HAS DONE OR IS IN THE PROCESS OF DOING A
- 6 STRATEGIC PLAN. THEY MAY HAVE THAT KIND OF INFORMATION. I
- 7 DON'T KNOW ABOUT THE LIBRARIES. I DON'T KNOW IF MARGARET CAN
- 8 TELL YOU EXACTLY, OUTSIDE OF MAINTENANCE..

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE: THERE IS A LIST. THERE'S A LIST WE TIE INTO FOR
- 11 RESTORATIONS AND REPAIRS THAT WE'VE BEEN GOING DOWN IN TERMS
- 12 OF LIBRARIES.

13

- 14 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NOW THAT LIST, I'M SURE, EXISTS. RIGHT, IT'S
- 15 NOT THAT THAT WOULD CONCERN ME. IT'S THE ONGOING...

16

17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS THIS LIMITED TO CAPITAL INVESTMENTS?

18

19 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO. I READ IT. I DON'T THINK IT IS.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH, I DON'T THINK IT IS EITHER, AT LEAST
- 22 IT DOESN'T SAY THAT. I'D BE A LOT MORE COMFORTABLE IF IT DID
- 23 BUT I THINK IT'S OPEN-ENDED. SO...



- 1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YEAH, PROVIDING AFTER-SCHOOL, WEEKEND,
- 2 RECREATION PROGRAMS. THAT WOULD BE ONGOING, I PRESUME.
- 3 COMMUNITY PROGRAMS AND PARKS, SEVEN DAY A WEEK LIBRARY
- 4 SERVICE, LITERACY, THOSE ARE ALL ONGOING.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WHAT ARE YOU GOING TO BE DOING BETWEEN
- 7 NOW AND SEPTEMBER 30TH, AGAIN?

8

- 9 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WORKING WITH THOSE TWO DEPARTMENTS TO
- 10 IDENTIFY, IN THE FIRST INSTANCE, MAINTENANCE NEEDS THAT HAVE
- 11 PROBABLY EXISTED FOR YEARS THAT WE HAVE NOT BEEN ABLE TO
- 12 IDENTIFY FUNDING SOURCES FOR AND DEVELOP WITH THEM A PRIORITY
- 13 AND JUST RETURN WITH THE PRIORITY LIST AND IT'S GOING TO BE
- 14 FAR GREATER, I'M SURE, THAN ANY MONEY YOU HAVE AVAILABLE IN
- 15 THIS FUND. AND THEN, IF THE LIBRARIES AND PARKS HAVE PROGRAMS
- 16 THAT THEY HAVE EITHER LOST IN BUDGET CUTS OR, FOR SOME
- 17 COMPELLING REASON, ABSOLUTELY HAVE TO HAVE, WE'LL IDENTIFY
- 18 THOSE IN A PRIORITY ORDER AS WELL AND RETURN IT TO YOUR BOARD,
- 19 PROBABLY WITHOUT RECOMMENDATIONS, SO THAT YOU HAVE ALL OF THE
- 20 INFORMATION THAT SUPERVISOR MOLINA IS LOOKING FOR AND
- 21 SUPERVISOR KNABE IN THE MOTION. I WILL NOT TAKE IT AS
- 22 DIRECTION TO APPROVE AUGMENTATIONS OF THOSE BUDGETS.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO, BUT YOU'RE GOING TO COME BACK WITH THE
- 25 LIST OF PROSPECTIVE AUGMENTATIONS?



1

- 2 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THAT'S WHAT IT SAYS, SUPERVISOR. I MEAN, YEAH,
- 3 THAT'S WHAT I WOULD HAVE TO DO.

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S WHY I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT THAT WE
- 6 KIND OF GET THE WHOLE PICTURE AND WE'RE TALKING ABOUT SERVICES
- 7 TO UNINCORPORATED AREAS: SHERIFF, ENFORCEMENT, THE D.A.'S
- 8 OFFICE, AMONG OTHER THINGS, INFRACTIONS, THINGS OF THAT SORT.
- 9 THERE ARE LITTLE THINGS AND BIG THINGS AND I DON'T THINK IT'S-
- 10 IT OUGHT TO BE LIMITED JUST TO THESE-- AS IMPORTANT AS THESE
- 11 ARE, AND THEY ARE IMPORTANT, THEY AREN'T THE ONLY THINGS.

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, I MEAN, HEY, I'D BE GLAD IF YOU'RE
- 14 WILLING TO EXPAND THE DISCUSSION TO OTHER AREAS OF
- 15 UNINCORPORATED AREA, THEN...

16

17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I WOULD BE WILLING TO EXPAND IT...

18

19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ...I WOULD STRONGLY SUPPORT THAT.

20

21 SUP. BURKE: BUT THESE ARE THE ONES THAT ARE ALWAYS LEFT OUT.

22

23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ABSOLUTELY.

24

25 SUP. BURKE: THAT'S THE DIFFERENCE.



1 2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: BECAUSE MANY OF OUR COUNTY FACILITIES... 3 SUP. BURKE: THE SHERIFF IS ALWAYS AT THE TOP OF OUR LIST, HE'S 4 5 HIGHLY VISIBLE, THE PROBLEMS HE HAS, WE'RE GIVING MONEY TO THE SHERIFF, A CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT OF MONEY, MY UNDERSTANDING IS, 6 7 OF RESTORATIONS. THESE ARE THE DEPARTMENTS THAT ARE JUST 8 TOTALLY-- FIRST ONES TO CUT. WE'VE CLOSED SOME OF OUR 9 LIBRARIES LAST YEAR AND WE HAD TO CLOSE THEM BECAUSE THERE WAS 10 A DEFICIT. SO THOSE LIBRARIES NEVER REOPENED. THEY WERE GONE. 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHICH LIBRARIES DID YOU CLOSE LAST YEAR? 12 13 SUP. BURKE: WE CLOSED THE ONE IN HAWTHORNE AND WE CLOSED A 14 15 SECOND ONE. IN THE SECOND DISTRICT, WE CLOSED TWO. 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WE CLOSED-- AFTER WE HELD THE LIBRARY 17 18 DEPARTMENT HARMLESS, WE CLOSED TWO LIBRARIES? 19 20 SUP. BURKE: WE CLOSED TEN? 21 22 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO, IN THE MID-'90S. WE DID NOT CLOSE ANY THIS

25 SUP. BURKE: I THOUGHT IT WAS LAST YEAR.

23

24

YEAR.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WE WERE ABOUT TO GIVE THE LIBRARIES A
- 3 CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT OF MONEY, TOO.

4

5 C.A.O. JANSSEN: FULL FUNDING.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND THEN THEY'VE DONE VERY WELL. I'M NOT
- 8 DISAGREEING THAT THESE ARE DEPARTMENTS THAT-- AT LEAST PARKS,
- 9 I THINK, NEED MORE THAN LIBRARIES, THAT GET SHAFTED, BUT I DO
- 10 THINK THERE'S SOME OTHER THINGS THAT GET SHAFTED, TOO. I WOULD
- 11 MOVE THAT WE ADD TO THE ANALYSIS, SHERIFF, DISTRICT ATTORNEY
- 12 FOR UNINCORPORATED AREAS, IN PARTICULAR, AND I'LL START WITH
- 13 THAT. AN AMENDMENT.

14

- 15 SUP. MOLINA: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'D LIKE TO LEAVE THE MOTION AS IS.
- 16 IF MR. YAROSLAVSKY WOULD LIKE TO INTRODUCE A SEPARATE MOTION
- 17 FOR THE UNINCORPORATED AREA IN THE SHERIFF'S BUDGET, I
- 18 PROBABLY DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM, I PROBABLY COULD SUPPORT IT.
- 19 BUT I DON'T WANT IT DONE HERE.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT I'M ENTITLED TO MAKE A MOTION TO AMEND.
- 22 I MEAN, IT JUST...

- 24 SUP. MOLINA: I KNOW. I'M JUST ASKING-- I'M JUST TELLING YOU MY
- 25 PREFERENCE. I WOULD PREFER THAT WE LEAVE IT AS IS.

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I UNDERSTAND. BUT I'M MAKING A MOTION TO 2 AMEND. IF YOU WANT TO VOTE IT DOWN, VOTE IT DOWN. I JUST WANT 3 TO SAVE US THE TIME AND THE PAPER JUST... 4 5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. THERE IS AN AMENDMENT TO THE MOTION 6 7 ON THE TABLE BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY... 8 SUP. MOLINA: WELL, THEN, AGAIN... 10 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: IS THERE A SECOND? 12 13 SUP. MOLINA: WELL... 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: PARDON? I DIDN'T HEAR YOU. 15 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I WAS LOOKING FOR A SECOND TO YOUR MOTION 17 18 AND THERE DOESN'T APPEAR TO BE ONE. OKAY. DIES FOR LACK OF A 19 SECOND. SO THE ITEM IS STILL IN FRONT OF US BY SUPERVISOR 20 MOLINA. ANY OTHER POINTS OF CLARIFICATION? IF NOT, DO YOU WANT A ROLL CALL, SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY? 21 22 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO. 24

SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. ANY OBJECTIONS? OKAY.

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: [INAUDIBLE] ABSTAINING. 2 3 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: IT PASSES WITH ONE ABSTENTION, SUPERVISOR 4 5 YAROSLAVSKY. OKAY. ALL RIGHTY. 6 SUP. MOLINA: MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT CONCLUDES MY MOTIONS AT THIS 7 8 TIME. 9 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR BURKE? 11 SUP. BURKE: I HAVE ONE MOTION, I BELIEVE IT'S WITH SUPERVISOR 12 KNABE. LAST YEAR, THE-- WE'LL PASS IT OUT. IT'S BEING PASSED 13 OUT. LAST YEAR, THE BOARD ALLOCATED FUNDS IN THE SHERIFF'S 14 DEPARTMENT FOR UTILIZATION IN THE SUPPRESSION OF GANG CRIME IN 15 16 THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS OF THE COUNTY. SINCE DECEMBER 2003, THE SHERIFF HAS DEPLOYED SUPPRESSION TEAMS, KNOWN AS COMMUNITY 17 18 IMPACT TEAMS, COMPRISED OF PERSONNEL FROM OPERATION BUREAU AND 19 SAFE STREETS BUREAU TO FOCUS ON THE AREAS OF THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS OF THE COUNTY THAT HAVE SUFFERED THE 20 21 LARGEST INCREASE IN GANG VIOLENCE. IN ADDITION TO TARGETING 22 THE MOST VIOLENT GANGS AND GANG MEMBERS AS IDENTIFIED BY SAFE 23 STREET BUREAU, THE TEAM WORKED WITH VARIOUS COUNTY AGENCIES SUCH AS THE FIRE DEPARTMENT, REGIONAL PLANNING, PUBLIC WORKS, 24 PROBATION, DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, TO



- 1 PROVIDE ABATEMENT ACTION IN A MULTIDISCIPLINARY APPROACH TO
- 2 CRIME PREVENTION AND SUPPRESSION. SINCE ITS INCEPTION, THE
- 3 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT HAS PROVIDED THE FOLLOWING ARREST
- 4 STATISTICS ATTRIBUTED TO C.I.T. FELONIES: 1415. MISDEMEANORS:
- 5 2,050. WEAPONS LOSS: 167. CITATIONS: 1,929. FIELD INTERVIEW
- 6 REPORTS: 2,064. WE BELIEVE THAT THE RESULTS SPEAK FOR
- 7 THEMSELVES AND OUR NEIGHBORHOODS HAVE EXPERIENCED A DECREASE
- 8 IN CRIME AND VIOLENCE IN THE AREAS WHERE C.I.T.S HAVE FOCUSED.
- 9 THE COMMUNITY-ORIENTED POLICING, C.O.P.S. TEAMS, HAVE WORKED
- 10 CLOSELY WITH THE C.I.T.S. BY WORKING CLOSELY WITH THE
- 11 COMMUNITY TO IDENTIFY AND TARGET LONGSTANDING PROBLEMS IN THE
- 12 UNINCORPORATED AREAS. C.O.P.S. TEAMS HAVE DEVELOPED SPECIFIC
- 13 ENFORCEMENT AND MAINTENANCE STRATEGIES FOR PROBLEMS SUCH AS
- 14 NARCOTIC USE AND SALE, ILLEGAL VENDING, PROSTITUTION, LIQUOR
- 15 LAW VIOLATIONS AND ASSAULTS. CONTINUED FUNDING OF C.O.P.S.
- 16 DEPUTIES IS A NECESSARY COMPONENT TO AN OVERALL EFFECTIVE
- 17 SOLUTION TO REDUCE CRIME AND IMPROVE QUALITY OF LIFE IN OUR
- 18 UNINCORPORATED AREAS. THEREFORE, I MOVE TO DIRECT THE CAO'S
- 19 OFFICE TO FUND THE COMMUNITY IMPACT ON THE COPS TEAM AND TO
- 20 ALLOCATE \$5 MILLION FROM THE P.F.U. TO THE SHERIFF'S
- 21 DEPARTMENT, REQUEST THE SHERIFF TO CONTINUE PROVIDING THE
- 22 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WITH A MONTHLY C.I.T. AND COPS TEAM
- 23 ACTIVITY REPORT.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SECOND THAT. IS THERE ANY OBJECTIONS? SO
- 2 ORDERED. DO YOU HAVE ANY OTHER MOTIONS?

3

4 SUP. BURKE: I HAVE TWO OTHERS.

5

6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY.

- 8 SUP. BURKE: ONE HAS NO MONEY IN IT, LET ME START, AND THIS
- 9 RELATES TO THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER'S CONTRACT MONITORING
- 10 PROJECT. DOES EVERYONE-- LET ME PASS THAT OUT. ON MAY 21ST,
- 11 2004, THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER PROVIDED THE BOARD WITH ITS
- 12 REPORT ON THE CONTRACT MONITORING PILOT PROJECT, WHICH WAS
- 13 INITIATED TO DETERMINE HOW THE COUNTY COULD IMPROVE ITS
- 14 MONITORING OF SOCIAL SERVICES CONTRACTS WITH COMMUNITY-BASED
- 15 ORGANIZATIONS. THE CONTRACTS IN THE PILOT ARE ADMINISTERED BY
- 16 THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND SENIOR SERVICES, PUBLIC SOCIAL
- 17 SERVICES, CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, MENTAL HEALTH. HEALTH
- 18 SERVICES AND PROBATION CONTRACTS WERE NOT IN THE PILOT. THERE
- 19 WAS NO HEALTH SERVICE OR PROBATION IN THE PILOT. THE AUDITOR-
- 20 CONTROLLERS CONCLUDED THAT THE PILOT PROJECT DEMONSTRATED THE
- 21 MOST EFFECTIVE APPROACH FOR IMPROVING CONTRACT MONITORING WHEN
- 22 IT CENTRALIZED THE FUNCTION IN THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER. OTHER
- 23 APPROACHES EVALUATED, SUCH AS OVERSEEING DEPARTMENTS, CONTRACT
- 24 MONITORING TEAMS WERE DETERMINED TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE IN
- 25 DETECTING CONTRACTOR PROGRAM NONCOMPLIANCE DUE, PRIMARILY, TO



- 1 THE LACK OF EXPERT MONITORING TRAINING AND EXPERIENCE. THE
- 2 AUDITOR-CONTROLLER USED A TOTAL OF 18 AUDITOR-CONTROLLERS AND
- 3 STAFF, INCLUDING SOCIAL SERVICE MONITORING STAFF ON LOAN FROM
- 4 THE FOUR PILOT DEPARTMENTS, DURING THE PILOT PERIOD. THE
- 5 AUDITOR-CONTROLLER IS REQUESTING AN ADDITIONAL 14 STAFF, FOR A
- 6 TOTAL OF 32 STAFF, THAT WILL BE COMMITTED TO IMPLEMENT A
- 7 PHASED APPROACH TO PROVIDE SUFFICIENT STAFF TO MONITORING THE
- 8 NEARLY 5,000 SOCIAL SERVICES CONTRACTS WITH CONFINED
- 9 EXPENDITURES OF APPROXIMATELY \$3 BILLION. THE PROBATION
- 10 DEPARTMENT CONTRACTS WOULD BE ADDED TO THIS PROGRAM THIS YEAR.
- 11 THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER WOULD ASSIST THE SOCIAL SERVICES
- 12 DEPARTMENT IN IMPROVING CONTRACT WORDING TO ENSURE EXPECTED
- 13 PROGRAM OUTCOMES ARE CLEARLY STATED SO CONTRACTORS WILL
- 14 UNDERSTAND WHAT THEY WILL BE ACCOUNTABLE FOR. THE AUDITOR-
- 15 CONTROLLER WILL ALSO HELP THE DEPARTMENTS DEVELOP MEASURING
- 16 STATISTICS OR OTHER DATA THAT THE CONTRACTORS WILL BE REQUIRED
- 17 TO MAINTAIN TO ENSURE OUTCOME PERFORMANCE CAN BE MEASURED.
- 18 CONTRACTORS' STAFF WOULD BE CONSULTED DURING THE PROCESS TO
- 19 OBTAIN THEIR PERSPECTIVE ON IMPROVEMENTS IN THE DELIVERY OF
- 20 PROGRAM SERVICE. FURTHER, THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER INTENDS TO
- 21 INITIATE A LIMITED PILOT PROJECT TO TRAIN DEPARTMENT AND
- 22 CONTRACTOR STAFF ON PROGRAM OUTCOME PERFORMANCE AND MEASURING
- 23 CONCEPTS TO INCREASE UNDERSTANDING OF THESE MEASURES WITH THE
- 24 GOAL OF IMPROVING PROGRAM RESULTS. THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER IS
- 25 REQUESTING \$3.4 MILLION FOR STAFF, AN ADDITIONAL 265,000 FOR



- 1 SPACE AND ALSO ONE-TIME EQUIPMENT COSTS FOR A TOTAL OF 3.6
- 2 MILLION. THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER HAS RECOMMENDED THAT THE
- 3 SOCIAL SERVICES DEPARTMENTS BE BILLED FOR THEIR SERVICES AND
- 4 HAS DETERMINED THERE SHOULD BE NO INCREASE IN NET COUNTY
- 5 COSTS, AS THE DEPARTMENTS HAVE SUFFICIENT BUDGETING MONITORING
- 6 RESOURCES TO PAY FOR THE SERVICE. AND, OF COURSE, THESE ARE
- 7 DEPARTMENTS THAT HAVE AGREED THAT THIS IS WORKING. IT WAS A
- 8 PILOT PROJECT. IT APPARENTLY IS WORKING WITH THOSE DEPARTMENTS
- 9 THAT IT COVERS. PROBATION, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, HAS ALSO AGREED
- 10 THAT IT WOULD BE WILLING TO JOIN THIS PILOT. THE BOARD-- AND
- 11 SO I RECOMMEND THAT THE BOARD DIRECT THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER TO
- 12 CONTINUE THE CONTRACT MONITORING PROJECT TO CENTRALIZE THE
- 13 MONITORING OF SOCIAL SERVICES CONTRACT PERFORMANCE AS A PILOT
- 14 WITH THE INCLUSION OF PROBATION; THE BOARD DIRECT THE AUDITOR-
- 15 CONTROLLER TO ASSIST SOCIAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT, IMPROVING THE
- 16 WORDING IN THEIR CONTRACTS, TO ENSURE EXPECTED PROGRAM
- 17 OUTCOMES ARE CLEARLY STATED SO CONTRACTORS WILL UNDERSTAND
- 18 WHAT THEY WILL BE ACCOUNTABLE FOR AND WHAT MEASURING
- 19 STATISTICS OR OTHER DATA THEY WILL BE REQUIRED TO MAINTAIN TO
- 20 ENSURE OUTCOME PERFORMANCE CAN BE MEASURED; THE AUDITOR-
- 21 CONTROLLER INITIATE A LIMITED PILOT PROJECT TO TRAIN
- 22 DEPARTMENT STAFF AND CONTRACTORS ON PROGRAM OUTCOME
- 23 PERFORMANCE AND MEASURES; THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER WORK WITH THE
- 24 CHIEF AO, CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE, AND THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 25 HUMAN RESOURCES TO DETERMINE THE ORGANIZATION STRUCTURE OF THE



- 1 CONTRACT MONITORING DIVISION, BUDGET CHANGES THAT ARE NEEDED
- 2 TO IMPLEMENT THE CENTRALIZED CONTRACTING MONITORING FUNCTION,
- 3 AND POSITION CLARIFICATIONS AND REPORT BACK TO THE BOARD BY
- 4 ALL PARTICIPATING DEPARTMENTS, INCLUDING THE C.A.O., IN NINE
- 5 MONTHS ON THE ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND NEEDS FOR IMPROVEMENT IN THE
- 6 CONTRACTING MONITORING PROGRAM.
- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY.
- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: (INAUDIBLE) AUDITOR HERE?
- 12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: COULD WE ASK THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER TO COME
- 13 UP, PLEASE?

7

9

11

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN YOU JUST GIVE US YOUR-- PLEASE RESPOND
- 16 TO THIS AND EXPLAIN IT.
- 18 J. TYLER MCCAULEY: YES. YES, I HAD SEEN A DRAFT OF THAT. THE
- 19 FIRST YEAR, FROM MY STANDPOINT, WAS A VERY GOOD YEAR. IT WAS
- 20 KIND OF A YEAR WHERE THE CONTRACTORS WERE LEARNING MORE ABOUT
- 21 THE EXPECTATIONS OF THE COUNTY AND THEIR CONTRACTS. WE BELIEVE
- 22 IT SHOULD BE EXPANDED AND-- BECAUSE WE HAVE A TOTAL 5,000
- 23 COUNTY CONTRACTS, ABOUT HALF OF THOSE ARE HEALTH SERVICES AND
- 24 THE REST ARE THE FIVE DEPARTMENTS THAT SUPERVISOR BURKE
- 25 MENTIONED. AND WE BELIEVE THAT THE PROGRAM SHOULD CONTINUE TO



- 1 BE EXPANDED. THE DEPARTMENTS HAVE THE BUDGETED MONEY, SO,
- 2 THEREFORE, WE DON'T FEEL THERE'LL BE ANY NEED FOR ANY NET
- 3 COUNTY COST TO DO THIS. THEY WOULD JUST USE THOSE RESOURCES TO
- 4 PAY FOR THE BILLS THAT WE WOULD SEND THEM.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: DOES THIS COVER THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT
- 7 CONTRACT AS WELL?

8

- 9 J. TYLER MCCAULEY: NO, NOT AT THIS POINT, NO, SIR. NOT AS
- 10 WRITTEN.

11

12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHY NOT? IS THERE A REASON?

13

- 14 SUP. BURKE: WELL, WE WANTED TO GET THIS THROUGH FIRST.
- 15 OBVIOUSLY, THAT WOULD BE A NEGOTIATE AND DISCUSSION THAT
- 16 SHOULD TAKE PLACE WITH THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT. THE HEALTH
- 17 DEPARTMENT, IF THEY WERE INCLUDED, WOULD DOUBLE THE SIZE OF
- 18 THIS PROGRAM. THEY HAVE AS MANY CONTRACTS FOR THE HEALTH
- 19 DEPARTMENT AS ALL THESE OTHER DEPARTMENTS PUT TOGETHER. NOW,
- 20 AS SOME POINT, I BELIEVE, PERSONALLY, THAT IT WOULD BE VERY
- 21 PROFITABLE AND BE IN OUR BEST INTERESTS TO HAVE THE HEALTH
- 22 DEPARTMENT, BUT IT WOULD BE MAJOR IN TERMS OF EXPANSION.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN YOU GIVE US A REPORT IN 60 DAYS ON HOW
- 25 YOU WOULD PROCEED?



1 2 J. TYLER MCCAULEY: ABSOLUTELY. 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHETHER IT'S ADVISABLE TO PROCEED WITHOUT 4 5 THE DEPARTMENT? WOULD YOU ACCEPT THAT AS A...? 6 7 SUP. BURKE: I ABSOLUTELY WOULD ACCEPT IT. I BELIEVE THE HEALTH 8 DEPARTMENT SHOULD BE INCLUDED, BUT IT'S A MAJOR INCREASE IN THE PROGRAM. IT WOULD DOUBLE THE PROGRAM. 10 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HOW BIG IS THE PROGRAM NOW? 12 13 J. TYLER MCCAULEY: IT WOULD BE 32 PEOPLE; 18 PLUS THE 14. TO DO HEALTH, WE WOULD HAVE TO INCREASE IT CONSIDERABLY MORE. WE 14 COULD INCREASE IT SOME AND JUST BARELY GET INTO THE HEALTH 15 16 DEPARTMENT, BUT IT IS A MAJOR NEW GROUND. 17 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HEALTH DEPARTMENT HAS HALF OF THE CONTRACTS? 19 20 J. TYLER MCCAULEY: APPROXIMATELY HALF OF THEM, SUPERVISOR. 21 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THEN IT PROBABLY IS A GOOD IDEA TO AT LEAST 23 LOOK TO SEE HOW YOU COULD EXPAND IT [INAUDIBLE] EXPAND IT

25

24

AND MAKE A DECISION [INAUDIBLE]



- 1 J. TYLER MCCAULEY: WE COULD LOOK AT A COUPLE-- WE COULD LOOK
- 2 AT A COUPLE OF THEIR PROGRAMS. EVEN THE DEPARTMENTS THAT THE
- 3 SUPERVISOR MENTIONED, WE ARE ONLY LOOKING AT SOME OF THEIR
- 4 PROGRAMS BECAUSE WE HAVE SO MANY PROGRAMS IN THE COUNTY THAT
- 5 WE'RE TRYING TO EXPAND. EVEN THE DEPARTMENTS THAT SUPERVISOR
- 6 BURKE MENTIONED, WE ARE ONLY LOOKING AT SEVERAL OF THE
- 7 PROGRAMS NOW AND WE WILL EXPAND THE NUMBER OF PROGRAMS THAT WE
- 8 LOOK AT THOUGH THOSE DEPARTMENTS, ARE DEPARTMENTS, THE FIVE
- 9 SHE MENTIONED, HAVE MULTIPLE PROGRAMS AND WE ARE USING A
- 10 COMBINATION OF MY STAFF AND THE SOCIAL SERVICE STAFF WORK
- 11 ALONGSIDE US TO DO THESE CONTRACTS. THIS WOULD JUST BRING
- 12 THOSE STAFF UNDER MY JURISDICTION, AND THEN WE COULD LOOK
- 13 AT...

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT. SO CAN YOU GIVE US A REPORT IN 60
- 16 DAYS, SEPARATE AND APART FROM THIS ACTION, GIVE US A REPORT IN
- 17 60 DAYS ON WHAT IT WOULD TAKE TO EXPAND IT TO THE HEALTH
- 18 DEPARTMENT?

19

20 J. TYLER MCCAULEY: FOR THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT? YES, SIR.

21

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT WOULD BE MY FRIENDLY AMENDMENT.

23

24 SUP. BURKE: I WOULD ACCEPT THAT.



1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. SECONDED.

2

3 SUP. MOLINA: (INAUDIBLE) ANY MONEY AT ALL.

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE: ACTUALLY, THERE'S NO MONEY, IT'S JUST TRANSFER OF
- 6 STAFF FROM ONE DEPARTMENT.

7

8 J. TYLER MCCAULEY: THAT'S CORRECT.

9

10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: IS THAT CORRECT?

11

12 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES, THAT IS CORRECT, THERE'S NO ADDITIONAL...

13

14 J. TYLER MCCAULEY: NO ADDITIONAL NET COUNTY COST.

15

16 SUP. BURKE: I HAVE ONE MORE THAT IS MONEY.

17

18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SURE, GO AHEAD.

- 20 SUP. BURKE: EVERYONE, REALLY GET READY FOR THIS ONE. THE
- 21 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS SMALL CLAIMS ADVISOR PROGRAM
- 22 PROVIDES COUNSELING TO LITIGANTS CONCERNING COURT RULES,
- 23 VENUES, SERVICE OF PROCESS, COLLECTION, AND APPEALS. THIS
- 24 PROGRAM IS FUNDED BY A SIX-DOLLAR FEE COLLECTED FROM LITIGANTS
- 25 WHEN THEY FILE A COMPLAINT AND REQUEST A COURT HEARING. THE



- 1 CURRENT FUNDING RECOMMENDATION FOR THE SMALL CLAIM ADVISORY
- 2 PROGRAM IS 538,000. CALIFORNIA CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE
- 3 PROVIDES THAT THIS AMOUNT SHALL BE INCREASED EACH FISCAL YEAR
- 4 BY AN AMOUNT EOUAL TO THE PERCENTAGE INCREASED IN REVENUES
- 5 DERIVED FROM SMALL CLAIM COURT FILINGS OVER THE PRIOR FISCAL
- 6 YEAR. ACCORDING TO THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS, THE
- 7 INCREASE MANDATED BY STATUTE AMOUNTS TO \$51,000 FOR THE FISCAL
- 8 YEAR OF APRIL, STARTING APRIL '05. IN LIGHT OF CCP 116.910(2)
- 9 AND THE FACT THAT THE PROGRAM COUNSELS MORE THAN 140,000
- 10 LITIGANTS ANNUALLY, THE ADDITIONAL ALLOCATION SHOULD BE
- 11 SERIOUSLY CONSIDERED. I THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE BOARD OF
- 12 SUPERVISORS DIRECT C.A.O., COUNTY COUNSEL, AND DEPARTMENT OF
- 13 CONSUMER AFFAIRS TO REVIEW CCP 116.910(2) TO DETERMINE WHETHER
- 14 AN ADDITIONAL ALLOCATION OF \$51,000 SHOULD BE MADE TO THE
- 15 SMALL CLAIM COURT ADVISORY PROGRAM AND JOINTLY REPORT BACK ANY
- 16 FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS WITHIN 30 DAYS.

18 SUP. MOLINA: SECOND.

17

19

21

24

20 **SUP. BURKE:** IT'S \$51,000.

- 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MOVED AND SECONDED. ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS?
- 23 ANY OBJECTIONS? SO ORDERED.

25 SUP. BURKE: ALL RIGHT. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER AT THIS TIME.

C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES.

24

25



1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THAT WAS A REPORT BACK, NOT AN ADDITION TO THE 2 3 BUDGET? IS THAT RIGHT? 4 5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: RIGHT. IT WAS A REPORT BACK. IS THAT CORRECT, ON 51? 6 7 8 SUP. BURKE: IT WAS. HOWEVER, LET ME ASK THE C.A.O., WOULD YOU 9 PREFER THAT WE ADD THIS \$51,000 AND SAVE ALL THE STAFF? YOU'LL SPEND \$50,000 IN STAFF TIME. 10 11 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO. OUR STAFF HAS NOTHING TO DO NOW THAT THE 12 13 BUDGET IS DONE AND THEY'D BE HAPPY TO WORK ON THIS ITEM. SO, NO, I DON'T WANT YOU TO ADD... 14 15 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I GUESS THE QUESTION WOULD BE, FOR \$51,000 AT THIS PARTICULAR POINT, IS IT WORTH A REPORT BACK IN 30 DAYS 17 18 IF ALLOCATED TODAY? 19 20 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES. 21 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YES? 23



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO WE'RE GOING TO SUBTRACT THE C.A.O.'S
- 2 COSTS FROM \$51,000, IS WHAT WE'RE GOING TO DO. [LAUGHTER]
- 3 OKAY. SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I HAVE TWO, MR. CHAIRMAN. FIRST IS A MOTION
- 6 BY MYSELF AND MS. MOLINA THAT, SINCE 1987, THE LOS ANGELES
- 7 WINTER SHELTER PROGRAM, FORMERLY KNOWN AS THE COLD WET WEATHER
- 8 PROGRAM, HAS PROVIDED OVERNIGHT BEDS AND SERVICES FOR OVER
- 9 2,000 HOMELESS PEOPLE DURING THE WINTER MONTHS. LOS ANGELES
- 10 HOMELESS SERVICES AUTHORITY, L.A.H.S.A., HAS ADMINISTERED THE
- 11 PROGRAM SINCE 1994 WITH FUNDING PRIMARILY FROM THE CITY OF LOS
- 12 ANGELES, THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, AND THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY
- 13 MANAGEMENT AGENCY. THE WINTER SHELTERS PROVIDE APPROXIMATELY
- 14 1,255 BEDS IN THE CITY AND 782 BEDS ELSEWHERE IN THE COUNTY,
- 15 ALONG WITH HOTEL VOUCHERS FOR FAMILIES AND CASE MANAGEMENT
- 16 SERVICES FROM DECEMBER 1ST THROUGH MARCH 15TH OF EACH YEAR.
- 17 LAST YEAR, THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ALLOCATED \$500,000 IN ONE-
- 18 TIME FUNDS TO COVER A SHORTFALL IN F.E.M.A. FUNDING FOR THE
- 19 COUNTY'S WINTER SHELTER PROGRAM. THIS FUNDING GAP, HOWEVER, IS
- 20 AN ONGOING PROBLEM. THE SHORTFALL COULD CREATE A CRISIS
- 21 SITUATION FOR THE COUNTY'S HOMELESS INDIVIDUALS WHO NEED
- 22 SHELTER AND SERVICES DURING THE WINTER MONTHS. IT IS
- 23 IMPERATIVE THAT THE COUNTY FULLY FUND THE WINTER SHELTER
- 24 PROGRAM. WE THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
- 25 DIRECT THE C.A.O., CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER, TO ALLOCATE



- 1 \$500,000 TO THE LOS ANGELES HOMELESS SERVICES AUTHORITY FOR
- 2 THE PURPOSE OF OPERATING THE WINTER SHELTER PROGRAM.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. IT'S BEEN SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR
- 5 MOLINA. ARE THERE ANY OBJECTIONS? IF NOT, SO ORDERED.
- 6 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, YOU INDICATED YOU HAD TWO?

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I DO. THIS IS INTRODUCED BY MYSELF AND MR.
- 9 ANTONOVICH. THE SHERIFF FACES A \$35 MILLION OPERATING DEFICIT
- 10 THIS YEAR CREATED FROM A PRECIPITOUS DROP IN FEDERAL REVENUE
- 11 FOR INCARCERATING UNDOCUMENTED CRIMINALS AND A CONTINUING RISE
- 12 IN COSTS OF HEALTH BENEFITS FOR RETIREES AND WORKERS'
- 13 COMPENSATION. THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER HAS PROPOSED
- 14 REDUCING THE SHERIFF'S WORKERS' COMPENSATION COSTS BY \$6
- 15 MILLION, WHICH LOWERS THE SHERIFF'S OPERATING DEFICIT TO \$29
- 16 MILLION. THE C.A.O. HAS ALSO PROPOSED APPROPRIATING AN
- 17 ADDITIONAL 11 AND A HALF MILLION TO THE SHERIFF, WHICH BRINGS
- 18 THE DEPARTMENT'S OPERATING DEFICIT DOWN TO 17.5 MILLION
- 19 DOLLARS. IT IS IMPERATIVE THAT THE BOARD CLOSE THE REMAINING
- 20 17 AND A HALF MILLION DOLLAR OPERATING DEFICIT SO THE SHERIFF
- 21 CAN AT LEAST MAINTAIN HIS OPERATIONS AT THE SAME LEVEL HE WAS
- 22 ABLE TO SUSTAIN THIS YEAR AND HOLD UNINCORPORATED AREA PATROL
- 23 AND JAILS HARMLESS FROM FURTHER CUTS. IT IS ALSO IMPERATIVE
- 24 THAT THE BOARD IMMEDIATELY ADDRESS THE NEED FOR INCREASED
- 25 SAFETY IN THE JAILS WHICH CANNOT BE ACCOMPLISHED WITHOUT



- 1 ADDITIONAL RESOURCES. FIVE INMATE HOMICIDES OCCURRED THIS YEAR
- 2 WITHIN THE COUNTY JAIL SYSTEM ALONE. WE, THEREFORE, MOVE THAT,
- 3 TO CLOSE THE OPERATING DEFICIT, THE BOARD APPROPRIATE AN
- 4 ADDITIONAL \$17.5 MILLION OF THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT FUND
- 5 BALANCE TO ITS OPERATING BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR '04/'05, AND
- 6 THAT THE C.A.O. AND THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER ADJUST THIS FIGURE
- 7 AT BOOK CLOSING TO REFLECT ANY CHANGES IN PROJECTIONS
- 8 REGARDING SCAP REVENUE AND WORKERS' COMPENSATION COSTS. WE
- 9 FURTHER MOVE THAT THE BOARD REQUEST THE SHERIFF TO MAINTAIN
- 10 THE CURRENT LEVEL OF PATROL SERVICES IN THE UNINCORPORATED
- 11 AREAS AND THE CURRENT LEVEL OF STAFFING IN THE JAILS. WE
- 12 FURTHER MOVE THAT THE BOARD APPROPRIATE AN ADDITIONAL \$5
- 13 MILLION IN ONGOING REVENUE TO THE SHERIFF'S CUSTODY OPERATIONS
- 14 TO IMMEDIATELY ENHANCE JAIL SAFETY, CONTINGENT ON THE SHERIFF
- 15 PROVIDING A 2.5 MILLION DOLLAR MATCH FROM THE DEPARTMENT'S
- 16 INMATE WELFARE FUND TO BE USED APPROPRIATELY AS REQUIRED BY
- 17 LAW FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE INMATES, SPECIFICALLY FOR INMATE
- 18 SAFETY. AND WE FURTHER MOVE THAT THE BOARD REQUEST THE SHERIFF
- 19 TO REPORT BACK OUARTERLY TO THE BOARD AS TO HOW THE FUNDS WERE
- 20 INVESTED, WHAT IMPROVEMENTS WERE MADE, AND WHAT RESULTS WERE
- 21 ACHIEVED IN ENHANCING JAIL SAFETY. MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD JUST
- 22 WANT TO ADD A COUPLE OF MY OWN AD LIB REMARKS ON THIS. WE HAVE
- 23 ASKED THE SHERIFF, FOR THE LAST SEVERAL YEARS, ACTUALLY, TWO
- 24 OR THREE YEARS, TO TAKE CUTS IN HIS BUDGET, AND HE HAS TAKEN A
- 25 CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT OF CUTS IN HIS BUDGET, AS ALL DEPARTMENTS



- I IN THIS COUNTY HAVE. IT HAS BEEN PARTICULARLY DIFFICULT FOR
- 2 HIM TO DO THIS, FOR HISTORICAL AND CULTURAL REASONS IN THE
- 3 BUREAUCRACY. BUT, NEVERTHELESS, HE HAS DONE IT, HE HAS DONE A
- 4 LOT. HE'S NOT DONE EVERYTHING ALL OF US WOULD HAVE WANTED BUT
- 5 HE'S DONE A LOT AND HE HAS DONE A LOT TO TRY TO GET HIS ARMS
- 6 AROUND ON HIS BUDGET, WHICH IS-- HAS BEEN, I THINK, A NEMESIS
- 7 FOR EVERY SHERIFF SINCE I'VE BEEN HERE, AND IT CERTAINLY HAS
- 8 BEEN CONVOLUTED ENOUGH FOR ME TO UNDERSTAND. BUT HE HAS MADE A
- 9 SINCERE EFFORT TO TRY TO GET HIS ARMS AROUND IT AND HE HAS
- 10 ALSO MADE SOME VERY DIFFICULT, OFTENTIMES DIFFICULT POLITICAL
- 11 DECISIONS TO TRY TO KEEP HIS BUDGET-- HIS SPENDING IN LINE
- 12 WITH THE MONEY HE HAS AVAILABLE TO HIM SO THAT WE DIDN'T HAVE
- 13 THE SITUATION WE HAD SOME YEARS AGO WHERE, AT THE END OF THE
- 14 YEAR, THE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WAS FACED WITH THE
- 15 SITUATION OF HAVING TO BACKFILL A CHUNK OF MONEY BECAUSE THE
- 16 DEPARTMENT HAD SPENT BEYOND ITS MEANS. THAT HAS NOT BEEN THE
- 17 CASE WITH THIS SHERIFF, CERTAINLY NOT FOR THE LAST TWO OR
- 18 THREE YEARS. BUT, AS A RESULT OF ALL OF THOSE PRESSURES THAT
- 19 ALL OF US HAVE PUT ON HIM, MOST OF US HAVE PUT ON HIM, IN AN
- 20 EFFORT TO GET GREATER BUDGETARY FISCAL ACCOUNTABILITY IN THAT
- 21 DEPARTMENT, HE HAS HAD TO DO THINGS THAT, I THINK, WOULD MAKE
- 22 SENSE FOR US TO GIVE US SOME RELIEF ON RIGHT NOW. AND ONE OF
- 23 THE CONSEQUENCES OF HIS-- OF THE MANNER IN WHICH HE HAS CLOSED
- 24 THE BOOKS, CLOSED THE GAPS IN HIS BOOKS, HAS BEEN REALIZING
- 25 THE JAIL SYSTEM ITSELF. I THINK THE JAIL SYSTEM IS A MUCH MORE



- 1 COMPLICATED PROBLEM THAN JUST MONEY. IT MAY BE COMPETENCE IN
- 2 MANAGEMENT MAY BE AS MUCH AN ISSUE HERE AS MONEY, AND I THINK
- 3 HE'S TRYING TO ADDRESS THAT AS WELL. BUT IT ALSO INVOLVES SOME
- 4 FUNDING AND, FOR THAT REASON, I PROPOSE TO-- MR. ANTONOVICH
- 5 AND I PROPOSE TO CLOSE THE GAP THAT HE HAS, MAKE HIM WHOLE, AS
- 6 WE JUST DID OR ARE ABOUT TO DO WITH THE LIBRARY DEPARTMENT,
- 7 MAKE HIM WHOLE, AND THEN GIVE THEM SOME FUNDING TO ADDRESS THE
- 8 VERY CRITICAL PROBLEMS IN OUR JAIL SYSTEM, AND REQUIRE HIM OR
- 9 ASK-- ACTUALLY, IN THIS CASE, IT IS REQUIRING HIM, BECAUSE
- 10 IT'S CONTINGENT ON HIS MATCHING, AND I UNDERSTAND THAT HE'S
- 11 PREPARED TO MATCH THE \$5 MILLION THAT WE ARE PROPOSING FOR THE
- 12 JAILS, TO MATCH IT WITH THE INMATE WELFARE FUND EXPENDITURE OF
- 13 \$2.5 MILLION, WHICH MEANS THAT THIS ACTION, IF THE BOARD WERE
- 14 TO APPROVE IT, WOULD GIVE THE SHERIFF AN ADDITIONAL \$7.5
- 15 MILLION TOTAL, WITH WHICH HE WOULD BE EXPECTED TO ADDRESS THE
- 16 COUNTY JAIL SITUATION. I WOULD ALSO SUGGEST, ALTHOUGH IT'S NOT
- 17 IN MY MOTION, THERE ARE A NUMBER OF STUDIES THAT ARE BEING
- 18 CONDUCTED NOW. JENACO IS LOOKING-- THE OFFICE OF INDEPENDENT
- 19 REVIEW IS LOOKING AT ASPECTS OF IT, THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY HAS
- 20 APPOINTED A PANEL, WE'VE ASKED MERRICK BOBB TO ADDRESS THIS
- 21 SITUATION. I THINK THAT, SIMULTANEOUS WITH THIS ACTION, IF THE
- 22 BOARD WERE TO APPROVE IT, I THINK IT WOULD BE IN THE BEST
- 23 INTERESTS OF THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, AND THE JAILS IN
- 24 PARTICULAR, IF THE SHERIFF HIMSELF UNDERTOOK A-- KIND OF A
- 25 MANAGEMENT REVIEW OF THAT WHOLE SYSTEM SO THAT, AS THE MONEY



- 1 IS INVESTED, IT'S INVESTED IN THE MOST APPROPRIATE WAY AND THE
- 2 MOST COST EFFECTIVE WAY DESIGNED TO YIELD THE BEST RESULTS OF
- 3 SAFETY FOR OUR CUSTODY OFFICERS IN THE JAIL, SAFETY FOR THE
- 4 PRISONERS. THEY ALSO DESERVE SAFETY AND WE DON'T HAVE TO
- 5 RECOUNT EVERYTHING THAT'S GONE ON. SO THAT'S MY MOTION AND,
- 6 HOPEFULLY, THE SHERIFF WILL CONTINUE IN HIS EFFORTS TO MANAGE
- 7 HIS FISCAL AFFAIRS EVEN BETTER THAN HE HAS IN THE LAST COUPLE
- 8 OF YEARS AND INSPIRE THE KIND OF CONFIDENCE, AT LEAST IN ME,
- 9 THAT HE HAS BY TRYING TO ADDRESS THIS PROBLEM. AND IT'S A FAR
- 10 CRY FROM WHAT IT WAS THE FIRST YEAR HERE WHEN WE HAD A-- WE
- 11 ALL REMEMBER THE BIG RALLY AND SHERIFF BACA HAS TAKEN THE
- 12 OPPORTUNITY TO TRY TO GET CONTROL WITHIN HIS OWN DEPARTMENT.
- 13 HE'S GOT A WAYS TO GO BUT I'M CONFIDENT HE'S COMMITTED TO IT,
- 14 AND I HAVE A LEVEL OF CONFIDENCE, AS ONE MEMBER, TO SUPPORT
- 15 THIS KIND OF AN APPROPRIATION. SO THAT'S OUR JOINT MOTION.

16

- 17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. WE GOT A MOTION. SECOND. SUPERVISOR
- 18 BURKE, YOU HAVE SOME COMMENTS?

- 20 SUP. BURKE: YES. WHILE THE CONCEPT APPEARS TO BE ONE THAT I
- 21 WOULD BE SUPPORTIVE OF, I DO HAVE SOME QUESTION IN TERMS OF
- 22 WHERE IT SAYS AS-- THE INMATE WELFARE FUND. IS INMATE SAFETY
- 23 SOMETHING THAT YOU CAN USE MONEY FROM THE INMATE WELFARE FUND?
- 24 ACCORDING TO THE LAW, IT SAYS-- ACCORDING TO THE LAW, AND I



- 1 GUESS THERE'S BEEN A LOT OF DISCUSSION OF THAT. COULD YOU
- 2 PLEASE RESPOND TO THAT?

3

- 4 SPEAKER: MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, I BELIEVE THAT
- 5 THAT IS AN APPROPRIATE EXPENDITURE, A LAWFUL EXPENDITURE FROM
- 6 THE INMATE WELFARE FUND, WAS-- WE'D LIKE TO CONFIRM THAT BUT
- 7 MY RECOLLECTION IS THAT IS THE CASE.

8

- 9 SUP. BURKE: I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE SOME CONFIRMATION OF THAT.
- 10 COULD YOU REPORT BACK TO US ON WHETHER OR NOT THAT IS A USE,
- 11 AS SPECIFIED BY LAW. AND, IF NOT, PERHAPS IT MIGHT BE A GOOD
- 12 IDEA TO IDENTIFY ANOTHER FUND TO GO INTO SO WE DON'T HAVE SOME
- 13 OF THE ISSUES THAT WE'VE HAD IN THE PAST WHEN WE HAVE USED THE
- 14 INMATE WELFARE FUND.

15

16 SPEAKER: WE WILL DO SO.

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN, I JUST WOULD-- I WOULD NOT
- 19 HAVE AN OBJECTION IF YOU WANTED TO INSERT SOMETHING ALONG
- 20 THOSE LINES IN THE MOTION ITSELF BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT-- I
- 21 THINK, WHEN WE SAY "TO BE USED APPROPRIATELY," THAT WAS WHAT
- 22 WE WERE LOOKING TO DO IS TO MAKE SURE IT MET LEGAL MUSTER. SO
- 23 THAT WOULD-- AND IF IT WASN'T, THEN WE'D HAVE TO IDENTIFY
- 24 ANOTHER SOURCE BUT IT'S MY...



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WE CAN ALWAYS ADD IF POSSIBLE AFTER WELFARE
- 2 FUND THERE, OR SOMETHING.

3

4 SUP. BURKE: YEAH, IF APPROPRIATE.

5

6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: IF APPROPRIATE OR IF LEGAL.

7

8 SUP. BURKE: OR SOME OTHER APPROPRIATE FUND.

9

10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WOULD YOU BE COMFORTABLE WITH THAT?

11

12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IF IT'S LEGALLY PERMISSIBLE.

13

14 SUP. BURKE: RIGHT. IF NOT, SOME OTHER FUND.

15

16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

- 18 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU. I'D LIKE TO OFFER UP A FRIENDLY
- 19 AMENDMENT. WE'LL SEE HOW THIS GOES. BASICALLY, THIS
- 20 RESTORATION IS A FAIRLY HEALTHY ONE FOR THE SHERIFF BUT, AT
- 21 THE SAME TIME, AND, I GUESS, IF IT'S CALLED IN THE FACT THAT A
- 22 PERCENTAGE OF THIS, IT'S JUST BEEN ESCALATING AND THERE'S NO
- 23 DOUBT THAT IT'S HAD AN IMPACT ON THE RUNNING OF THIS ENTIRE
- 24 DEPARTMENT. BUT, AT THE SAME TIME, I AM CONCERNED ABOUT JUST
- 25 THROWING MONEY INTO SOMETHING AND I GUESS THE ISSUE, MR.



- 1 YAROSLAVSKY, IS THE ISSUE OF JAIL SAFETY. I AGREE WITH YOU
- 2 THAT WE NEED TO PUT SOME MONEY INTO IT IF, IN FACT, WE'RE
- 3 GOING TO HAVE A BETTER OUTCOME THAN WE'VE HAD UP TO NOW. AND I
- 4 AM CONCERNED. IT'S STILL-- WE STILL HAVE NOT RECEIVED THE
- 5 INFORMATION AS TO WHAT THE PROBLEM WAS. I MEAN, WE'VE HAD SOME
- 6 INKLING THAT IT'S-- BUT ONE OF IT, OF COURSE, IS THE FACT THAT
- 7 THE RESOURCES ARE LIMITED, AND I CAN APPRECIATE AND UNDERSTAND
- 8 THAT. BUT WHAT I'D LIKE TO PROPOSE, AND I HAVE A MOTION THAT
- 9 I'D LIKE TO PASS OUT, IS THAT I'D LIKE TO SEE WHAT CAN EXACTLY
- 10 BE DONE. WHAT IS THE ACCOUNTABILITY STANDARD? WHAT ARE WE
- 11 GOING TO SEE CHANGE FOR A 7.5 MILLION DOLLAR INFUSION OF MONEY
- 12 INTO JAIL SAFETY? AND SO, AGAIN, WHAT I'D LIKE TO SEE DONE IS
- 13 THE POTENTIAL OF PUTTING THIS MONEY IN A P.F.U. SO WE COULD
- 14 GET SOME KIND OF OUTLINE OF WHAT THE PROPOSAL IS. NOW, IT
- 15 DOESN'T HAVE TO BE ALL THAT DRAMATIC FROM THE STANDPOINT OF
- 16 EXACTLY WHAT ARE THEY GOING TO DO AND EXACTLY, YOU KNOW, 2.5
- 17 SERGEANTS FOR ALL OF THAT. I DON'T NEED THOSE KINDS OF DETAILS
- 18 BUT I GUESS I BETTER WANT TO BETTER UNDERSTAND IS-- AND THAT'S
- 19 WHY I PUT SUCH A SHORT TIMEFRAME ON IT, BASICALLY, COMING BACK
- 20 IN THREE WEEKS, AND ASKING THE SHERIFF TO LOOK AT THE NUMBER
- 21 OF PERSONNEL OVERTIME HOURS THAT WOULD BE ADDED TO OUR JAILS.
- 22 I THINK IT WOULD BE WORTHWHILE TO KNOW HOW MANY PEOPLE ARE
- 23 GOING TO BE THERE AND WHAT THAT ADDED SAFETY WILL BRING. THE
- 24 EXACT LOCATION WHERE THE ADDED PERSONNEL WOULD BE STATIONED IN
- 25 ORDER TO IMPROVE SAFETY. AND, AGAIN, THIS ISSUE COMES FROM,



- 1 YOU KNOW, LOOKING AT THIS GENTLEMAN WHO WANDERED AROUND, OR
- 2 INMATE THAT WANDERED AROUND FOR ALL THOSE HOURS, OF HOW THOSE
- 3 DOLLARS WOULD BE UTILIZED TO PROMOTE THAT KIND OF SAFETY, A
- 4 LIST OF ALL THE EQUIPMENT THAT COULD BE PURCHASED WITH THIS
- 5 MONEY, HOW THESE FUNDS WILL HELP PERSONNEL FOLLOW JUST THE
- 6 BASIC PROTOCOLS OF STATE AND FEDERAL LAWS, AND HOW THE
- 7 TRACKING OF INMATES WILL BE IMPROVED, HOW ARE WE GOING TO SEE
- 8 THAT? I'D LIKE TO SEE AN EFFORT OF TRYING TO ELIMINATE PRUNO
- 9 FROM OUR COUNTY JAILS, AND THAT HAS LED TO SO MANY OF OUR
- 10 PROBLEMS, AND I THINK THERE COULD BE A WAY, I'M NOT SURE WHAT
- 11 IT IS, BUT I'D LIKE THEM TO AT LEAST LOOK AT HOW IT COULD BE
- 12 DONE, AND WHETHER OR NOT VIDEO CAMERAS WILL BE PURCHASED TO
- 13 HELP MONITOR INMATES IN THEIR CELLS OR OTHER MONITOR
- 14 INTERACTIONS BETWEEN DEPUTIES AND INMATES. AND, IF NOT, WHY
- 15 NOT? AND HOW MUCH WILL THEY COST IF THEY DO SO? AND HOW
- 16 PERSONNEL WITH UNSTABLE MEDICAL CONDITIONS WILL BE PROTECTED,
- 17 HOW PERSONNEL-- I THINK THIS IS INCORRECT, WITH UNSTABLE
- 18 MEDICAL CONDITIONS-- I THINK THAT'S THE WRONG TERM HERE-- WILL
- 19 BE PROTECTED UPON RELEASE. I THINK WE NEED "INMATES". AND ANY
- 20 OTHER DETAILS OF THE DEPARTMENT STRATEGY. I JUST THINK THAT IT
- 21 WOULD BE GOOD TO KNOW WHERE WE'RE GOING ON THIS. THE POTENTIAL
- 22 LIABILITY OF MANY OF THE ISSUES THAT WE'VE HEARD IS A
- 23 TREMENDOUS CONCERN TO ME. IT IS SO UNFORTUNATE THAT WE MAY PAY
- 24 OVER \$7.5 MILLION IN JUST THE LIABILITY OF SOME OF THE ISSUES
- 25 THAT HAVE OCCURRED TO DATE, JUST FROM AN INCIDENT THAT



- 1 OCCURRED OVER, WHAT, A SIX-MONTH PERIOD. I THINK THAT IT'S A
- 2 GOOD IDEA TO PUT THOSE DOLLARS INTO JAIL SAFETY AND TO FIND A
- 3 WAY TO GET IT MORE FOCUSED IN A DIRECTION THAT WE'RE GOING TO
- 4 SEE SOME OF THE LESSONS LEARNED. THAT IS, WHAT HAPPENED IN
- 5 THOSE INMATES DEATHS? WHAT ARE THE THINGS THAT WE SHOULD BE
- 6 DOING BETTER? WHAT LED TO IT? AND, HOPEFULLY, UTILIZE THESE
- 7 DOLLARS AND MAYBE ADDITIONAL DOLLARS IN ORDER TO MAKE SURE.
- 8 AND, AS YOU SAID, MR. YAROSLAVSKY, THESE INMATES ARE ENTITLED
- 9 TO SAFETY WHILE WE-- WHILE INCARCERATED IN OUR JAILS. THERE IS
- 10 NO DOUBT THAT MANY OF THESE PEOPLE DID COMMIT UNBELIEVABLE
- 11 CRIMES BUT, AT THE SAME TIME, THEY'VE NOT BEEN SENTENCED TO
- 12 DEATH AND, UNFORTUNATELY, IN OUR JAILS, SOME OF THESE
- 13 SITUATIONS ARE FAIRLY HORRIFYING TO ALL OF US. AND I THINK
- 14 IT'S A GOOD SUPPLEMENT. AND I THINK IT'S SOMETHING THAT WE
- 15 NEED TO KNOW WHAT IS WORKING AND WHAT'S NOT WORKING BUT
- 16 CERTAINLY I THINK WE NEED TO HAVE SOME BASIC BASELINE OF
- 17 STANDARDS THAT MAYBE THEY COULD COME BACK WITH OR AT LEAST LET
- 18 US KNOW HOW THEY'RE GOING TO UTILIZE THE FUNDS. NONE OF THIS
- 19 IS A LIST OF WHAT THEY NEED TO DO. THIS IS MOSTLY AN
- 20 ACCOUNTABILITY LIST OF SOME OF THE THINGS I'D LIKE TO SEE, BUT
- 21 THEY'RE THE PROFESSIONALS THAT KNOW BETTER AS TO WHAT WOULD
- 22 MAKE OUR JAILS SAFER, HOW THEY WOULD UTILIZE THESE DOLLARS,
- 23 AND IT WOULD BE WORTHWHILE TO BE WORKING HAND IN HAND WITH THE
- 24 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT TO KNOW EXACTLY HOW THEY'D BE UTILIZING
- 25 THE ADDITIONAL 7.5 MILLION.



1

- 2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ON THAT, THE MAKERS OF THE MOTION CAN
- 3 RESPOND, AS WELL, TOO. I HAD A POINT OF CLARIFICATION. ONE, I
- 4 MEAN, YOUR COMMENT IN THERE ABOUT MISLEADING THE PUBLIC AND
- 5 THIS ABOUT THROWING THE MONEY AWAY, I MEAN, IT'S ALSO BE
- 6 IRRESPONSIBLE OF US NOT TO TRY TO PUT SOME MONEY IN TO TRY TO
- 7 FIX THE PROBLEM, AS WELL, TOO. IS YOUR MOTION MOVING FORWARD
- 8 WITH THE 7.5?

9

10 SUP. MOLINA: NO, MY...

11

- 12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: LET ME FINISH. MOVING FORWARD PUTTING 7.5
- 13 ASIDE BUT, I MEAN, IT'S ALLOCATING THE 7.5.

14

15 SUP. MOLINA: YES, YES.

16

- 17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: IT'S NOT JUST HOLDING IT OFF UNTIL IT COMES
- 18 BACK WITH A REPORT OR STATUS OR PLAN OR SOMETHING?

- 20 SUP. MOLINA: NO, NO, NO. WHAT IT DOES-- NO, WHAT IT DOES IS,
- 21 AND IF YOU'LL READ ON HERE, IT SAYS THAT WE SET IT ASIDE FOR
- 22 THREE WEEKS. THAT'S ALL IT SAYS, AND THAT, HOPEFULLY, THE
- 23 SHERIFF, AT THAT POINT IN TIME, WHICH IS-- I MEAN, IT DOESN'T
- 24 EVEN GO INTO HIS ACCOUNT, RIGHT, UNTIL JULY 1ST ANYWAY? BUT
- 25 WHAT IT WOULD MEAN IS THAT WOULD COME BACK WITH, HOPEFULLY, A



- 1 BEGINNING OUTLINE OF HOW THESE FUNDS WOULD BE UTILIZED TO
- 2 AFFECT SAFETY. AND IT COULD BE ANYTHING. IT COULD BE
- 3 PURCHASING EQUIPMENT, IT COULD BE PERSONNEL, IT COULD BE
- 4 OVERTIME. I JUST PUT TOGETHER A LIST, AGAIN, EVEN ON THE ISSUE
- 5 OF HOW TO ERADICATE PRUNO. I MEAN, I DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S
- 6 POSSIBLE BUT IT JUST-- IT HAS BEEN A PROBLEM IN TWO OF THESE
- 7 INSTANCES AND SO IT'S JUST A MATTER OF USING OUR LESSONS
- 8 LEARNED AND SEEING HOW IT WOULD BE IMPLEMENTED.

9

- 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH OR YAROSLAVSKY, AS
- 11 THE MAKERS OF THE MOTION?

12

13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, LET ME-- CAN I REACT TO IT?

14

15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SURE.

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I-- THE REASON I BROUGHT THIS IN TODAY, AND
- 18 I THINK MR. ANTONOVICH AS WELL, AND NOT WAIT-- NORMALLY, WE
- 19 HAVE DEFERRED ALL THESE KINDS OF THINGS 'TIL AUGUST/ SEPTEMBER
- 20 WHEN WE GET THE STATE ACTION. I BELIEVE WE HAVE-- I DON'T
- 21 THINK YOU NEED TO HAVE IT DEMONSTRATED TO ANY OF US THAT WE
- 22 HAVE A CRISIS IN OUR JAILS, NUMBER ONE. NUMBER TWO, FOR THE
- 23 SHERIFF TO BEGIN TO ADDRESS THE PROBLEMS IN THE JAILS, WE'VE
- 24 GOT TO TAKE A LITTLE BIT OF THE FISCAL-- FINANCIAL PRESSURE
- 25 OFF OF HIM IN HIS GENERAL OPERATIONS, WHICH IS WHY THIS MOTION



- 1 DOES TWO THINGS: IT CLOSES THE REMAINDER OF HIS HOLE AND IT
- 2 GIVES HIM MONEY THAT ADDS UP WITH HIS MATCH TO 7.5 MILLION FOR
- 3 THE JAILS. I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM, IF YOU WANT, WITH-- IF YOU
- 4 STRIKE THE THREE WEEKS. JUST ASK HIM TO PRESENT A PLAN BY THE
- 5 15TH OF JULY. I WOULD ASSUME HE'S-- I KNOW THAT THEY ARE
- 6 PREPARING A PLAN. I DON'T KNOW ABOUT EVERY ONE OF THE POINTS
- 7 THAT MS. MOLINA'S AMENDMENT RAISED BUT I KNOW THAT HE'S
- 8 PREPARING A PLAN. WE'VE ASKED HIM FOR THAT. I THINK IT'S IN
- 9 HIS OWN INTEREST TO MAKE SURE THAT HE KNOWS HOW HE'S GOING TO
- 10 ADDRESS THIS, AND THEY'RE WORKING ON THAT AS WE SPEAK. AND I
- 11 THINK HE OUGHT TO SHARE THAT WITH THE BOARD, WITH THE PEOPLE
- 12 OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY, BUT I DON'T WANT TO HOLD THIS FOR THREE
- 13 WEEKS OR FOR A DAY. OTHERWISE, IN A SENSE, I DON'T WANT TO
- 14 COMPLETELY MICROMANAGE THIS OPERATION. I THINK WE OUGHT TO
- 15 OVERSEE IT AND, THROUGH THAT OVERSIGHT PROCESS, ASK HIM TO
- 16 COME BACK WHEN HE HAS HIS PLAN. GIVE HIM A DATE, JULY 15TH,
- 17 BUT DON'T HOLD-- DON'T HOLD UP ANY OF THE FUNDING, BECAUSE WHO
- 18 KNOWS HOW LONG IT'LL BE BEFORE WE GET TO ANOTHER TIME LIKE
- 19 THIS. IF YOU WANTED TO-- BUT THAT WOULD BE MY PREFERENCE.

21 **SUP. MOLINA:** MR. CHAIRMAN?

23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YES?

25 SUP. MOLINA: WOULDN'T OBJECT TO THAT.

24

20

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WOULD OR WOULD NOT? 3 SUP. MOLINA: WOULD NOT. 4 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. FINE. THEN WHAT I WOULD SUGGEST, MS. 6 7 MOLINA, IF IT'S OKAY WITH YOU... 8 SUP. MOLINA: JUST ELIMINATE THE P.F.U. PORTION OF IT. 10 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S FINE, AND THEN I WOULD JUST ADD YOUR NINE POINTS, AND ANY OTHER ITEMS WHICH HE MAY... 12 13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, NUMBER 9 SORT OF WRAPS IT UP. I 14 15 THINK, IF YOU LOOK AT NUMBER 9 ON THE REPORT... 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: [INAUDIBLE] NUMBER 9. YEAH, THAT'S FINE. 17 18 19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: 9 WRAPS IT UP AND THEN REPORT BACK BY JULY 20 15TH? 21 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S FINE. I CAN LIVE WITH THAT. 23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. OKAY, THEN WITH THAT SUGGESTED CHANGE 24

ON THE AMENDMENT, THE AMENDMENT'S BEFORE US. MOVED BY



- 1 SUPERVISOR MOLINA, THE CHAIR WOULD SECOND. WITHOUT OBJECTION,
- 2 SO ORDERED. THEN THE ENTIRE ITEM, THE MOTION BY SUPERVISOR
- 3 YAROSLAVSKY, SECONDED BY ANTONOVICH AS AMENDED. HEARING NO
- 4 OBJECTIONS, SO ORDERED. THAT WAS-- THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER JUST
- 5 RAISED AN ISSUE. THERE IS AN ITEM WITHIN HERE TO PUT IT ON THE
- 6 AGENDA. DO YOU WANT TO AGENDIZE IT? ON OR ABOUT JULY 15TH? I
- 7 MEAN, IT'S UP TO YOU.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHY DON'T WE JUST DO IT IN THE NORMAL COURSE
- 10 AND THEN, IF ANY OF US WANT TO AGENDIZE IT, WE CAN DO IT AT
- 11 THAT TIME.

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. WITH THAT CLARIFICATION.
- 14 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, DO YOU HAVE ANOTHER MOTION?

15

16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I HAVE NO OTHERS.

- 18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I HAVE A COUPLE OF MOTIONS. FIRST ONE IS
- 19 THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY LIFEGUARDS HAVE SEEN SIGNIFICANT
- 20 REDUCTIONS OVER THE PAST YEAR. FOR EXAMPLE, THERE HAS BEEN
- 21 ELIMINATION OF LIFEGUARD TRAINING ACADEMY, THE PARAMEDIC
- 22 TRAINING PROGRAM, THE CURTAILMENT OF THE DIVE TEAM, AND THE
- 23 CURTAILMENTS OF HEALTH CERTIFICATION PROGRAMS. IN ADDITION,
- 24 JUST LAST MONTH, WE EXPERIENCED THE HEAT WAVE THROUGHOUT THE
- 25 COUNTY WHICH ATTRACTED A RECORD NUMBER OF VISITORS TO OUR



- 1 COUNTY BEACHES. AND THIS FACTOR, COUPLED WITH THE CURTAILMENTS
- 2 THAT HAVE PLACED A MAJOR STRAIN ON LIFEGUARD OPERATIONS. IN
- 3 ORDER TO ENSURE THE SAFETY OF THE PUBLIC WHO VISIT OUR
- 4 BEACHES, IT'S IMPERATIVE THAT WE RESTORE THESE PROGRAMS, WHICH
- 5 ARE ESSENTIAL. SO I WOULD THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE BOARD OF
- 6 SUPERVISORS REALLOCATE \$552,000 FROM THE P.F.U. ACCOUNT TO THE
- 7 LOS ANGELES COUNTY FIRE DEPARTMENT LIFEGUARD OPERATIONS. MOVED
- 8 AND SECONDED. ANY OBJECTIONS? SO ORDERED.

9

10 SUP. MOLINA: BOY, YOU'RE FAST ON YOURS. [LAUGHTER]

11

12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: DID YOU...

13

14 SUP. MOLINA: I GOT IT. NO, IT'S FINE.

15

16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THAT'S WHY I DIDN'T LOOK UP.

17

- 18 SUP. MOLINA: OKAY. THERE MUST HAVE BEEN A REASON. [LIGHT
- 19 LAUGHTER]

20

- 21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MY LAST ONE HERE IS IN REGARDS TO THE
- 22 OPERATION READ PROGRAM WITHIN THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT AND I
- 23 WOULD MOVE THAT THE \$790,000 BE REALLOCATED FROM THE P.F.U. TO
- 24 THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT FOR OPERATION READ.



SUP. ANTONOVICH: SECONDED.

2

- 3 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MOVED AND SECONDED. ANY DISCUSSION? I KNOW
- 4 THAT WE ALL HAVE GREAT PRIDE IN THAT. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 5 ORDERED. SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND TO COMBINE THE-- AND CHILDREN'S SERVICES,
- 8 THE CONCURRENT PLANNING ADOPTIONS INTEGRATION PROPOSAL AND THE
- 9 OLDER YOUTH ADOPTION. THE DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY
- 10 SERVICES HAS DEVELOPED A PROPOSAL TO ADDRESS THE SEMETIC AND
- 11 STRUCTURAL CHANGES NECESSARY TO STREAMLINE THE ADOPTION
- 12 PERMANENCY PROCESS. THE CONCURRENT PLANNING ADOPTION PLAN
- 13 INCLUDES FULL INTEGRATION, A MANDATED UTILIZATION, A
- 14 CONCURRENT PLANNING AND ASSIGNING AN ADOPTION OF SOCIAL
- 15 WORKERS TO EACH WORK UNIT THROUGHOUT THE DEPARTMENT TO BEGIN
- 16 WORKING WITH THE CHILD AND FAMILY EARLY ON IN CONDUCTING
- 17 ADOPTION-RELATED WORK. AND, ON THE OLDER ADOPTION PROGRAM, THE
- 18 PLAN HAS BEEN TO INCREASE THE PERCENTAGE OF FOSTER YOUTHS
- 19 ADOPTED, AGES 14 AND OLDER. 50 YOUTH OVER THE PAGE OF 14 HAVE
- 20 BEEN IDENTIFIED TO BEGIN THE PROGRAM. HOWEVER, ADDITIONAL
- 21 RESOURCES ARE NEEDED TO EXPAND THAT WITHIN OUR COUNTY. SO I'D
- 22 MOVE THAT THE BOARD DIRECT THE C.A.O. AND THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 23 CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES TO EXPLORE THE AVAILABILITY OF
- 24 RESOURCES WITHIN THE EXISTING BUDGET TO FUND THE REQUIRED
- 25 STAFF AND ADDITIONAL RESOURCES NECESSARY TO IMPLEMENT THE



- 1 PROPOSED PLAN AND REPORT BACK WITHIN 90 DAYS AS TO THE
- 2 PROGRESS OF THE CONCURRENT PLANNING ADOPTION INTEGRATION
- 3 PROGRAM AND THAT THE BOARD DIRECT THE C.A.O. AND DEPARTMENT OF
- 4 CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES TO EXPLORE THE AVAILABILITY OF
- 5 RESOURCES WITHIN THE EXISTING BUDGET TO ALLOW FOR THE
- 6 EXPANSION OF THE OLDER YOUTH ADOPTION PROGRAM TO OTHER AREAS
- 7 WITHIN OUR COUNTY.

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS OR CONCERNS ON THIS
- 10 ITEM? IT'S A REPORT BACK. CHAIR WOULD SECOND IT. WITHOUT
- 11 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. TO REPORT BACK.

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ON THE DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH, THE
- 14 DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH, JUNE 2ND STAKEHOLDERS PROCESS
- 15 REPORT RECOMMENDED CURTAILING GENERAL MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES
- 16 BY \$9.2 MILLION. THIS WOULD IMPACT OUR OUTPATIENT MENTAL
- 17 HEALTH SERVICES, MEDICATION SUPPORT, INPATIENT BEDS,
- 18 RESIDENTIAL CARE AND DAY TREATMENT, MENTAL HEALTH PROGRAMS AND
- 19 COMMUNITY OUTREACH ACTIVITIES FOR THE UNINSURED. SO I'D MOVE
- 20 THAT THE BOARD DIRECT THE C.A.O. AND DIRECTOR OF MENTAL HEALTH
- 21 TO REPORT BACK BY SEPTEMBER 30TH WITH A RECOMMENDATION
- 22 REGARDING THE RESTORATION OF A \$2.2 MILLION CURTAILMENT IN
- 23 GENERAL MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FOR CRISIS INTERVENTION;
- 24 \$800,000, MEDICATION SUPPORT; \$900,000 IN RESIDENTIAL CARE;



- 1 \$500,000 FROM ANY UNANTICIPATED SAVINGS REALIZED FROM OTHER
- 2 COUNTY DEPARTMENTS OR OTHER ACCOUNTS.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THE CHAIR WILL SECOND THAT. AGAIN, IT'S A
- 5 REPORT BACK.

6

7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HANG ON. I'M SORRY. WHAT ARE WE ON?

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THIS IS ON THE MENTAL HEALTH. WE WANT A
- 10 REPORT BACK BY SEPTEMBER 30TH.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WE'RE NOT SPENDING ANY MONEY HERE. HANG ON
- 13 ONE SECOND, DON.

14

- 15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: IT'S TIED INTO THE FUND BALANCE DISCUSSION
- 16 THAT WILL BE TAKING PLACE.

17

18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT.

19

20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY? WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE CASTAIC LIFEGUARD FUNDING. THEY'VE BEEN
- 23 TRADITIONALLY-- LIFEGUARDS THAT TRADITIONALLY THEN SUPERVISE
- 24 THE SWIM BEACH FROM THE MEMORIAL DAY WEEKEND THROUGH LABOR
- 25 DAY, AND THEY PROVIDED BOAT PATROL AT CASTAIC RECREATIONAL



- 1 CENTER. THIS YEAR, DUE TO STAFFING ADJUSTMENTS, FUNDING WAS
- 2 NOT BUDGETED TO CONTINUE SAID SUPERVISION THROUGH THE UPCOMING
- 3 SUMMER SEASON. THE BUDGET FOR THIS YEAR'S OPERATION INCLUDED
- 4 STAFFING REDUCTIONS WITH MINIMAL LIFEGUARD SERVICE SINCE
- 5 FEBRUARY, SUFFICIENT ONLY FOR CONTINUED LIAISON WITH THE
- 6 OFFICE OF PUBLIC SAFETY, WHICH HAS BEEN PERFORMING PATROLS OF
- 7 THE LAKE. BEFORE THIS YEAR'S SWIMMING SEASON HAD BEGUN AT THE
- 8 LAKE, THERE WAS A TRAGIC ACCIDENT WHERE A NINE-YEAR-OLD GIRL
- 9 ENTERED THE WATER AND WAS DROWNED. THE FACILITY HAS SIGNAGE
- 10 POSTED THROUGHOUT THE PARK INDICATING THAT NO SWIMMING IS
- 11 ALLOWED. HOWEVER, IT IS ESSENTIAL THAT WE CONTINUE TO OFFER
- 12 SAFE SWIMMING AND BOATING AREAS FOR PUBLIC USE AT CASTAIC. THE
- 13 NUMBER OF SENIORS SUPERVISING AND REOCCURRING LIFEGUARDS NEEDS
- 14 TO BE INCREASED TO ENSURE THAT IS ADEQUATE BOAT PATROL AND
- 15 SWIM BEACH LIFEGUARD SERVICES AT THE LAKE. SO I'D MOVE THAT
- 16 THE BOARD DIRECT THE C.A.O. FOR FISCAL YEAR 2004 AND FIVE TO
- 17 ALLOCATE \$735,000 OF NET COUNTY COSTS TO THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 18 PARKS AND REC TO FUND STAFF AND PROGRAMS RELATED TO LIFEGUARD
- 19 SERVICES AT THE RECREATIONAL CENTER, CASTAIC LAKE, THAT WERE
- 20 REDUCED ON JANUARY 31ST, 2004, AS A RESULT OF THE BUDGETARY
- 21 CURTAILMENTS.

22

23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THIS IS FROM WHEN TO WHEN, NOW?



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THIS WOULD BE FOR THE-- THROUGH THE SUMMER,
- 2 WHICH WOULD PROVIDE-- AND THROUGH MEMORIAL DAY, THROUGH LABOR
- 3 DAY.

4

5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I WOULD SECOND IT. QUESTIONS?

6

7 SUP. MOLINA: WAIT A MINUTE. YOU'RE SECONDING IN THIS AMOUNT?

8

9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YES, I AM.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: COULD WE GET SOME EXPLANATION ON IT? I JUST
- 12 WANT TO...

13

- 14 SUP. MOLINA: I WANT TO UNDERSTAND THE NUMBERS, TOO. MAYBE THE
- 15 C.A.O. COULD GIVE THAT TO US.

16

17 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** IS TIM HERE OR...?

18

19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WHO ARE YOU ASKING FOR?

20

21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: TIM GALLAGHER.

22

23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: TIM GALLAGHER.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: COULD I ASK SOME QUESTIONS OF DAVID, JUST SO I
- 2 UNDERSTAND? WE DID THE RESTORATION ALREADY. RIGHT?

3

- 4 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WE DID A PARTIAL RESTORATION, SUPERVISOR. WE
- 5 DID NOT...

6

7 SUP. MOLINA: FOR THE SUMMER.

8

9 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES, I BELIEVE THAT'S CORRECT.

10

11 SUP. MOLINA: SO...

12

13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: FOR THIS SUMMER?

14

- 15 C.A.O. JANSSEN: FOR THIS SUMMER BUT I'M NOT SURE THAT WE
- 16 RESTORED IT TO ITS OLD LEVEL, THOUGH. YOU NEED THE DEPARTMENT
- 17 ON THIS. THERE HE IS

- 19 TIM GALLAGHER: ON TIME. TIM GALLAGHER, PARKS AND REC DIRECTOR.
- 20 WE ARE NOT RESTORED BACK TO PREVIOUS LEVEL. WE ARE AT A LEVEL
- 21 WHERE WE JUST HAVE TWO LIFEGUARDS CURRENTLY WORKING AT CASTAIC
- 22 LAKE. THE PROPOSAL THAT SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH BROUGHT FORWARD
- 23 WOULD RESTORE US BACK TO THE LEVEL THAT WE WERE AT TWO YEARS
- 24 AGO BEFORE THE LIFEGUARD SERVICES WERE CURTAILED FROM THE
- 25 BUDGET.



1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WHICH WAS WHAT? 2 3 TIM GALLAGHER: ABOUT AN ADDITIONAL 15 POSITIONS. 4 5 C.A.O. JANSSEN: SO FROM TWO TO 15? 6 7 8 TIM GALLAGHER: YEAH. ADD IN ABOUT-- NO, ADDING AN ADDITIONAL 15, 14.78. 10 11 SUP. MOLINA: SO WHAT IS THE ACTUAL COST OF LIFEGUARD AND THOSE 12 SERVICES THERE NOW? HOW MUCH MONEY DO WE HAVE IN THERE NOW? DO 13 YOU KNOW? 14 TIM GALLAGHER: NOT OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD BUT IT'S JUST TWO 15 16 LIFEGUARDS SO, YOU KNOW, A HUNDRED SOME THOUSAND DOLLARS IS 17 WHAT WE HAVE. 18 19 SUP. MOLINA: SO THIS IS, FOR JUST THE SUMMER, THAT'S WHAT THIS 20 IS. RIGHT? 21

TIM GALLAGHER: NO. THIS WOULD BE FOR THE FULL FISCAL YEAR.

23



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: SO THIS IS FOR AN ENTIRE YEAR, PROVIDING
- 2 LIFEGUARD SERVICES AND A FULL COMPLEMENT, EVEN WHEN THERE
- 3 ISN'T-- I MEAN...

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YOU HAVE MEMORIAL DAY COMING UP, YOU HAVE
- 6 LABOR DAY-- WE HAD MEMORIAL DAY. WE HAVE LABOR DAY...

7

- 8 SUP. MOLINA: I UNDERSTAND, AND I CAN UNDERSTAND FOR SPECIAL
- 9 USES, MR. ANTONOVICH. I'M CONCERNED ABOUT THIS.

10

11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I THOUGHT THIS WAS FOR SUMMER.

12

- 13 SUP. MOLINA: TIM, HAVE YOU AT ALL PURSUED OR ARE WORKING WITH
- 14 AT ALL IN THE-- I KNOW-- STATE ON HOW WE'RE GOING TO ADDRESS
- 15 THIS ISSUE? THIS IS, AGAIN-- IT'S A SITUATION OF WHERE WE
- 16 HAVE-- WE HAVE A MANDATE FROM THE STATE AND YET WE WERE OKAY
- 17 WITH THE CUTBACKS WE HAD MADE, WE WEREN'T VIOLATING ANYTHING.
- 18 I'M JUST VERY CONCERNED THAT WE'RE CREATING A HIGHER BASELINE.

- 20 TIM GALLAGHER: WELL, A COUPLE THINGS TO KEEP IN MIND, AND I
- 21 HAVE HAD A SERIES OF MEETINGS JUST THIS FRIDAY WITH STATE
- 22 LEGISLATORS OVER CASTAIC AND SO WE CONTINUE TO WORK ON THE
- 23 FUNDING ISSUE, OF COURSE, YOU KNOW, SACRAMENTO BEING WHAT
- 24 SACRAMENTO IS RIGHT NOW. BUT A COUPLE THINGS TO KEEP IN MIND,
- 25 AND YOU'RE RIGHT, SUPERVISOR MOLINA, WE HAVE NOT VIOLATED



- 1 ANYTHING WHEN THE LIFEGUARD SERVICES WERE CURTAILED AT THE
- 2 LAKE. MANY STATES THROUGHOUT-- MANY LAKES THROUGHOUT
- 3 CALIFORNIA OFFER LIFEGUARD SERVICES FAR LESS THAN WHAT ARE
- 4 OFFERED AT CASTAIC BUT, AGAIN, YOU KNOW, IT'S A DECISION THAT
- 5 WE ALL HAVE TO FACE ABOUT WHAT LEVEL OF PUBLIC SAFETY CAN WE
- 6 AFFORD AND WHAT IS IMPORTANT FOR THE PUBLIC. PRIMARILY WHAT IS
- 7 BEING BROUGHT BACK HERE, IN THE RECOMMENDATION BY SUPERVISOR
- 8 ANTONOVICH, IS ADDITIONAL LIFEGUARD SERVICES THROUGHOUT THE
- 9 YEAR FOR BOAT CONTROL, FOR HELPING PEOPLE GET IN AND OUT OF
- 10 THE LAKE WITH THEIR BOATS, ET CETERA, IN ADDITION TO THE
- 11 LIFEGUARD BEACHES THAT WE OPERATE FROM MEMORIAL DAY THROUGH
- 12 LABOR DAY. SO IT'S REALLY A DECISION ABOUT WHAT IS APPROPRIATE
- 13 AND CASTAIC, I WILL SAY, IN MY YEARS OF EXPERIENCE WORKING
- 14 WITH LAKES, IS A ONE OF A KIND BEACH THAT TENDS TO BE A LITTLE
- 15 BIT MORE PROBLEMATIC BECAUSE OF THE TWO LAKES THAT WE HAVE,
- 16 BECAUSE OF THE WIND THAT TENDS TO COME UP OUT OF NOWHERE IN
- 17 THAT AREA OF THE WORLD.

18

- 19 SUP. BURKE: IS THERE SOME NUMBER IN BETWEEN HERE THAT YOU
- 20 WOULD FEEL WOULD IMPROVE THE LIFEGUARD SITUATION? I MEAN,
- 21 GOING FROM TWO TO 14. IS THAT 14 ALL YEAR, YOU'RE SAYING, OR
- 22 14 JUST THE SUMMER?

- 24 TIM GALLAGHER: THAT'S 14 POSITIONS, 14.78 THAT WOULD OPERATE
- 25 DURING FOR-- FOR THE FULL FISCAL YEAR. MANY OF THOSE COME IN



- 1 DURING-- THEY'RE PART-TIME PEOPLE WE BRING IN DURING THE
- 2 SUMMERTIME, DURING THE PEAK SEASON. SOME OF THOSE ARE PEOPLE
- 3 THAT ARE THERE ON A YEAR-ROUND BASIS. AND, AGAIN, WHAT NUMBER
- 4 WE CHOOSE IS-- THIS BRINGS US TO OUR HISTORICAL LEVEL, WHAT
- 5 NUMBER WE CHOOSE IS REALLY THE DECISION ABOUT WHAT WE THINK WE
- 6 CAN AFFORD, BECAUSE WE KNOW WE HAVE ABOUT A MILLION VISITORS
- 7 UP THERE EACH YEAR. WE KNOW THAT THERE'S GOING TO BE AN
- 8 INJURY, AN ACCIDENT SOMEWHERE ALONG THE WAY. THOSE THINGS WE
- 9 CAN TAKE FOR A FACT. SO IT'S WHAT LEVEL OF PUBLIC SERVICE CAN
- 10 WE PROVIDE THAT IS BEST SITUATED FOR THE RESOURCES WE HAVE AT
- 11 HAND?

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE PRE-CURTAILMENT WAS 32.41 AND WHAT WE'RE
- 14 ASKING FOR IS 14.99, UP FROM 5.21.

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YEAH, BUT THIS DOLLAR AMOUNT REFLECTS A
- 17 BACKFILL FOR '03/'04, PLUS '04/'05, IS THAT CORRECT? FOR A
- 18 FULL YEAR. CORRECT?

19

- 20 TIM GALLAGHER: NO. NO, THIS IS JUST-- THIS WOULD BRING US BACK
- 21 TO WHAT WE WERE PRIOR TWO FISCAL YEARS AGO.

22

23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: STARTING WHEN?



- I TIM GALLAGHER: STARTING JULY 1ST. THIS 735 THAT SUPERVISOR
- 2 ANTONOVICH WOULD FUND, ADDITIONAL 14.78 POSITIONS, THAT WOULD
- 3 BRING US BACK UP TO OUR PRE-CURTAILMENT LEVEL.

4

5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BEGINNING NEXT WEEK.

6

7 TIM GALLAGHER: CORRECT.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WHAT WAS YOUR ANSWER TO MS. BURKE'S
- 10 QUESTION IF THERE'S A FIGURE IN BETWEEN? I DIDN'T CATCH THAT.

11

- 12 TIM GALLAGHER: YOU KNOW, IT'S REALLY PUTTING ME ON THE SPOT
- 13 BECAUSE THERE'S ANY NUMBER YOU CAN CHOOSE ANYWHERE IN BETWEEN.
- 14 AND, LIKE I SAID, WE KNOW THERE'S GOING TO BE ACCIDENTS UP
- 15 THERE AND WE KNOW THAT THINGS ARE GOING TO HAPPEN.

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, THERE ARE ACCIDENTS THERE IF YOU PUT
- 18 IT AT 795,000, TOO.

- 20 TIM GALLAGHER: OH, I AGREE WITH YOU. I AGREE. THERE WILL BE
- 21 SITUATIONS THAT WILL OCCUR SO IT'S REALLY A DECISION OF WHAT
- 22 RESOURCES DO WE HAVE AVAILABLE TO PROVIDE FOR THE PUBLIC, MUCH
- 23 LIKE THE DECISIONS THAT ARE MADE WHAT LEVEL SERVICE FOR THE
- 24 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT IS IMPORTANT FOR THE COUNTY? AND IT'S THE



- 1 SAME WHEN YOU PROVIDE A PUBLIC SERVICE LIKE LIFEGUARDS. QUITE
- 2 FRANKLY, BASED ON THE HISTORIC...

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I GUESS THE DIFFERENCE, TIM, IS THAT THIS
- 5 WAS A SERVICE THAT WAS PROVIDED BY THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR
- 6 UMPTEEN YEARS AND THEY'VE DECIDED TO GET OUT OF THE BUSINESS
- 7 AND NOW WE'RE PICKING IT UP. AND THAT'S-- YOU KNOW, LAST YEAR,
- 8 IT WAS A ONE-TIME THING AND WE WERE GOING TO TRY TO FIGURE OUT
- 9 A WAY TO GET THE STATE BACK INVOLVED AND OBVIOUSLY NOTHING
- 10 LIKE THAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN. AND THE STATE, AS WE ALL KNOW,
- 11 IS TRYING TO FIGURE OUT ALL KINDS OF WAYS TO HAVE LOCAL
- 12 GOVERNMENT PICK UP A LOT OF THINGS THEY'VE BEEN DOING LIKE
- 13 LIBRARY BOOKS, AND-- I MEAN, WE'RE, AND WE'RE NOT-- I DON'T
- 14 THINK WE'RE IN A POSITION TO DO ALL THAT AND WHAT WE ARE
- 15 RESPONSIBLE FOR, AND I JUST DON'T KNOW. I DON'T KNOW ENOUGH
- 16 ABOUT THE CASTAIC SITUATION TO KNOW WHAT WOULD BE-- I MEAN,
- 17 MS. BURKE'S QUESTION IS THE ONE THAT WAS ON MY MIND.

- 19 TIM GALLAGHER: OKAY. LET ME CLARIFY ONE THING HERE BASED UPON
- 20 SUPERVISOR BURKE'S QUESTION IS THAT WHAT THE RECOMMENDATION BY
- 21 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH IS JUST TO BRING US UP TO THE
- 22 CURTAILMENT LEVEL WE WERE AT TWO YEARS AGO IN COUNTY- SUPPLIED
- 23 FUNDING. THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA HAS NEVER PROVIDED A DOLLAR
- 24 TOWARDS ANY SERVICES AT CASTAIC LAKE OUTSIDE OF BONDS OR GRANT
- 25 MONIES THAT WE'VE BEEN ABLE TO GET. THEY DO NOT PROVIDE, NOR



- 1 HAVE THEY EVER PROVIDED ANY OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE MONEY
- 2 FOR CASTAIC. THAT'S BEEN ENTIRELY A COUNTY FUNCTION. SO IF YOU
- 3 ASK ME WHAT LEVEL OF SERVICE WOULD I THINK IS APPROPRIATE. AT
- 4 A MINIMUM, I THINK THE LEVEL OF SERVICE THAT I THINK IS
- 5 APPROPRIATE IS WHAT WE HISTORICALLY HAVE DONE TWO YEARS AGO
- 6 WAS THE HISTORIC LEVEL THAT WE'VE BEEN AT.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WE DID NOTHING, I THOUGHT. THE STATE-- WHAT
- 9 DID THE STATE DO?

10

- 11 TIM GALLAGHER: STATE HAS NEVER PUT IN A DOLLAR FOR OPERATION
- 12 AND MAINTENANCE AT CASTAIC. THAT'S BEEN ENTIRELY A FUNCTION
- 13 THAT YOUR BOARD HAS...

14

- 15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE. OPERATION BEING
- 16 THE LIFE GUARDING SERVICE, THEY'VE NEVER PAID FOR LIFE
- 17 GUARDING?

18

19 TIM GALLAGHER: NEVER PAID FOR IT.

20

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT DID THE STATE PAY FOR?

- 23 TIM GALLAGHER: THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA HAS ONLY SUPPLIED
- 24 FUNDING TO THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES IN THE MEANS OF STATE



- 1 BOND MEASURES WHERE GRANT FUNDS HAVE BEEN PROVIDED. THERE'S
- 2 NEVER BEEN AN OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE DIME.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT DID THE STATE CEASE FUNDING AT LAKE
- 5 CASTAIC LAST YEAR?

6

- 7 TIM GALLAGHER: THEY DID NOT CEASE FUNDING. WHAT HAPPENED LAST
- 8 YEAR IS THERE WERE SOME ADJUSTMENTS IN STATE REVENUE TO THE
- 9 COUNTY, SUCH AS YOUR SB-90 MONIES THAT THE STATE WITHHELD
- 10 UNRELATED TO CASTAIC, BUT WE WERE OPERATING A STATE FACILITY
- 11 FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AT NO COST.

12

13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WE WERE OPERATING IT?

14

15 TIM GALLAGHER: RIGHT.

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AT NO COST. THEY WERE REIMBURSING US THROUGH
- 18 STATE FUNDING AND THAT STATE FUNDING CEASED TO EXIST, CEASED
- 19 TO COME FORWARD?

20

21 TIM GALLAGHER: CORRECT.

- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THAT WAS THE RESULT OF A CONTRACT THAT THE
- 24 COUNTY DID, I DON'T KNOW, 50 YEARS AGO, 40 YEARS AGO THAT WE
- 25 WOULD DO THAT.

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: RIGHT, BUT THEY CEASED FUNDING BECAUSE OF 2 3 THE STATE BUDGET MESS SO... 4 5 TIM GALLAGHER: CORRECT. THAT'S THE SCENARIO. THEY HAVE NEVER DIRECTLY GIVEN ANY DOLLARS TO THE COUNTY FOR THE OPERATION OF 6 7 CASTAIC. 8 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, THAT JUST DEPENDS ON YOUR POINT OF VIEW. 10 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS? THE ITEM IS 12 13 BEFORE US. ARE THERE ANY OBJECTIONS? 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: LET ME-- I'M JUST TRYING TO FIGURE-- SO 15 \$800,000, WHICH IS BASICALLY WHAT THIS IS... 16 17 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: 735. 19 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: 735,000? SORRY, I THOUGHT IT WAS 795. 735,000 TAKES CARE OF YOU FROM MEMORIAL DAY... 21 22 23 TIM GALLAGHER: FULL FISCAL YEAR. FULL FISCAL YEAR. IF YOU LOOK AT THE LAKE GUARD OPERATIONS.. 24

> The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I THOUGHT MR. ANTONOVICH WAS TRYING TO JUST
- 2 COVER IT FROM MEMORIAL DAY TO LABOR DAY.

3

4 SUP. BURKE: NO, HE SAID FULL YEAR.

5

- 6 TIM GALLAGHER: NO, IT'S FULL YEAR. IF YOU LOOK AT THE
- 7 LIFEGUARD OPERATIONS AT CASTAIC IN TWO SENSES; ONE IS WE HAVE
- 8 LIFEGUARDS ON SEVEN DAYS A WEEK, 365 DAYS A YEAR. THEY DO BOAT
- 9 PATROL. THEY ASSIST PEOPLE GETTING IN AND OUT OF THE LAUNCH
- 10 RAMPS DURING WINDY TIMES. THEY DO RESCUE, FIRST AID, ET
- 11 CETERA. THEN WE HAVE OUR SECOND PORTION OF LAKE LIFEGUARD
- 12 OPERATION, WHICH IS OUR SWIM BEACHES, WHICH OPERATE FROM
- 13 MEMORIAL DAY THROUGH LABOR DAY. SO THE 735 WOULD RESTORE BOTH
- 14 OF THOSE FUNCTIONS UP TO THE HISTORIC LEVELS WE WERE AT TWO
- 15 YEARS AGO BEFORE THE BUDGET WAS CURTAILED.

16

- 17 SUP. BURKE: PARDON ME. WAS PART OF THIS PROBLEM OF THE-- AND
- 18 I-- THE WAY THIS IS PROVIDED, IT'S PRESENTED TO US, IS IN
- 19 CONTEXT OF THIS CHILD BEING DROWNED. PART OF THAT WAS A
- 20 COMMUNICATION PROBLEM. HAVE YOU SOLVED THE COMMUNICATION
- 21 PROBLEM?

22

- 23 TIM GALLAGHER: I'M NOT QUITE SURE WHAT YOU MEAN BY A
- 24 COMMUNICATION PROBLEM.



- 1 SUP. BURKE: I THOUGHT THEY WERE UNABLE TO REACH THE LIFEGUARD,
- 2 THERE WAS NO WAY TO REACH THEM BECAUSE THERE WAS NO ADEQUATE
- 3 COMMUNICATION SYSTEM.

4

- 5 TIM GALLAGHER: YEAH, THAT WAS TYING IT INTO THE 9-1-1 SYSTEM
- 6 AND THAT PROBLEM HAS BEEN CORRECTED. THEY ARE NOTIFIED WHEN--
- 7 IT WAS PRIMARILY THE LIFEGUARDS DID NOT KNOW WHEN A 9-1-1 CALL
- 8 WAS MADE AND THEY ARE NOTIFIED.

9

10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THEY NOW KNOW?

11

12 TIM GALLAGHER: YES.

13

- 14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. ARE THERE ANY OBJECTIONS? IS
- 15 SUPERVISOR MOLINA OBJECTING?

16

17 SUP. MOLINA: I'M SORRY?

18

- 19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. WITH THE ONE OBJECTION, SUPERVISOR
- 20 MOLINA OBJECTING, SO ORDERED.

21

- 22 SUP. MOLINA: WAIT, WAIT. I'D LIKE TO ASK A QUESTION
- HERE.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SURE. I ASKED IF YOU HAD AN OBJECTION, YOU
- 2 STARTED SHAKING YOUR HEAD "YES".

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA: YEAH, I WANT TO-- MR. JANSSEN, ON THIS WHOLE
- 5 ISSUE, AND THIS IS A TALLY WHERE WE'RE AT AND WHAT DOESN'T
- 6 SHOW UP THERE...

7

8 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THE NET IS 4.126 MILLION LEFT.

9

- 10 SUP. MOLINA: I'M SURE THERE ARE SOME DESIGNS ON IT SOMEWHERE
- 11 ALONG THE WAY, RIGHT? I DON'T HAVE ANY DESIGNS ON IT AND I
- 12 DON'T KNOW IF THERE ARE OTHER MOTIONS PENDING RIGHT NOW.
- 13 THERE'S NO DOUBT THERE'S ALL KINDS OF NEEDS THAT MUST BE MET.
- 14 WHAT'S YOUR GAME PLAN OR GIVE ME SOME IDEA WHERE YOU'RE GOING.
- 15 WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT, WE'RE VERY FORTUNATE HERE IN THIS
- 16 SITUATION AND, FOR THE MOST PART, THESE ARE ALMOST ONE-TIME
- 17 FUNDS, ALTHOUGH-- I UNDERSTAND. ALTHOUGH, AGAIN, WE ARE
- 18 LOOKING AT, HOPEFULLY, THIS IS THE BEGINNING OF A SERIES OF
- 19 REVENUE INCREASES THAT WE CAN BE EXPECTING IN MANY RESPECTS.
- 20 BUT RIGHT NOW, AS YOU STARTED OUT IN YOUR BEGINNING DISCUSSION
- 21 WITH US, IS YOU TALKED ABOUT THIS TWO-YEAR SITUATION. SO-- AND
- 22 WE'RE GOING TO BE TALKING ABOUT HOW WE'RE GOING TO START
- 23 APPROACHING TWO YEARS FROM NOW, RIGHT?

24

25 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT.



1

2 SUP. MOLINA: COULD YOU TALK TO US MORE ABOUT THAT GAME PLAN?

3

- 4 C.A.O. JANSSEN: MR. CHAIR, SUPERVISOR MOLINA, FIRST OF ALL, ON
- 5 THE MONEY THAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT HERE, THERE'S \$15.9
- 6 MILLION OF PROPERTY TAX AVAILABLE TODAY, AND THERE REMAINS
- 7 4.126 MILLION UNALLOCATED. THAT'S GOOD MONEY. IT'S ONGOING.
- 8 IT'S THE KIND OF MONEY WE LIKE TO SEE. THE FUND BALANCE-- USE
- 9 OF FUND BALANCE FOR THE SHERIFF IS A DIFFERENT TYPE OF
- 10 FUNDING. WE'RE GOING TO BE LOOKING AT THAT AND IMPROVEMENTS,
- 11 HOPEFULLY, FOR NEXT YEAR. WITH RESPECT TO THE STATE, WE OWE
- 12 THE STATE, ASSUMING THE LEGISLATURE HOLDS TO THAT PORTION OF
- 13 THE DEAL, AND I REALLY THINK THEY WILL, \$103 MILLION. THIS
- 14 BUDGET PAYS THE FIRST PORTION OF THAT NEXT YEAR. WE HAVE--
- 15 WE'RE PROPOSING TO ALLOCATED \$103 MILLION, WRITE THEM A CHECK
- 16 AND SEND IT UP. THAT'S PRIMARILY AS A RESULT, FRANKLY, OF THE
- 17 GOVERNOR'S SUCCESS IN NEGOTIATING WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
- 18 WAIVER OF FOOD STAMP PENALTIES. SO THE GOVERNOR CAN TAKE
- 19 CREDIT FOR THAT. BUT WE HAD PRUDENTLY SET MONEY ASIDE AND IT'S
- 20 AVAILABLE TO DO THAT. FOR '05/'06, WE'RE GOING TO BE LOOKING
- 21 AT ADDITIONAL FUND BALANCE. WE NEED TO COME UP WITH ANOTHER
- 22 \$103 MILLION. WE'RE GOING TO BE...

23

24 SUP. MOLINA: HOW MUCH AGAIN?



- 1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ANOTHER \$103 MILLION FOR THE FOLLOWING YEAR,
- 2 AND WE WILL BE LOOKING AT FUND BALANCE, PRIMARILY, AND
- 3 WHATEVER OTHER RESERVES WE HAVE TO COME UP WITH THAT \$103
- 4 MILLION. I DO NOT ANTICIPATE THAT WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO CUT
- 5 THE BUDGET TO FIND THAT MONEY BECAUSE, THE FOLLOWING YEAR, WE
- 6 DON'T HAVE TO DO IT AGAIN. I THINK, WITH SOME WORK, WE WILL BE
- 7 ABLE TO COME UP WITH THE MONEY NEXT YEAR, BUT THERE ARE A
- 8 COUPLE OF THINGS STILL LEFT IN SACRAMENTO THAT COULD BE
- 9 PROBLEMATIC. PROBATION CAMPS IS A HUGE ONE. I MEAN, BOTH
- 10 HOUSES HAVE FUNDED IT SO FAR BUT IT WAS NEVER IN THE
- 11 GOVERNOR'S BUDGET. HE COULD BLUE PENCIL IT. IF THEY-- IF HE
- 12 VETOES FUNDING FOR PROBATION CAMPS, WE COULD BE SHORT \$50
- 13 MILLION IMMEDIATELY ONGOING OR HAVE TO CLOSE EVERY SINGLE
- 14 CAMP. THEY ALSO HAVE NOT ACTED ON CHILD WELFARE SERVICES. WE
- 15 STILL HAVE A HUNDRED-- EXCUSE ME-- ABOUT \$170 MILLION AT RISK
- 16 BETWEEN NOW AND THE TIME THEY FINISH THE BUDGET THAT WE WOULD
- 17 HAVE TO COME BACK AND CONSIDER BUT, LOCALLY, OUR REVENUES ARE
- 18 DOING OKAY. THE COUNTY IS IN PRETTY GOOD SHAPE AND WE'RE
- 19 OPTIMISTIC IT'LL CONTINUE.

- 21 SUP. MOLINA: RIGHT, AND I THINK THAT'S A GOOD THING, IS TO BE
- 22 VERY OPTIMISTIC ABOUT HOPING THAT THOSE KINDS OF INCREASES ARE
- 23 GOING TO BE THERE. BUT, AT THE SAME TIME, WE ALSO KNOW THAT
- 24 THERE'S A TAB AT THE END OF TWO YEARS. THAT'S THE 103 MILLION
- 25 THAT YOU JUST MENTIONED. RIGHT? AND IT'S A MATTER OF BUILDING



- 1 INTO IT, AND SO, AGAIN, AND \$4 MILLION OR 4.6, WHATEVER THAT
- 2 FIGURE IS, IS A PITTANCE COMPARED TO THE 103 THAT WE NEED TO
- 3 COME UP WITH. BUT I DO-- I WANT TO BE MINDFUL OF MY COLLEAGUES
- 4 IN THAT REGARD. I THINK WE CAN ALL GO IN ALL DIFFERENT
- 5 DIRECTIONS AS TO HOW THESE FUNDS SHOULD BE. IN THIS INSTANCE,
- 6 THERE'S NO DOUBT. I THINK THE STATE OWES US A DUTY. I THINK
- 7 THAT WE KEEP DISMISSING THAT RESPONSIBILITY THAT THE STATE--
- 8 WE HAVE TO NEGOTIATE THAT. I MEAN, THE STANDARD OF LIFEGUARD
- 9 SERVICES THAT WE'RE ESCALATING THIS TO, AGAIN, THERE'S NO
- 10 DOUBT, SAFETY IS SAFETY. WE SHOULD HAVE THAT EVERYWHERE. WE
- 11 HAVE LAKES IN OTHER PARTS OF THE COUNTY AND, AGAIN, THE
- 12 STANDARDS ARE HIGH. ALL I AM SAYING IS, AND I'M NOT GOING TO--
- 13 AGAIN, IT IS A MATTER OF WHETHER ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WHY
- 14 THIS COUNTY TODAY HAS THE ABILITY TO DO WHAT IT'S DOING TODAY
- 15 AND-- IS BECAUSE OF SOME VERY PRUDENT BUDGETING, IN PART BY
- 16 YOUR RECOMMENDATIONS THROUGHOUT THE YEARS, BUT IN PART BY THIS
- 17 BOARD HOLDING TOGETHER AND SERVING WELL FROM THE STANDPOINT OF
- 18 RECOGNIZING AND WORKING WITH WHAT IS KNOWN AS A PRUDENT
- 19 RESERVE. AND I HAVE BEEN AND SERVED AND WHETHER IT BE IN THE
- 20 LEGISLATURE OR WHETHER IT BE ON THE CITY COUNCIL, WHEN THERE
- 21 WERE MANY OF MY COLLEAGUES THAT DIDN'T SEE A WAY-- DIDN'T FIND
- 22 THAT TO BE AS PRUDENT AND NECESSARY AND THERE WAS ALWAYS A WAY
- 23 OF GETTING OUT FROM UNDER IT. BUT IT CAN LEAD TO REAL
- 24 PROBLEMS. AND MY CONCERN IS THAT WE LOOK AT AN OPPORTUNITY TO
- 25 CREATE THAT STABILITY. I WANT TO BE AS OPTIMISTIC AS THE NEXT



- 1 PERSON ABOUT THESE REVENUES INCREASING AND CERTAINLY OUR
- 2 RESPONSIBILITIES DECREASING. YOU KNOW, SO THE REALITY IS THAT
- 3 I THINK WE HAVE TO UNDERSTAND WHAT WE'RE HEADED FOR AND WHERE
- 4 WE'RE GOING. AND, FOR THAT REASON, I JUST THINK THAT WE ARE
- 5 PROMISING TOO MUCH ON THIS ONE. EVEN ON THIS ONE, I COULD SEE
- 6 WHERE SPECIAL HOLIDAYS COULD BE-- YOU WOULD GO BACK TO THAT
- 7 ESCALATION, BUT TRYING TO PUT IN RESTORATION TO A HIGHER LEVEL
- 8 THAN EVEN STATE STANDARDS OF LIFEGUARD STANDARDS ON A LAKE, I
- 9 THINK IS JUST-- IT'S, AGAIN, WE SHOULD BE PRUDENT. WE SHOULD
- 10 LOOK AT THOSE OPPORTUNITIES WHERE WE CAN CURB, PARTICULARLY
- 11 FOR A CONTRACT THAT I THINK COULD HAVE BEEN BETTER NEGOTIATED
- 12 A LONG, LONG TIME AGO. BUT THAT DOESN'T TAKE ME ANYWHERE. SO I
- 13 CAN'T SUPPORT IF FOR THAT REASON, AND I WOULD REMIND US OF
- 14 WHERE WE'RE GOING BECAUSE WE ARE GOING TO COME UP WITH A \$103
- 15 MILLION SITUATION AND, HOPEFULLY, WE'RE GOING TO BE ABLE TO
- 16 BRING IN THOSE REVENUES BUT THAT IS NOT THERE YET AND WE'RE
- 17 GOING TO HAVE TO WORK TOWARD THAT. AND I REALLY-- I'M
- 18 CONCERNED ABOUT CREATING A BASELINE THAT IS EVEN HIGHER THAN
- 19 ITS OWN STATE STANDARDS OF LIFE GUARDING IN OUR LAKES.

21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. SO THE VOTE REMAINS FOUR IN

- 22 FAVOR AND ONE OBJECTION, SUPERVISOR MOLINA OBJECTS. ANYTHING
- 23 ELSE?

24



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE C.L.E.A.R. PROGRAM IS A
- 2 INTERAGENCY PROGRAM BETWEEN THE PROBATION, DISTRICT ATTORNEY
- 3 AND L.A. COUNTY CITY ATTORNEY AND THE LOS ANGELES POLICE
- 4 DEPARTMENT TO COMBAT REGIONAL NEIGHBORHOOD GANG PROBLEMS, THE
- 5 DIRECTED LAW ENFORCEMENT TACTICS AND VERTICAL PROSECUTION.
- 6 WHILE THIS EFFORT HAS EXISTED FOR APPROXIMATELY A DECADE, THE
- 7 GRAND FUNDING HAS GRADUALLY DECREASED, RESULTING IN STAFFING
- 8 REDUCTIONS AND ABSORPTION OF A PORTION OF THE COST BY
- 9 PROBATION, D.A., AND THE SHERIFF. THE CITY'S ATTORNEY OFFICE
- 10 AND L.A.P.D. REMAIN COMMITTED TO THE PROGRAM. IN ORDER FOR THE
- 11 PROBATION, DA AND SHERIFF TO REMAIN IN THE PROGRAM, ADDITIONAL
- 12 FUNDING IS REQUIRED. I WOULD MOVE THAT THE BOARD APPROVE A
- 13 \$584,000 BUDGET AUGMENTATION FROM ONGOING REVENUE TO THE
- 14 PROBATION DEPARTMENT; FOR SEVEN PROBATION OFFICERS; TWO
- 15 SUPERVISING PROBATION OFFICERS, AND TWO INTERMEDIATE TYPIST
- 16 CLERKS. AND I'D FURTHER MOVE THAT THE BOARD APPROVE A \$763,232
- 17 BUDGET AUGMENTATION FROM ONGOING REVENUE TO THE D.A.'S OFFICE
- 18 FOR FIVE D.A.S, DISTRICT ATTORNEYS, WHICH WILL RESTORE THE
- 19 DISTRICT ATTORNEYS' PORTION OF THE C.L.E.A.R. PROGRAM AND THAT
- 20 THE BOARD APPROVE \$153,368 BUDGET AUGMENTATION FROM
- 21 PROVISIONAL FINANCING USES, ONGOING REVENUE TO THE SHERIFF,
- 22 WHICH WOULD RESTORE THE SHERIFF'S PORTION OF THE C.L.E.A.R.
- 23 PROGRAM.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: IS THERE A SECOND? MY CONCERN IS THIS. ONE,
- 2 I THINK THIS ITEM SHOULD BE CONTINUED TO THE FUND BALANCE
- 3 DISCUSSION IN SEPTEMBER, AND I WOULD ASK MR. JANSSEN TO
- 4 COMMENT ON THAT. I MEAN, THIS IS PRIMARILY A PROGRAM. DOESN'T
- 5 REALLY BENEFIT THE UNINCORPORATED AREA OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT,
- 6 IT'S PRIMARILY A CITY OF L.A. ENHANCEMENT. AND SO I-- I KNOW
- 7 THERE'S NOT A SECOND YET BUT, I MEAN, I WOULD NOT-- I WOULD
- 8 PREFER TO MOVE THIS ITEM TO THE FUND BALANCE DISCUSSION IN
- 9 SEPTEMBER.

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE: I'LL SECOND THAT RECOMMENDATION THAT IT GO OVER TO
- 12 SEPTEMBER.

13

14 C.A.O. JANSSEN: GO OVER TO SEPTEMBER.

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. IS THERE-- ZEV, GLORIA, MR.
- 17 ANTONOVICH'S MOTION ON THE C.L.E.A.R. PROGRAM RESTORATION, I
- 18 MADE A SUBSTITUTE MOTION, MOVING THIS ITEM TO THE FUND BALANCE
- 19 DISCUSSION IN SEPTEMBER, OF ITEM 1.3, AND SUPERVISOR BURKE
- 20 SECONDED THAT.

21

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN I ASK A QUESTION?

23

24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SURE.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IF-- WHEN DOES THE C.L.E.A.R. MONEY RUN OUT?
- 2 DOES IT RUN OUT AT THE END OF SEPTEMBER? IS IT THE FEDERAL
- 3 FISCAL YEAR? IS THERE SOMEBODY HERE IN THE AUDIENCE WHO KNOWS?
- 4 WHY DON'T YOU COME ON UP HERE.

5

6 C.A.O. JANSSEN: AUGUST 31ST. AUGUST 31ST.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: COME ON UP HERE FOR A SECOND. WHILE YOU'RE
- 9 WALKING UP, LET ME ASK A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS THAT I'D LIKE YOU
- 10 TO ANSWER. IS IT A SURE THING THAT THE CLEAR MONEY IS GOING TO
- 11 RUN OUT?

12

- 13 BILL MEAGAN: IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT AUGUST 31, THE MONEY
- 14 WILL RUN OUT FROM THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, YES, SIR. I'M BILL
- 15 MEAGAN WITH THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SPEAK UP A LITTLE BIT. I DIDN'T HEAR YOU.
- 18 IT'S YOUR UNDERSTANDING?

- 20 BILL MEAGAN: IT'S OUR UNDERSTANDING THAT THE MONEY PASSES
- 21 THROUGH CITY OF LOS ANGELES TO THE THREE DEPARTMENTS THAT ARE
- 22 MENTIONED AND, AS OF AUGUST 31, THE FUNDS ARE GOING TO BE CUT
- 23 CONSIDERABLY BY THE FUNDING AUTHORITY FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND
- 24 THE REMAINING INCREMENT WILL NOT BE ENOUGH TO SUSTAIN THE



- 1 PROGRAM AS IT'S CURRENTLY BEING FUNDED. FOR EXAMPLE, OUR
- 2 PORTION WILL GO FROM \$566,000 TO ONLY \$146,000.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. AND WHAT DOES MR. ANTONOVICH'S MOTION
- 5 DO? RESTORES...

6

7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: 1.3 MILLION.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO YOUR PORTION IS ABOUT \$350,000
- 10 DIFFERENCE, RIGHT?

11

12 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THAT'S FOR THE D.A., THE SHERIFF AND THE...

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT IS THE SHERIFF'S PIECE OF THIS? THE
- 15 SHERIFF LOSE MONEY ON AUGUST 31ST, TOO?

16

- 17 BILL MEAGAN: YES, SIR, ALL THREE DEPARTMENTS ARE AFFECTED
- 18 UNIFORMLY.

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: FOR THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS. EAST LOS
- 21 ANGELES.

22

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, IT'S MORE THAN THE UNINCORPORATED
- 24 AREA.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, THERE'S NO UNINCORPORATED. THIS
- 2 BASICALLY BENEFITS THE CITY OF L.A.

3

4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: PARDON ME?

5

- 6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THIS DOES NOT BENEFIT THE UNINCORPORATED
- 7 AREA. THIS BASICALLY BENEFITS THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES.

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THERE'S SOME UNINCORPORATED THAT'S INVOLVED
- 10 IN THIS.

11

12 **SUP. BURKE:** WHERE ARE THEY LOCATED?

13

14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHO'S HERE FROM THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT?

15

- 16 BILL MEAGAN: I CAN TELL YOU WHERE THE POSITIONS ARE STAFFED
- 17 FROM OUR DEPARTMENT AND, I BELIEVE, ALSO FROM THE PROBATION
- 18 DEPARTMENT. THEY'RE AT FOOTHILL DIVISION, L.A.P.D. NORTHEAST
- 19 DIVISION, NEWTON DIVISION, DEVONSHIRE AND HOLLENBECK DIVISION
- 20 AND ALSO AT EAST LOS ANGELES.

21

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO MOSTLY IN THE CITY OF L.A.

23

24 BILL MEAGAN: YES, SIR.



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT THE D.A.S PIECE OF THIS?

2

- 3 BILL MEAGAN: THAT'S WHERE THE D.A.S ARE CO-LOCATED WITH THE
- 4 LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS, YES, SIR.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, DID THEY
- 7 CONSIDER PUTTING ANY MONEY INTO THE C.L.E.A.R. PROGRAM?

- 9 BILL MEAGAN: OH, THEY'RE DEFINITELY IN IT. THE LOS ANGELES
- 10 POLICE DEPARTMENT AND THE L.A. CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE ARE ALSO
- 11 PART OF IT. IT'S A COLLABORATIVE, MULTI-AGENCY PROGRAM THAT'S
- 12 BEEN IN EXISTENCE FOR ABOUT 10 YEARS AND IT'S BEEN
- 13 ALTERNATIVELY FUNDED BY THE STATE AND NOW, MORE RECENTLY, BY
- 14 THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND, OF COURSE, SUBSIDIZED BY THE
- 15 DEPARTMENTS BECAUSE IT'S ONLY PARTIALLY OFFSETS COST. AND THE
- 16 OUTSIDE FUNDING NOW HAS GOTTEN TO THE POINT WHERE, AS OF
- 17 SEPTEMBER 1ST, IT WILL BE SO MINIMAL AS TO SUPPORT FROM THE
- 18 U.S. GOVERNMENT THAT THE COUNTY DEPARTMENTS ARE FACED WITH THE
- 19 DECISION OF PULLING OUT ALTOGETHER AND ALLOWING THE
- 20 COLLABORATIVE EFFORT TO COLLAPSE. SO THAT'S WHY WE'RE HERE
- 21 TODAY AND WHY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH HAS BROUGHT FORTH THE
- 22 MOTION, BECAUSE THIS THING HAS BEEN SLIPPING EVERY YEAR FOR
- 23 ABOUT FOUR YEARS AND NOW IS THE TIME THAT IT'S AT THE
- 24 PRECIPICE, SEPTEMBER 1ST, WHEN WE'VE GOT TO DECIDE, AS A



- 1 COUNTY GOVERNMENT, IF WE'RE GOING TO FINALLY SUPPORT IT A
- 2 HUNDRED PERCENT AND REPLACE THE FUNDING.

3

4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ARE YOU WITH THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT?

5

6 **DEBBIE NELSON:** PROBATION. DEBBIE NELSON.

7

8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: PROBATION. WHAT'S YOUR PIECE OF THIS?

9

- 10 **DEBBIE NELSON:** WE ARE GETTING 133,000 AND 900 FOR THE NEXT
- 11 FISCAL YEAR.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT HAS BEEN YOUR ALLOCATION FROM THE
- 14 FEDERAL GOVERNMENT? WHAT'S BEEN THE TOTAL PIECE OF YOUR
- 15 PROGRAM IN PRIOR YEARS?

16

17 **DEBBIE NELSON:** FOR THIS FISCAL YEAR, IT'S BEEN 584,000.

18

19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HOW MUCH?

20

21 **DEBBIE NELSON:** 584,000.

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WHAT'S THE LOSS? IT'S, LIKE, 300 AND
- 24 SOMETHING AGAIN? I'M NOT MEMORIZING YOUR NUMBERS BUT WHAT IS
- 25 IT?

24

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 DEBBIE NELSON: WITH THE 584,000 THAT'S BEING PROPOSED, THAT 2 3 WOULD BASICALLY HELP US TO MAINTAIN SIX PROBATION OFFICERS... 4 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT WASN'T MY QUESTION. HOW MUCH ARE YOU GETTING, STARTING SEPTEMBER 1ST, YOU SAID A HUNDRED AND HOW 6 7 MUCH? 8 9 DEBBIE NELSON: 133,000 AND 900. 10 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. AND THE TOTAL COST-- THE TOTAL PIECE--YOUR TOTAL PIECE OF THIS THIS YEAR WAS 584,000? 12 13 DEBBIE NELSON: THAT'S CORRECT. 14 15 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT MR. ANTONOVICH'S MOTION APPROVES 584,000 BUDGET AUGMENTATION FOR PROBATION, WHICH WOULD SUGGEST TO ME 17 18 THAT YOU'RE GETTING MORE THAN YOU GOT THIS YEAR, IT WOULD BE 19 584 PLUS THE 133 YOU'RE GOING TO GET FROM THE FEDS. IS THAT 20 CORRECT? 21 22 DEBBIE NELSON: WELL, WHAT HAPPENED-- THAT'S CORRECT. WHAT 23 HAPPENED IS THAT...

> The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, WAIT A SECOND. BEFORE YOU TELL ME WHAT
- 2 HAPPENED, WHY WOULD WE-- YOU START WITH-- AND I'LL GET TO THE
- 3 D.A.'S OFFICE AND THE OTHER PEOPLE IN A SECOND, BECAUSE IT MAY
- 4 BE THE SAME THING, WHY WOULD-- FIRST OF ALL, WE'VE GET A BIG
- 5 PROBLEM TRYING TO BACKFILL EVERY CUT THAT THE FEDERAL AND
- 6 STATE GOVERNMENT ARE MAKING, AS YOU HEARD IN THE PREVIOUS
- 7 DISCUSSION. BUT SUPPOSE THE BOARD WAS INCLINED TO DO THAT, WHY
- 8 WOULD WE-- WHY WOULD YOU ASK US TO ADD MORE TO THE PROGRAM
- 9 THAT WE WEREN'T FUNDING AT ALL, NOW ADD MORE THAN IT WAS
- 10 FUNDED FOR LAST YEAR? I MEAN, THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN 584 AND
- 11 700 AND-- CLOSE TO \$720,000.

12

- 13 RICHARD SHUMSKY: SUPERVISOR, DICK SHUMSKY WITH THE PROBATION
- 14 DEPARTMENT. THIS IS AN ADDED PROGRAM. THE 133 IS AN ADDITION.
- 15 THIS IS FOR HOLLENBECK AND EAST L.A. OUR TRUE COST OF RUNNING
- 16 THIS PROGRAM WILL BE WELL OVER \$800,000. SO WITH THIS MONEY,
- 17 WE WOULD ABSORB POSITIONS WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT. SO THE TOTAL
- 18 COST OF THE PROGRAM IS MUCH HIGHER FOR THE PROBATION
- 19 DEPARTMENT.

20

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ARE YOU GOING TO GET 133,000 FROM THE FEDS?

22

- 23 RICHARD SHUMSKY: YES, BUT THAT EXPANDS IT TO-- THAT PAYS FOR
- 24 HOLLENBECK AND EAST L.A.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I DON'T CARE WHAT IT PAYS FOR FOR THE
- 2 PURPOSE OF THIS DISCUSSION. ALL I'M ASKING YOU IS, THE TOTAL
- 3 AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT WAS BEING SPENT ON THIS PROGRAM IN THE
- 4 PROBATION DEPARTMENT LAST YEAR WAS \$584,000, CORRECT?

5

- 6 RICHARD SHUMSKY: TOTAL COST OF THE PROGRAM WAS OVER 800,000
- 7 AND THAT WAS...

8

9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU WERE ABSORBING PART OF IT?

10

11 RICHARD SHUMSKY: YES.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO YOU WANT TO STOP-- YOU WANT US TO
- 14 BACKFILL WHAT THE FEDS ARE CUTTING OUT AND BACKFILL WHAT YOU
- 15 WERE ABSORBING?

16

- 17 RICHARD SHUMSKY: NO, IF YOU ADD THOSE TWO FIGURES, WE STILL
- 18 WOULD ABSORB APPROXIMATELY \$250,000 WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT SO
- 19 IT'S NOT SUFFICIENT?

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WHAT WERE YOU-- WHAT DID YOU ABSORB LAST
- 22 YEAR-- THIS YEAR? WHAT DID YOU ABSORB THIS CURRENT FISCAL
- 23 YEAR? HALF A MILLION DOLLARS?

24

25 RICHARD SHUMSKY: I THINK IT'S A LITTLE LESS.



1

2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT IN THAT NEIGHBORHOOD OF FOUR TO

3 \$500,000? SOMETHING LIKE THAT? IS THAT RIGHT?

4

- 5 RICHARD SHUMSKY: YES, AND WE DIDN'T ASK FOR FULL FUNDING. WE
- 6 WERE PREPARED TO ABSORB MONEY IF THE PROGRAM WAS CONTINUED.
- 7 THAT'S WHY WE ASKED FOR THAT FIGURE. 133 DOESN'T EVEN PAY FOR
- 8 EAST L.A. AND HOLLENBECK. WE STILL LOSE ON THAT PIECE AS WELL.
- 9 SO IT'S AN ADDITIONAL PIECE.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, I THINK THAT THE C.L.E.A.R. PROGRAM IS
- 12 A GOOD PROGRAM AND IT'S BEEN EFFECTIVE. I DON'T THINK WE OUGHT
- 13 TO BE DOING A COST PLUS BACKFILL HERE TO THE VARIOUS
- 14 DEPARTMENTS THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT, WHETHER IT'S NOW OR
- 15 WHETHER IT'S IN SEPTEMBER. AND, IN SEPTEMBER, IT'LL BE TOO
- 16 LATE BECAUSE, IF YOU'RE RUNNING OUT OF MONEY ON AUGUST 31ST...

17

- 18 RICHARD SHUMSKY: YES, SIR, WE WOULD MAKE A DECISION. IF THERE
- 19 WAS A CHANCE THAT WE WOULD BE FUNDED, THEN, OBVIOUSLY, WE
- 20 WOULDN'T STOP THE PROGRAM IF THERE WAS A CHANCE THAT IT WOULD
- 21 BE RESTARTED, THAT WOULDN'T BE PRUDENT, SO IT'S UP TO THE
- 22 BOARD. WE WERE JUST TELLING YOU THE FIGURE IT WOULD COST FOR
- 23 US TO MAINTAIN A PROGRAM EVEN AT A LOSS. I'M NOT SURE WHAT THE
- 24 OTHER DEPARTMENT FIGURES ARE.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, I'D BE MORE INTERESTED IF WE COULD
- 2 FIND A MIDDLE GROUND HERE AS FAR AS THE AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT
- 3 WE REALLY NEED TO PUT BACK INTO IT THAT WOULD GET US BACK TO
- 4 WHERE WE WERE WITH THE SAME RATE OF ABSORPTION OF THE VARIOUS
- 5 DEPARTMENTS. AND THAT WOULDN'T BE A MILLION AND A HALF
- 6 DOLLARS.

7

- 8 C.A.O. JANSSEN: MR. CHAIRMAN, SUPERVISOR, YOU COULD-- I'M NOT
- 9 SURE HOW MANY MORE MOTIONS THERE ARE OR WHERE IT'S GOING. THE
- 10 REMAINING MONEY WILL BE IN P.F.U. WE COULD REPORT BACK IN JULY
- 11 WITH A FIGURE AND YOU COULD MOVE THE MONEY FROM P.F.U. WITH
- 12 THREE VOTES. SO YOU DON'T NEED TO MAKE THAT DECISION TODAY. WE
- 13 CAN COME BACK WITH A REVISED FIGURE IN JULY IF THERE'S MONEY
- 14 LEFT.

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I MEAN, OBVIOUSLY, YOU CAN TELL BY THE
- 17 DISCUSSION, THAT'S WHY I FELT IT WOULD BE A GOOD IDEA TO MOVE
- 18 THIS TO THE FUND BALANCE DISCUSSION AS WELL, TOO. BUT NOW
- 19 YOU'RE SAYING...

20

21 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT. EARLIER THAN THAT.

22

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ONLY BECAUSE THEY'RE GOING TO RUN OUT AT THE
- 24 END OF AUGUST SO IF WE...



1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: OTHERWISE.

2

- 3 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OH, THE CITY OF L.A. IS GOING TO RUN OUT AT
- 4 THE END OF AUGUST, RIGHT?

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO, THE D.A. IS GOING TO RUN OUT, AND THE
- 7 PROBATION, I GATHER THEY'RE ALL... [OVERLAPPING VOICES]

8

- 9 DICK SHUMSKY: OUR FUNDING STREAM IS GOING TO RUN OUT AUGUST 31
- 10 EXCEPT FOR 146,000 FOR THE FOLLOWING YEAR. WE WILL GET
- 11 146,000.

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE: ALL RIGHT. NOW, THE FEDS HAVE PULLED OUT, IS THAT
- 14 CORRECT?

15

- 16 RICHARD SHUMSKY: THEY'RE REDUCING BY 77% IN THE NEW BUDGET,
- 17 YES, MA'AM.

18

19 SUP. BURKE: AND WHAT IS THE CITY DOING?

20

- 21 DICK SHUMSKY: THE CITY, WE ASKED ON TWO OCCASIONS LAST WEEK.
- 22 THEY'RE GOING TO GO AHEAD AND BAIL THEMSELVES OUT. THEY'RE
- 23 GOING TO PUT CITY MONEY INTO THE PROGRAM.



- 1 SUP. BURKE: AT THE SAME LEVEL OR ARE THEY GOING TO MAKE UP FOR
- 2 THE FEDS?

3

- 4 RICHARD SHUMSKY: MY UNDERSTANDING, AS FAR AS PROSECUTION GOES,
- 5 AT THE SAME LEVEL AND THE LOS ANGELES POLICE AS WELL.

6

- 7 SUP. BURKE: IT SEEMS TO ME, IN JULY, WE SHOULD BE ABLE TO
- 8 REALLY GET AN IDEA OF WHO IS PUTTING WHAT IN HERE AND WHAT
- 9 WOULD BE PRUDENT FOR US TO DO. AND, THAT WAY, IT WILL BE
- 10 BEFORE THE PROGRAM RUNS OUT.

11

12 SUP. MOLINA: MR. CHAIRMAN?

13

14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YES, SUPERVISOR MOLINA.

- 16 SUP. MOLINA: AGAIN, YOU KNOW, THE C.L.E.A.R. PROGRAM HAS ITS
- 17 UPS AND DOWNS BUT ONE OF THE THINGS-- AT LEAST, YOU KNOW,
- 18 THERE HAVE BEEN REAL POSITIVE ASPECTS OF THIS PROGRAM AND I
- 19 THINK THE POSITIVE ASPECTS IS THE ONE THAT I KEEP SAYING IS
- 20 THE VALINDA MODEL BECAUSE OF THE COORDINATION THAT OPERATES
- 21 HERE. AND WHEN YOU HAVE YOUR D.A. WORKING WITH YOUR PROBATION
- 22 DEPARTMENT WORKING WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT AND ALL THE SUPPORTIVE
- 23 SERVICES, THAT IS WHAT MAKES THESE GANG GUYS GET IT. IT REALLY
- 24 MAKES-- BECAUSE IT'S COORDINATED. WE'RE GOING TO GO AFTER THEM
- 25 AND WE KNOW. THERE'S A RECENT ARTICLE IN THE PAPER THAT TALKED



- 1 ABOUT HOW THE GANG BAN WORKS AND WHAT'S FASCINATING ABOUT IT,
- 2 IT DIDN'T INCLUDE THIS PARTICULAR PROGRAM AS SAYING THIS IS
- 3 ONE OF THE ATTRIBUTES OF WHAT MAKES IT A SUCCESS. IT ISN'T
- 4 JUST SAYING YOU CAN'T ASSOCIATE. JUST SAYING YOU CAN'T
- 5 ASSOCIATE ISN'T ENOUGH UNLESS THERE'S REAL ACCOUNTABILITY. THE
- 6 C.L.E.A.R. PROGRAM, WHILE I THINK ALWAYS COULD BE TIGHTER, AND
- 7 I HAVE TALKED DIRECTLY TO MANY OF THE DEPARTMENTS ABOUT HOW IT
- 8 COULD BE TIGHTER AND EVERYBODY KNOWS THAT, IT GOES A LONG WAY
- 9 IN PROVIDING REAL SUSTAINABLE SAFETY MEASURES FOR OUR
- 10 NEIGHBORHOODS. WHEN I LOOK AT THE SITUATION IN HOLLENBECK AND
- 11 THE NUMBER OF DEATHS THAT WE HAD A NUMBER OF YEARS AGO, THIS
- 12 PROGRAM AND ITS ACCOUNTABILITY HAS REALLY LED TO BETTER SAFETY
- 13 ISSUES. WE, AGAIN, I'M NOT SAYING THAT YOU DON'T HAVE OUT AND
- 14 OUT KILLINGS OF GANG MEMBERS ONE AFTER ANOTHER BUT THE DRIVE-
- 15 BY SHOOTINGS HAVE STOPPED FOR THE MOST PART IN HOLLENBECK,
- 16 WHICH IS AMAZING. I MEAN, WE HAD ONE DEATH A COUPLE OF YEARS
- 17 AGO OF A 14-YEAR-OLD WHO WAS SHOT DOWN RIDING HIS BIKE AND SO
- 18 THERE ARE SOME-- AND A LOT OF IT IS BY HOLDING THESE GANG
- 19 MEMBERS ACCOUNTABLE. AND SO THIS IS A PROGRAM THAT IS WORKING
- 20 AND FUNCTIONING. I, TOO, I THINK THERE CAN BE MORE
- 21 ACCOUNTABILITY, AND I THINK I'VE TALKED TO THE DEPARTMENTS ON
- 22 A REGULAR BASIS ABOUT IT. BUT YOU'VE GOT A PROGRAM THAT IS AT
- 23 THE BEGINNINGS OF WORKING AND LAW ENFORCEMENT NEEDS TO MAKE
- 24 ITS CONTRIBUTION AS WELL, AND I'M HOPING THAT THE CITY WILL.
- 25 BUT I KNOW THAT, IN HOLLENBECK, IT HAS MADE A WORLD OF



- 1 DIFFERENCE FOR THE RESIDENTS THERE. IT IS WORTHY. IF WE'RE
- 2 SPENDING MONEY IN OTHER AREAS, THIS IS ONE PLACE, TALK ABOUT
- 3 COMMUNITY SAFETY, THIS HAS EVERYTHING LINKED TO IT THAT IT
- 4 SHOULD HAVE. AND WHEN WE WANT-- WHAT WE WANT TO DO IS
- 5 CONTRIBUTE OUR PART, PROBATION ADDS VERY DRAMATICALLY TO
- 6 KNOWING WHERE THESE KIDS ARE, WHAT THE SITUATION IS, HAVING
- 7 PROBATION OFFICERS THAT ARE THERE TO ASSIST THE SCHOOLS. AT
- 8 ROOSEVELT, THAT'S BEEN AN ONGOING PART OF WHAT MAKES IT WORK
- 9 AND, OF COURSE, THE D.A. AND THE PROSECUTION AND HAVING
- 10 DEDICATED OFFICERS TO IT ALSO IS A BIG PORTION OF IT, AS WELL
- 11 AS OUR OWN COMPLEMENT OF WHAT GOES INTO LAW ENFORCEMENT AS
- 12 WELL.

13

- 14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. WE HAVE A AMENDED MOTION ON THE TABLE
- 15 TO MOVE IT TO...

16

17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: JULY?

18

19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: TO JULY. THAT WOULD BE SUBSTITUTE...

20

21 C.A.O. JANSSEN: JULY.

22

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT WOULD BE THE-- WHAT'S THE SECOND
- 24 MEETING IN JULY? WHAT DATE ON THE CALENDAR IS THAT?



1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: 13TH, I THINK.

2

3 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: 13TH. THE 5TH'S A MONDAY SO 6TH SO 13TH.

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: 13TH. SO I MOVE THAT THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED
- 6 'TIL JULY 13TH, THAT THE C.A.O. BE REQUESTED TO GIVE US A
- 7 PARED DOWN, REALISTIC ASSESSMENT OF THE BUDGETARY NEEDS OF
- 8 MAINTAINING THE PROGRAM AS IS.

9

- 10 SUP. MOLINA: AND SO YOU WOULD BRING BACK WHAT THAT ALLOCATION
- 11 WOULD BE, IS THAT CORRECT?

12

13 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THAT IS CORRECT, YES.

14

15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: AND-- YEAH, BECAUSE...

16

17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND THAT WOULD GIVE US ENOUGH TIME...

18

- 19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: AND ALSO AN EXPLANATION OF THESE DOLLAR
- 20 AMOUNTS, BECAUSE THERE APPEARS TO BE AN INCREASE.

21

- 22 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ABSOLUTELY. HOW MUCH THEY ARE SPENDING, WHAT
- 23 IT'S GOING, IF IT'S AN INCREASE, IF IT'S-- RIGHT, THE WHOLE
- 24 THING.



- 1 SUP. BURKE: MR. CHAIRMAN? I AGREE THAT IT'S AN EXCELLENT
- 2 PROGRAM, WE'RE TRYING TO PUT IT IN OUR DISTRICT AS WELL.
- 3 HOWEVER, IT'S NOT CLEAR TO ME EXACTLY WHO IS CONTRIBUTING WHAT
- 4 AND WHO WILL BE CONTRIBUTING WHAT AMOUNT AND, BY JULY, WE'LL
- 5 KNOW WHAT THE CITY REALLY HAS DONE IN TERMS OF THEIR
- 6 COMMITMENT TO THE PROGRAM...

7

- 8 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THEY'VE ALREADY ACTED ON THE BUDGET, I THINK,
- 9 RIGHT. SO WE CAN FIND THAT OUT AS WELL.

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE: AND WE'LL HAVE A BETTER IDEA OF WHAT WE SHOULD BE
- 12 PUTTING INTO IT.

13

- 14 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WE'LL FIND THAT OUT AS WELL AND ADD THE CITY
- 15 EXPENDITURES INTO IT.

16

- 17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO ORDERED. OKAY. SO WE WILL CONTINUE IT
- 18 THEN TO JULY 15TH...

19

20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: 13TH.

21

- 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: 13TH, OKAY, WITH THE EXPRESS DIRECTION TO
- 23 THE C.A.O...

24

25 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT.



1

- 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH: OKAY. MR. CHAIRMAN, THE TWIN TOWERS
- 3 CORRECTIONAL FAMILIARITY CONSISTS OF TWO TOWERS HOUSING ALL
- 4 FEMALE INMATES AND A LARGE PORTION OF THE COUNTY'S MENTAL
- 5 HEALTH INMATES. THE FACILITY WAS CONSTRUCTED DURING AN
- 6 EFFICIENT-- USING EFFICIENT STATE-OF-THE-ART SURVEILLANCE
- 7 DESIGN ON EACH LEVEL FOR ENHANCED SECURITY. THE SHERIFF IS NOW
- 8 CONSIDERING THE RELOCATION OF WOMEN OUT AT TWIN TOWERS TO
- 9 UTILIZE A PORTION TO HOUSE INMATES REQUIRING MAXIMUM SECURITY
- 10 TO REDUCE VIOLENCE IN THE JAIL SYSTEM. I'D MOVE THAT THE BOARD
- 11 REQUEST THE SHERIFF TO WORK WITH THE C.A.O. AND REPORT BACK IN
- 12 TWO WEEKS WITH A PROPOSAL AND COST BREAKDOWN FOR THE
- 13 RELOCATION OF THE WOMEN FROM TWIN TOWERS IN ORDER TO HOUSE
- 14 MAXIMUM SECURITY MALE INMATES IN THAT FACILITY. SO IT'S A
- 15 REPORT BACK.

16

17 SUP. BURKE: I HAVE A QUESTION.

18

- 19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YES? SUPERVISOR BURKE THEN SUPERVISOR
- 20 YAROSLAVSKY.

21

- 22 SUP. BURKE: MY UNDERSTANDING IS THEY WERE GOING TO LEAVE TWIN
- 23 TOWERS WHEN SYBIL BRAND WAS REDONE. WHAT IS THE STATUS AND
- 24 WHERE WOULD THEY GO?



SUP. ANTONOVICH: SYBIL BRAND HASN'T BEEN RENOVATED YET...

2

3 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO, IT HASN'T BEEN RENOVATED YET.

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ...BECAUSE OF THE EARTH SLIDES BUT THEY DON'T
- 6 HAVE THE FUNDS TO REFURBISH SYBIL BRAND.

7

8 SUP. BURKE: THAT'S MY UNDERSTANDING.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO HE'S LOOKING AT PLACING THEM AT PITCHES OR
- 11 AT LAKEWOOD OR...

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE: I'D LIKE TO HEAR WHERE HE'S THINKING ABOUT PLACING
- 14 THE WOMEN BEFORE WE DIRECT HIM TO PLACE-- RELOCATE THEM. WHERE
- 15 IS HE CONSIDERING?

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: HE WAS LOOKING AT PLACING THE WOMEN IN-- AT
- 18 PITCHES. BUT PERHAPS PAUL CAN ELABORATE ON THAT, IF HE WOULD
- 19 LIKE, MR. TANAKA.

20

21 SUP. BURKE: WHY WOULD YOU MOVE THEM OUT OF TWIN TOWERS?

- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BECAUSE OF THE-- FIRST OF ALL, WE'RE GETTING
- 24 ALL THE KILLINGS OVER AT THE CENTRAL JAIL WHICH IS ANTIQUATED
- 25 AND NOT REALLY BUILT TO HOUSE MAXIMUM SECURITY.



1

- 2 SUP. BURKE: I READ IN THE PAPER TODAY, THEY WERE PUTTING SOME
- 3 OF THE PRISONERS OVER IN THE CITY JAIL THAT NEED PROTECTION.
- 4 WHAT IS THE PLAN AND WHAT IS IT THAT-- THEIR ALTERNATIVES AS
- 5 FAR AS WOMEN PRISONERS AND HOW MANY WOMEN PRISONERS ARE WE
- 6 TALKING ABOUT?

7

- 8 PAUL TANAKA: MA'AM, THERE ARE CURRENTLY SEVERAL-- THERE IS A
- 9 STUDY THAT OUR DEPARTMENT IS DOING CORRECTIONAL SERVICES AND
- 10 CUSTODY DIVISION IS DOING AS IT CONCERNS RELOCATING THE WOMEN
- 11 OUT OF TWIN TOWERS. TWIN TOWERS WAS NEVER BUILT TO HOUSE THE
- 12 WOMEN. IT IS REALLY MEANT TO BE A MAXIMUM TYPE FACILITY,
- 13 HOUSING FACILITY. THE COST, HOWEVER, AT LEAST AT THE INITIAL
- 14 STAGE, IN MOVING THEM EITHER TO A C.R.B.F., EVEN INTO THE
- 15 CENTRAL JAIL FACILITY OR -- WHERE THE SHERIFF BELIEVES IS MOST
- 16 APPROPRIATE -- UP TO THE NORTH COUNTY RANCH FACILITIES, IS IN
- 17 THE TENS OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS AND IT'S VERY PRELIMINARY IN
- 18 ITS STUDY AT THIS TIME.

- 20 SUP. BURKE: I THINK IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE FOR THEM TO JUST
- 21 LET US-- KEEP US UP TO DATE AS TO HOW YOUR PLANS ARE AND I'M
- 22 SURE WE DON'T HAVE TO HAVE A MOTION FOR YOU TO CONTINUE TO
- 23 LOOK AT THIS ISSUE. IF-- AND WHERE THEY WOULD BE RELOCATED.
- 24 BUT I THINK, IF WE PASS A MOTION TO SAY TO RELOCATE THEM, THAT
- 25 MEANS TO GET OUT THERE AND PUT THEM ANY PLACE YOU CAN FIND,



- 1 WHETHER IT'S APPROPRIATE OR NOT. AND I AM VERY RELUCTANT TO DO
- 2 THAT. AND, ALSO, I'D LIKE TO FIND OUT IF THERE IS ANY REAL
- 3 PLAN TO REBUILD SYBIL BRAND. I KNOW THAT'S A 10-MILLION-DOLLAR
- 4 SITUATION, ISN'T IT?

5

- 6 PAUL TANAKA: BECAUSE OF THE CONDITION OF THE SOIL, AS WAS
- 7 MENTIONED, AND THE EVER-MOVEMENT -- THE MOVEMENT OF THE SOIL,
- 8 MAKES IT VERY, VERY DIFFICULT. WE CAN CERTAINLY COME BACK TO
- 9 THIS BOARD WITH A REPORT AS TO THE COST, THE ESTIMATED COST OF
- 10 RELOCATING AND WHAT IT WOULD ALL ENTAIL TO THE VARIOUS
- 11 FACILITIES.

12

13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND THAT'S WHAT THE MOTION IS ASKING FOR.

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE: I KNOW, BUT THE MOTION-- US PASSING THIS MOTION,
- 16 THEY'RE ALREADY STUDYING IT, RIGHT? YOU'RE ALREADY LOOKING AT
- 17 THOSE ISSUES?

18

19 PAUL TANAKA: YES.

20

- 21 SUP. BURKE: WHEN WE PASS THIS MOTION, IT SAYS, QUICK, GET RID
- 22 OF THEM AND GET THEM OUT OF TWIN TOWERS AND I'M A LITTLE
- 23 CONCERNED ABOUT WHERE THEY'LL END UP, WHERE THE WOMEN
- 24 PRISONERS WILL END UP. HOW MANY WOMEN ARE WE TALKING ABOUT?



- 1 PAUL TANAKA: I DON'T KNOW OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD, MA'AM.
- 2 SORRY.

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE: OKAY. I JUST THINK THAT-- I WOULD MOVE THAT WE PUT
- 5 THIS OVER TO...

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE PROBLEM IS, WE'RE ASKING FOR THIS REPORT
- 8 AND IT'S VERY SIMPLE. IF YOU'VE BEEN TO THE FACILITY, YOU WILL
- 9 RECOGNIZE THAT THE CENTRAL JAIL WHERE WE HAVE THE MAXIMUM
- 10 SECURITY PEOPLE IS SUCH AN ENVIRONMENT THAT HAS HELPED CREATE
- 11 THE UNNECESSARY DEATHS OF THOSE INMATES. RIGHT ACROSS THE
- 12 STREET IS THE TWIN TOWERS FACILITY, WHICH WAS BUILT FOR
- 13 MAXIMUM SECURITY PURPOSES. WE'RE NOT HOUSING THE MAXIMUM
- 14 SECURITY PEOPLE THERE. AT THE CENTRAL MEN'S JAIL, YOU DO HAVE
- 15 A MEDICAL FACILITY, WHICH COULD BE UTILIZED FOR THE WOMEN IF
- 16 YOU HAD AN EXCHANGE OF PEOPLE, BUT THE SHERIFF IS REVIEWING
- 17 THAT, ALONG WITH NORTH COUNTY AND TRYING TO COME UP WITH A
- 18 PLAN. WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO HERE IS LET US KNOW THE PLAN AND
- 19 THOSE COSTS BECAUSE WE'RE GOING TO BE INVOLVED WITH THAT
- 20 SHARING OF THOSE COSTS, AND ALSO ENSURING THAT THE MAXIMUM
- 21 SECURITY FACILITIES WOULD BE USED FOR MAXIMUM SECURITY
- 22 INMATES.

- 24 SUP. BURKE: MY UNDERSTANDING, THE LAST I HEARD A REPORT ON
- 25 THIS, WAS THAT PART OF TWIN TOWERS WAS HOUSING THOSE PEOPLE



- 1 WHO ALSO HAD ADDITIONAL ISSUES IN TERMS OF MENTAL DISABILITIES
- 2 OR DRUG PROBLEMS, THAT PART OF TWIN TOWERS, AND THOSE PEOPLE
- 3 ARE-- TEND TO BE SOME OF YOUR MAXIMUM SECURITY PEOPLE.

4

5 PAUL TONAKA: THAT'S CORRECT.

6

- 7 SUP. BURKE: SO THAT, ALSO, I GATHER, THERE ARE A LOT OF
- 8 DIFFERENT DISCUSSIONS GOING ON AS FAR AS THE JUSTICE CENTER AS
- 9 WELL, WHICH CAN HOUSE MAXIMUM SECURITY PEOPLE.

10

11 PAUL TANAKA: YES.

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE: SO I WOULD JUST SAY THAT I WOULD LIKE TO BE
- 14 BROUGHT UP TO DATE AS YOU MOVE FORWARD WITH SOME KIND OF
- 15 DIRECTION BUT FOR US TO TELL YOU TO MOVE THE WOMEN OUT OF TWIN
- 16 TOWERS AT THIS POINT, UNTIL YOU ABSOLUTELY DEVELOP SOMETHING,
- 17 I DON'T FEEL VERY COMFORTABLE IN PUSHING YOU ON IT.

18

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT THE MOTION SAYS THE SHERIFF IS
- 20 CONSIDERING THE RELOCATION OF THE WOMEN TO REDUCE THE VIOLENCE
- 21 AND, THEREFORE, WE'RE ASKING THE SHERIFF TO WORK WITH THE
- 22 C.A.O. TO REPORT BACK IN TWO WEEKS WITH THE PROPOSAL AND COST
- 23 BREAKDOWN FOR THAT RELOCATION. SO THAT'S WHAT WE'RE ASKING
- 24 FOR.



- 1 SUP. BURKE: WELL, I DON'T KNOW THAT HE'S GOING TO HAVE IT IN
- 2 TWO WEEKS UNLESS YOU PUSH HIM. I WOULD SAY THAT...

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: HE STARTED TO WORK ON IT AFTER THE LAST--
- 5 AFTER WE WERE OVER THERE WITH THE LAST KILLING, I MEAN, WHEN
- 6 WE ALL TOOK THE TOUR, AND THAT WAS, WHAT, TWO WEEKS AGO?

7

8 PAUL TANAKA: ABOUT TWO WEEKS AGO, I BELIEVE.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHEN WE WERE OVER THERE? SO, I MEAN, THIS IS-
- 11 AND I WOULD ENCOURAGE THE OTHER MEMBERS TO GO THROUGH THAT
- 12 SAME FACILITY AND WATCH WHERE THE INDIVIDUALS WERE MURDERED.
- 13 IT'S WORTH YOUR TIME TO GO ON THAT TOUR.

14

15 SUP. BURKE: WHAT YEAR WAS IT BUILT?

16

- 17 PAUL TANAKA: OH, I DON'T KNOW THAT OFF THE TOP. A LONG TIME
- 18 AGO.

19

20 C.A.O. JANSSEN: '50S, SHE THINKS.

21

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YEAH, IT'S REALLY, IT'S VERY ANTIQUATED. VERY
- 23 ANTIQUATED.



- 1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: TWO WEEKS SEEMS LIKE A PRETTY QUICK
- 2 TURNAROUND. I'M NOT SURE IF THE SHERIFF IS ACTUALLY ABLE TO DO
- 3 THAT IN TWO WEEKS.

4

- 5 PAUL TANAKA: THANK YOU, MR. JANSSEN. I THINK, IN ORDER FOR US
- 6 TO COME WAS WITH A REPORT THAT IS SENSIBLE AND ONE THAT CAN
- 7 RELATE TO ALL THE PROS AND CONS OF MOVING TO DIFFERENT
- 8 FACILITIES AND WHAT IT MIGHT COST, I THINK IS GOING TO
- 9 PROBABLY REQUIRE A LITTLE BIT MORE TIME THAN A COUPLE OF
- 10 WEEKS.

11

12 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHAT TIME DO YOU SUGGEST?

13

- 14 PAUL TANAKA: WELL, I'M SPEAKING FOR THE CHIEF OF COURT
- 15 SERVICES. I MEAN, CUSTODY DIVISION, AND CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
- 16 BUT I WOULD-- MAYBE ON LEAST, ON THEIR BEHALF AT THIS TIME,
- 17 ASK FOR AT LEAST A MONTH. AND IF THAT IS NOT-- IF SOMETHING--
- 18 WHAT I'M DOING IS UNREASONABLE FOR THEM, THEY CAN COME BACK AT
- 19 THAT TIME AND ASK FOR AN EXTENSION.

20

21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THAT'S FINE.

- 23 PAUL TANAKA: AND THAT WOULD JUST BE, I WANT TO CLARIFY, A
- 24 REPORT ON THE PROS AND CONS OF THE VARIOUS FACILITIES THAT ARE
- 25 UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR THE MOVEMENT OF THE WOMEN PRISONERS.



```
1
    C.A.O. JANSSEN: CORRECT.
2
3
    SUP. ANTONOVICH: CORRECT.
4
5
    SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS OR DISCUSSION? WITH
6
7
    THAT CLARIFICATION...
8
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT IS THE-- WITH THAT CLARIFICATION, WHAT?
10
11
    SUP. ANTONOVICH: TO BE BACK IN A MONTH.
12
13
    SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: COMING BACK IN A MONTH.
14
    C.A.O. JANSSEN: 30-DAY STUDY.
15
16
    SUP. BURKE: AND EXTENSIONS IF THEY DON'T HAVE IT PUT TOGETHER
17
18
    BY THAT TIME.
19
20
    C.A.O. JANSSEN: CORRECT.
21
22
   PAUL TANAKA: THANK YOU.
23
    SUP. ANTONOVICH: THANK YOU, PAUL.
24
25
```



1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. SO ORDERED.

2

- 3 SUP. ANTONOVICH: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE PUBLIC WORKS PROPERTY
- 4 REHABILITATION PROGRAM IDENTIFIES AND TARGETS THOSE PROPERTIES
- 5 THAT ARE IN DESPAIR, THAT ARE-- POSE A HEALTH AND SAFETY
- 6 HAZARD, SIGNIFICANTLY DEGRADE THE LOCAL NEIGHBORHOODS.
- 7 ELIMINATING BLIGHTED CONDITIONS ON THESE PROPERTIES IS
- 8 ESSENTIAL TO IMPROVING THOSE NEIGHBORHOODS AND ENHANCING THE
- 9 OUALITY OF LIFE. FAILURE TO RESPOND TO CITIZEN INOUIRIES ABOUT
- 10 UNSAFE OR BLIGHTED PROPERTIES SERIOUSLY UNDERMINES OUR ABILITY
- 11 TO DELIVER COUNTY SERVICES EFFECTIVELY. I'D LIKE TO MOVE THAT
- 12 THE BOARD ALLOCATE \$610,000 NET COUNTY COSTS FOR THE PROPERTY
- 13 REHABILITATION PROGRAM FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS FROM
- 14 THE FUND BALANCE.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WANT TO MOVE THAT THIS BE
- 17 REFERRED TO THE SEPTEMBER DELIBERATIONS. I THINK WE'RE-- AFTER
- 18 WE FIND OUT WHAT THE FUND BALANCE AND THE STATE BUDGET IS.

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: TO DEFER IT TO THE FUND BALANCE
- 21 DELIBERATIONS, SEPTEMBER?

22

23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SEPTEMBER, YEAH.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SEPTEMBER. I'LL SECOND THAT. ANY FURTHER
- 2 DISCUSSION? ANY OBJECTIONS? SO ORDERED.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE REGIONAL PLANNING ZONING
- 5 STREAMLINING PROJECT. THEY'VE INDICATED THAT THE ZONING CODE
- 6 STREAMLINING PROJECT WILL RESULT IN NEEDED CLARIFICATIONS AND
- 7 OTHER REVISIONS TO THEIR ZONING CODE PROGRAMS AND I WOULD MOVE
- 8 THAT THE BOARD ALLOCATE 347,000 TO THE DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL
- 9 PLANNING FOR THE ZONING CODE STREAMLINING PROJECT TO COME FROM
- 10 FUNDS CONTAINED IN THE LAND DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT AGENCY
- 11 TRUST FUND.

12

13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WHAT IS THE TRUST FUND?

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE: MR. CHAIRMAN, WE HAD A SIMILAR MOTION THAT WE DID
- 16 NOT INTRODUCE AT THIS TIME. I WOULD SUGGEST THAT-- I WILL
- 17 INTRODUCE IT AND ASK IT TO GO OVER TO SEPTEMBER. I'LL PASS IT
- 18 OUT AND ASK THAT IT GO OVER TO SEPTEMBER.

19

20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ALONG WITH THIS MOTION?

21

- 22 SUP. BURKE: IT'S THE SAME THING, ALMOST. IT'S A DIFFERENT
- 23 AMOUNT. HE HAS 347, I THINK I HAVE...

24

25 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: FINE WITH ME.



1

- 2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THEY'RE GOING TO COMBINE THE TWO MOTIONS
- 3 AND DEFER THIS TO DELIBERATIONS-- FUND BALANCE DELIBERATIONS
- 4 IN SEPTEMBER.

5

6 SUP. BURKE: I'LL PASS MINE OUT, ALSO.

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. SO OF THE TWO, BOTH SUPERVISOR
- 9 BURKE'S AND SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH'S MOTIONS...

10

11 SUP. BURKE: MINE WAS 375 INSTEAD OF 347.

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. AND THAT WOULD INCLUDE, THEN, WHEN WE
- 14 DISCUSS THAT, THE BALANCES IN THE L.D.M.A. TRUST FUND? MR.
- 15 JANSSEN? AND THAT WOULD INCLUDE, WHEN IT COMES BACK...

16

17 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES, IT WOULD.

18

19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THE BALANCES IN THE L.D.M.A. TRUST FUND?

20

21 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ABSOLUTELY. RIGHT.

- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE FINAL REPORT REGARDING THE
- 24 PROPOSED TRANSFER OF THE LAKE LIFEGUARDS FROM THE DEPARTMENT
- 25 OF PARKS AND RECREATION TO THE FIRE DEPARTMENT ADDRESSES THE



- 1 FISCAL AND OPERATIONAL ISSUES ASSOCIATED WITH THAT TRANSFER.
- 2 THE REPORT FAILS TO ADDRESS THE CONTINUED PROBLEMS ASSOCIATED
- 3 WITH THE LAKE LIFEGUARDS BEING A PART OF PARKS AND RECREATION,
- 4 AND IT'S IMPORTANT THAT THE BOARD ENSURE THAT THE HIGHEST
- 5 LEVEL OF SAFETY FOR THE TWO MILLION RESIDENTS THAT UTILIZE THE
- 6 THREE LOS ANGELES COUNTY LAKES EACH YEAR. THE LAKE LIFEGUARDS
- 7 NEED TO BE HOUSED IN A DEPARTMENT THAT SHARES THEIR MISSION OF
- 8 PUBLIC SAFETY AND VALUES WITH THE OCEAN LIFEGUARDS-- WHEN THE
- 9 OCEAN LIFEGUARDS WERE TRANSFERRED TO FIRE, THERE WERE SIMILAR
- 10 FISCAL AND OPERATIONAL CONCERNS. HOWEVER, THOSE WERE
- 11 SUCCESSFULLY ADDRESSED AND NOW THE OCEAN LIFEGUARDS ARE AN
- 12 INTEGRAL PART OF THE FIRE DEPARTMENT. I'D LIKE TO MOVE THAT
- 13 THE BOARD DIRECT THE FIRE CHIEF, THE DIRECTOR OF PARKS AND
- 14 RECREATION, THE C.A.O. AND AUDITOR-CONTROLLER, ALONG WITH THE
- 15 DIRECTOR OF HUMAN RESOURCES TO TRANSFER THE L.A. COUNTY LAKE
- 16 LIFEGUARDS FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION TO THE
- 17 FIRE DEPARTMENT. ACTUALLY, ANNUALLY TRANSFER THE COST OF THE
- 18 LAKE LIFEGUARDS, INCLUDING THE OVERHEAD COST, TO THE FIRE
- 19 SPECIAL DISTRICT FROM THE GENERAL FUND, COMPILE A LIST OF THE
- 20 CRITICAL START-UP COSTS THAT WOULD NEED TO BE IMPLEMENTED AND
- 21 TRANSFER THE APPROPRIATE AMOUNT FROM THE GENERAL FUND TO THE
- 22 FIRE SPECIAL DISTRICT TO COVER THOSE COSTS AND BEGIN THE
- 23 PLANNING PROCESS TO ADDRESS THE NON-CRITICAL START-UP COSTS IN
- 24 THE FUTURE. DRAFT AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL
- 25 DISTRICT AND THE FIRE SPECIAL DISTRICT TO REALLOCATE THE FLOOD



- 1 CONTROL FUNDING TO THE FIRE DISTRICT AND DESIGN A
- 2 COMPREHENSIVE MARKETING PLAN SIMILAR TO THE OCEANS LIFEGUARDS
- 3 PROGRAM WITH NISSAN TO DEFRAY THE UNIFORM, VEHICLE AND OTHER
- 4 COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH SUCH TRANSFER. AND THE LAKE LIFEGUARDS
- 5 TESTIFIED, I THINK IT WAS LAST MONTH, HERE WHEN THIS ISSUE WAS
- 6 BEING DISCUSSED, AND IT WOULD HELP IMPROVE THE SAFETY OF THE
- 7 LIFEGUARD OPERATION AND ALSO UNIFY OUR LIFEGUARD OPERATION
- 8 WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT -- FIRE DEPARTMENT. THEY ARE HERE, TOO,
- 9 TO SPEAK ON THAT PROGRAM.

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: FINE. ARE THEY SIGNED UP UNDER-- THERE IS
- 12 AN ITEM ALREADY ON THE AGENDA TO RECEIVE AND FILE UNDER ITEM
- 13 12, WHICH THE LAKE LIFEGUARDS SIGNED UP. IF THEY WANT TO
- 14 ADDRESS THIS ISSUE NOW, I WOULD ALLOW THEM, AND THEN THEY CAN
- 15 ADDRESS IT HERE UNDER ITEM 5. IT'S UP TO THEM. I HAVE DEREK
- 16 ELLERI, HUGO MALDONADO AND SCOTT FRAYER.

17

18 **DEREK ELLERI:** SCOTT HAD TO LEAVE.

19

20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: PARDON ME?

21

22 DEREK ELLERI: SCOTT HAD TO LEAVE. SCOTT WON'T BE HERE.

23

24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. HE WON'T BE HERE?



DEREK ELLERI: YEAH. HE HAD TO LEAVE.

2

3 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY.

- 5 DEREK ELLERI: HONORABLE SUPERVISORS, MY NAME IS DEREK ELLERI,
- 6 AND I AM THE PRESIDENT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY LAKE
- 7 LIFEGUARD ASSOCIATION. IN 1969, ALL COUNTY LIFEGUARDS WERE IN
- 8 THE SAME DEPARTMENT, PARKS AND RECREATION. WITH THE FORMATION
- 9 OF THE DEPARTMENT OF BEACHES AND HARBORS, THE LAKE LIFEGUARDS
- 10 AND THE OCEAN LIFEGUARDS WERE SEPARATED. THIS SPLIT HAS LED TO
- 11 A DISPARITY IN SERVICE BETWEEN OUR OCEAN AND INLAND WATERS.
- 12 THIS HAS BECOME EVEN MORE APPARENT AS WE HAVE SEEN THE OCEAN
- 13 LIFEGUARDS INTEGRATION INTO THE COUNTY FIRE DEPARTMENT.
- 14 PRIMARILY, THE FACT THAT OCEAN LIFEGUARDS WORK FOR A
- 15 DEPARTMENT WHOSE SOLE FUNCTION IS THE PROTECTION OF LIFE AND
- 16 PROPERTY. THEY WORK IN A SYSTEM THAT PRIORITIZES SAFETY. THEIR
- 17 INTEGRATION HAS LED TO BETTER TRAINING, BETTER LEADERSHIP FOR
- 18 THEIR SERVICE AND BETTER SERVICE TO THE PUBLIC. WE SEEK TO
- 19 PROVIDE OUR VISITORS WITH THE SAME LEVEL OF SERVICE. THEY
- 20 DESERVE NO LESS. WE HAVE, INDEED, WATCHED THE OCEAN LIFEGUARDS
- 21 GROW AND HAVE, FRANKLY, BEEN ENVIOUS. THEY INNOVATE AND THEY
- 22 LEAD OUR INDUSTRY OF STANDARD BEARERS, WHILE, AT THE LAKES, WE
- 23 FIGHT AND SCRATCH IN AN EFFORT TO PLAY CATCH-UP, OFTEN WITH
- 24 LITTLE SUCCESS AND WITH MANAGEMENT THAT DOES NOT UNDERSTAND
- 25 OUR BUSINESS. THE C.A.O. AND FIRE HAVE IDENTIFIED SOME START-



- 1 UP COSTS THAT WOULD GO ALONG WITH THESE PROPOSED MERGER. WITH
- 2 THE EXCEPTION OF RADIO COMMUNICATIONS, ALL THESE COSTS
- 3 HIGHLIGHT THE NEGLECT OF OUR SERVICE. A DISPARITY OF TRAINING,
- 4 FAILED UPKEEP OF OUR BOATS AND VEHICLES AND A LACK OF
- 5 INFRASTRUCTURE ALL INHIBIT OUR ABILITY TO DO OUR JOB
- 6 EFFECTIVELY. THE BIGGEST CHANGE OF ALL TO US, HOWEVER, IS
- 7 LEADERSHIP. PARKS AND RECREATION IS FULL OF GREAT WELL-
- 8 INTENTIONED PEOPLE BUT THEY LACK THE EDUCATION, EXPERIENCE AND
- 9 EXPERTISE THAT THE COUNTY FIRE DEPARTMENT COULD PROVIDE. THE
- 10 C.A.O.'S REPORT ALSO STATES THAT FIRE IS CONCERNED WITH THE
- 11 CURTAILMENT OF THE LAKE LIFEGUARD SERVICES AT CASTAIC LAKE AND
- 12 I WOULD LIKE TO THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THE RESTORATION OF
- 13 THOSE SERVICES. WE DO CONSIDER THAT TO BE A HUGE STEP TO
- 14 MAKING THAT PLACE SAFER.

15

17

16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ARE YOU THROUGH? OKAY. MR. MALDONADO.

18 HUGO MALDONADO: I'D JUST LIKE TO REITERATE WHAT MY COLLEAGUE

- 19 MENTIONED. THE C.A.O.'S REPORT ON THE MERGER OF THE LAKE
- 20 LIFEGUARDS WITH THE LIFEGUARD DIVISION OF THE FIRE DEPARTMENT,
- 21 IT ACTUALLY PROVIDES SOME STRONG ARGUMENTS FOR PULLING OUR
- 22 EMERGENCY RESCUE SERVICE OUT OF PARKS AND RECREATION. THE
- 23 REPORT IDENTIFIES NUMEROUS DEFICIENCIES IN THE TRAINING THAT
- 24 OUR LIFEGUARDS NEED, SUCH AS THE INCIDENT COMMAND SYSTEM
- 25 MODULE THAT TRAINS LIFEGUARDS HOW TO COMMAND A GROUP OF



- 1 RESCUERS ON AN EMERGENCY INCIDENT. THE EQUIPMENT OF OUR
- 2 LIFEGUARDS AT THE LAKES IS VERY DEFICIENT AND THE USE OF OUR
- 3 GENERAL INFRASTRUCTURE, THE REPORT IDENTIFIES SOME REPAIRS TO
- 4 THE DILAPIDATED FLEET OF OUR RESCUE BOATS AND VEHICLES. AND,
- 5 LASTLY, ACCORDING TO FIRE, THERE WOULD BE NO CHANGE IN THE
- 6 DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE LAKE LIFEGUARDS IF THEY ARE
- 7 TRANSFERRED TO THE FIRE DEPARTMENT. PLEASE VOTE TO GIVE THE
- 8 LAKE LIFEGUARDS OF L.A. COUNTY THE ABILITY TO DO OUR JOBS MORE
- 9 EFFECTIVELY. THANK YOU.

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MR. MALDONADO, ARE YOU WITH L.A.C.O.L.A. OR
- 12 WITH THE LAKE LIFEGUARDS?

13

- 14 HUGO MALDONADO: L.A.C.O.L.A. IS AN UMBRELLA ORGANIZATION THAT
- 15 INCLUDES THE LAKE LIFEGUARDS.

16

17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YOU'RE SPEAKING ON BEHALF...

18

19 HUGO MALDONADO: OF THE LAKE LIFEGUARD ASSOCIATION, YES.

20

21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OF THE LAKE LIFEGUARDS.

22

23 HUGO MALDONADO: CORRECT.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YOU'RE NOT SPEAKING ON BEHALF OF
- 2 L.A.C.O.L.A.?

3

- 4 HUGO MALDONADO: L.A.C.O.L.A. HAS EMPOWERED US TO SPEAK ON
- 5 BEHALF OF THE LAKE LIFEGUARDS. WE WERE A SEPARATE ORGANIZATION
- 6 WITHIN L.A.C.O.L.A. WE DO NOT SPEAK FOR THE LARGER GROUP ON
- 7 THIS ISSUE.

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. ANY OUESTIONS? IS THERE A SECOND? IF
- 10 NOT, I THINK, UNDER THIS PARTICULAR MOTION THEN, WE STILL HAVE
- 11 TO DEAL WITH IT UNDER 12 TO RECEIVE AND FILE, SO, ON THIS
- 12 PARTICULAR MOTION, IT DIES FOR A LACK OF A SECOND.

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE FINAL REPORT REGARDING THE PROPOSED
- 15 TRANSFER OF THE LAKE LIFEGUARDS FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS
- 16 AND RECREATION TO THE FIRE DEPARTMENT ADDRESSES THE FISCAL AND
- 17 OPERATIONAL ISSUES ASSOCIATED WITH A TRANSFER. THE REPORT
- 18 FAILS TO ADDRESS THE CONTINUED PROBLEMS ASSOCIATED WITH LAKE
- 19 LIFEGUARDS BEING A PART OF PARKS AND RECREATION. IT IS
- 20 IMPORTANT THAT THE BOARD IS ENSURED THE HIGHEST LEVEL OF
- 21 SAFETY FOR THOSE MILLIONS OF INDIVIDUALS WHO UTILIZE OUR THREE
- 22 COUNTY LAKES EACH YEAR. THE LAKE LIFEGUARDS MUST BE MANAGED BY
- 23 A SUPERVISING LIFEGUARD THAT UNDERSTANDS AND SHARES THEIR
- 24 MISSION OF PUBLIC SAFETY AND VALUES, THE JOB THAT THEY
- 25 PERFORM. CREATING A SUPERVISING LIFEGUARD POSITION IS



- 1 ESSENTIAL IN ADDRESSING THE OPERATIONAL CONCERNS CONTINUING--
- 2 CONTINUALLY BEING EXPRESSED BY THE LAKE LIFEGUARDS. SO I'D
- 3 MOVE THAT THE BOARD DIRECT THE DIRECTOR OF PARKS AND REC, IN
- 4 CONJUNCTION WITH THE C.A.O., TO DETERMINE THE COSTS ASSOCIATED
- 5 WITH RESTRUCTURING THE OPERATION OF LAKE LIFEGUARD SERVICES BY
- 6 CREATING A SUPERVISING LAKE LIFEGUARD POSITION AND REPORT BACK
- 7 TO THE BOARD IN TWO WEEKS.

8

9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: DO YOU HAVE A COPY OF THAT?

10

11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: DO YOU HAVE A COPY OF THE MOTION?

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO IT'S ASKING FOR A TWO-WEEK REPORT BACK
- 14 FROM THE C.A.O. AND THE DEPARTMENT AS TO THE COSTS OF CREATING
- 15 A SUPERVISORIAL POSITION IN THE LAKE LIFEGUARDS.

16

- 17 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THE REPORT, AS SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH
- 18 INDICATED, DID NOT ATTEMPT TO ADDRESS ANY PROBLEMS WITHIN THE
- 19 DEPARTMENT, AND WHAT I HEAR YOU ASKING IS THAT WE WORK WITH
- 20 THE DEPARTMENT TO ADDRESS WHATEVER THOSE ARE AND COME BACK
- 21 WITH A STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES AND ALSO CONSIDERATION OF THE
- 22 ADDITION OF A SUPERVISING LAKE LIFEGUARD POSITION.

- 24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO IS THAT PART OF ITEM NUMBER 12, THEN, ON
- 25 THE RECEIVE AND FILE?



1

2 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES, IT COULD BE WELL PART OF NUMBER 12 AS

3 WELL, A REPORT BACK.

4

5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: DO YOU WANT TO DO THAT?

6

7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: DO IT NOW OR ON THE 12, YOU KNOW?

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, I'D JUST LIKE TO SEE THE MOTION, I
- 10 MEAN, AND MAKE SURE IT'S NOT AN ACTION ITEM. IT'S JUST A
- 11 REPORT BACK, IS THAT CORRECT?

12

13 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YOU CAN DO IT ON ITEM NUMBER 12.

14

- 15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. MR. ANTONOVICH MOVES THAT THIS BECOME
- 16 A PART OF ITEM NUMBER 12 WITH A REPORT BACK. WITHOUT
- 17 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED, THEN.

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND THEN, IN FEBRUARY 2000, OUR PROBATION
- 20 DEPARTMENT IMPLEMENTED THE-- DEVELOPING INCREASED SAFETY
- 21 THROUGH ARMS REDUCTION MANAGEMENT PROGRAM. SINCE ITS
- 22 INCEPTION, THEY HAVE CONFISCATED 1,239 WEAPONS, INCLUDING 70
- 23 ASSAULT WEAPONS, 553 HANDGUNS, AND ALSO A LARGE NUMBER OF
- 24 ILLEGAL NARCOTICS AND ILLEGAL CASH. THE PROGRAM HAS LED TO THE
- 25 ARREST OF 2,889 INDIVIDUALS. THE SUCCESS OF THE PROGRAM IS



- 1 DEMONSTRATED AND DESERVES ADDITIONAL FUNDING. I WOULD LIKE TO
- 2 MOVE THAT THE BOARD APPROVE A ONE MILLION DOLLARS BUDGET
- 3 AUGMENTATION FROM THE FUND BALANCE FOR THE PROBATIONS DISARM
- 4 PROGRAM. MR. SHUMSKY IS HERE, AS WELL, FROM THE DEPARTMENT.

5

- 6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: DEPARTMENT'S HERE FROM PROBATION IF ANYBODY
- 7 WANTED TO ASK A QUESTION. IF NOT, I THINK THE-- THIS IS
- 8 ANOTHER ITEM, I THINK, CAN BE DEFERRED TO THE FUND BALANCE
- 9 DISCUSSION.

10

11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO MOVED.

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: IS THERE A SECOND? ANY OBJECTION? SO
- 14 ORDERED.

15

16 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THAT'S ALL I HAVE, MR. CHAIRMAN.

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN, CAN I ASK THAT, ON A PREVIOUS
- 19 ITEM THAT WE WERE DISCUSSING RELATING TO MR. ANTONOVICH'S
- 20 EARLIER MOTION ON THE JAILS, I HAD AN AMENDMENT I WAS GOING TO
- 21 MAKE TO HIS MOTION, BUT IT DIDN'T SEEM NECESSARY, BUT I WOULD
- 22 LIKE TO INCLUDE IT IN THE PACKAGE THAT THE C.A.O. IS GOING TO
- 23 COME BACK AND REPORT ON IN JULY, AND THAT WOULD BE THAT THE
- 24 C.A.O. REPORT BACK ON THE SCOPE, TIME, AND COSTS OF DEVELOPING
- 25 A COMPREHENSIVE FACILITIES MASTER PLAN FOR THE SHERIFF. THE



- I PROJECT SHOULD INCLUDE A FULL REVIEW AND ASSESSMENT OF ALL
- 2 ALTERNATIVE PROPOSALS FOR THE SHERIFF'S FACILITIES, INCLUDING
- 3 JAILS. AND JUST FOLD THAT INTO YOUR OVERALL REPORT.

4

5 SUP. MOLINA: DO YOU REALLY THINK THAT CAN BE DONE BY JULY?

6

- 7 C.A.O. JANSSEN: IT LOOKS LIKE-- WHAT WOULD IT TAKE TO PLAN--
- 8 TO DO IT, NOT TO DO IT.

9

10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT WOULD BE A PRECURSOR TO A...

11

- 12 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT. IT'S THE FIRST STEP. WHAT WOULD IT
- 13 COST, HOW LONG WOULD IT TAKE TO DO SUCH A PLAN. WE OUGHT TO BE
- 14 ABLE TO DO THAT.

15

16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO THAT'S ALL I HAVE.

17

- 18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. SO MOVED BY MR. YAROSLAVSKY. THE
- 19 CHAIR WOULD SECOND. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. OKAY. THAT
- 20 COMPLETES -- ANY OTHER ADDITIONAL MOTIONS? THOUGH COMPLETES
- 21 ITEM NUMBER 5. WE SHOULD MOVE TO ITEM NUMBER 6.

- 23 C.A.O. JANSSEN: DO YOU WANT TO COME BACK TO NUMBER 4 AND TAKE
- 24 ACTION ON NUMBER 4 AT THIS TIME IF THERE ARE NO MORE
- 25 QUESTIONS?



1

- 2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. WE HAD ITEM 4 AND MR. YAROSLAVSKY
- 3 ASKED TO PUT IT ASIDE. ARE YOU OKAY WITH ITEM 4 NOW?

4

5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I THINK SO. YEAH.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. ITEM NUMBER 4, WOULD THE ACTION-- LET
- 8 ME JUST GET A CLARIFICATION HERE FROM THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER.
- 9 WOULD THE ACTION ON ITEM 4 BE TO MOVE AS AMENDED BY ITEM FIVE?

10

11 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I WOULD THINK, YES. THAT SOUNDS GOOD TO ME.

12

13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I THINK THAT WOULD...

14

- 15 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YEAH, I THINK THAT WOULD DO IT. I THINK THAT'S
- 16 GOOD.

17

- 18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. THERE'S A MOTION-- SUPERVISOR BURKE
- 19 MOVES ITEM 4 AS AMENDED BY ITEM 5. SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR
- 20 MOLINA. HEARING NO OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

- 22 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ALL RIGHT. ITEM NUMBER 6, MR. CHAIRMAN,
- 23 APPROVAL OF RECOMMENDATIONS RESULTING FROM THE CALWORKS
- 24 STAKEHOLDER FUNDING PROCESS. I THINK THIS IS MAYBE THE THIRD
- 25 YEAR THAT THEY HAVE DONE THIS. THE MONEY IS INCLUDED IN THE



- 1 BUDGET BUT YOU NEED TO APPROVE THE ALLOCATION THAT WAS
- 2 RECOMMENDED BY THE STAKEHOLDERS, 22.679 MILLION INCLUDED IN
- 3 THE BUDGET.

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE: PARDON ME, MR. CHAIRMAN, ON OUR SCREEN, WE DON'T
- 6 HAVE A BALANCE. ORDINARILY, WE DO HAVE A BALANCE, AN ONGOING
- 7 BALANCE OF WHAT WE'RE SPENDING AND WHAT'S LEFT.

8

9 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THE BALANCE IS 3.391 MILLION. \$3,391,000.

10

11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. SUPERVISOR MOLINA, YOU HAVE...

12

13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I DON'T HAVE ANYTHING.

- 15 SUP. MOLINA: YES. MR. CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS, I HAVE A MOTION ON
- 16 ITEM NUMBER 6. THE RECOMMENDATIONS INCLUDE AN ALLOCATION OF
- 17 HALF A MILLION DOLLARS FOR THE PROMOTION OF AN EARNED INCOME
- 18 TAX CREDIT IN F.Y. '04/'05 TO '05/'06. THE EARNED INCOME TAX
- 19 CREDIT OFFERS CRITICAL FEDERAL SUPPORT TO LOW INCOME WORKING
- 20 FAMILIES AND IT IS APPROPRIATE FOR THE COUNTY TO CONTRIBUTE TO
- 21 A COUNTY-WIDE CAMPAIGN TO INCREASE UTILIZATION OF THE E.I.T.C.
- 22 BY ELIGIBLE FAMILIES. AT THE SAME TIME, WE MUST ENSURE THAT
- 23 ANY FUNDS WHICH WE PROVIDE ARE USED IN THE MOST EFFECTIVE
- 24 MANNER POSSIBLE. IN THIS CONTEXT, WE SHOULD BE COMPLETELY
- 25 KNOWLEDGEABLE OF THE CAMPAIGN'S DELIVERABLES AND THE



- 1 COORDINATION BETWEEN THE COUNTY DEPARTMENTS SUCH AS THE
- 2 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES TO ACHIEVE THE GOAL OF
- 3 REACHING THE 20,000 FAMILIES. I THEREFORE MOVE THAT
- 4 RECOMMENDATION ONE BE AMENDED AS FOLLOWS, THAT THE HALF A
- 5 MILLION FOR THE E.I.T.C. CAMPAIGN SHOULD BE HELD IN ABEYANCE
- 6 AND THAT, NUMBER TWO, THAT BOTH THE CHILDREN'S PLANNING
- 7 COUNCIL AND THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES SHOULD
- 8 REPORT TO THE BOARD IN 30 DAYS AS A SET ITEM TO DISCUSS THE
- 9 MERITS AND THE DELIVERABLES OF THE PROGRAM, HOPEFULLY
- 10 APPROVING IT, BUT STILL ASKING THEM WHEN EXACTLY ARE WE GOING
- 11 TO BE DOING IT, BECAUSE IT HAS A REAL POTENTIAL TO BRINGING
- 12 IN, IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING, OVER \$20 MILLION OF FUNDS INTO
- 13 FAMILIES THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY, PARTICULARLY THE FAMILIES MOST
- 14 IN NEED.

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. I WOULD SECOND THAT. ANY QUESTIONS OR
- 17 DISCUSSION, THEN, ON ITEM NUMBER 6 AS AMENDED BY SUPERVISOR
- 18 MOLINA? WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

19

- 20 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM NUMBER 7, COUNTY-WIDE CLASSIFICATION
- 21 ACTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE FISCAL YEAR BUDGET. THIS IS MIKE
- 22 HENRY'S LETTER AND IS PRETTY ROUTINE.

- 24 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: MR. CHAIRMAN, MAY I READ THAT INTO THE
- 25 RECORD? "AN ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 6, SALARIES OF THE LOS



- 1 ANGELES COUNTY CODE TO REFLECT THE ADDITION OF A NEW CLASS,
- 2 TITLE CHANGES, DELETION OF NON-REPRESENTED CLASSES, AND A
- 3 SALARY CORRECTION AS A RESULT OF THE BUDGET PROCESS FOR FISCAL
- 4 YEAR 2004/2005."

5

- 6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. AS READ BY OUR EXECUTIVE OFFICER,
- 7 SUPERVISOR BURKE MOVES, SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY SECONDS.

8

- 9 SUP. MOLINA: IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING, I THOUGHT THIS ITEM WAS
- 10 GOING TO BE CONTINUED. AM I-- IT'S NOT?

11

12 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NEXT ONE. ITEM NUMBER 8, I THINK.

13

14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: OH, WE'RE ON 8 NOW?

15

16 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO.

17

18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: OKAY. ON ITEM 8...

19

20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WAIT A MINUTE. WAIT A MINUTE.

21

22 SUP. MOLINA: THAT'S THE WRONG NUMBER.

23

24 SUP. ANTONOVICH: OKAY, ON ITEM...



```
C.A.O. JANSSEN: YOU HAVEN'T DONE 7.
2
    SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: RIGHT.
3
4
5
    SUP. MOLINA: I AM CORRECTED. I APOLOGIZE.
6
7
    SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: AS-- SO ORDERED.
8
    SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHAT ITEM ARE...
10
11
    SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THAT WAS 7 WE'RE IN.
12
    C.A.O. JANSSEN: NOW WE'RE ON 8.
13
14
    SUP. ANTONOVICH: NOW WE'RE ON 8.
15
16
    C.A.O. JANSSEN: NOW, WE'RE ON 8.
17
18
19
    SUP. ANTONOVICH: MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS ITEM WAS NOT GIVEN TO OUR
20
    STAFF. IT WAS ONLINE ON FRIDAY AND, WITH THE MEETING TODAY, WE
    DID NOT HAVE TIME TO ADEQUATELY REVIEW IT, WHICH INCLUDES
21
22
    CHANGES IN COMPENSATION AND OTHER CHANGES. AND I WOULD LIKE TO
23
    HAVE A TWO-WEEK CONTINUANCE SO WE COULD HAVE A THOROUGH
24
    DISCUSSION BY THE BOARD DEPUTIES WITH THE C.A.O. ON THIS
```



- 1 ISSUE. I'LL GO INTO THE DETAILS IF YOU WANT ME TO GO INTO THE
- 2 DETAILS.

3

- 4 C.A.O. JANSSEN: MR. CHAIRMAN, COULD I OFFER ANOTHER WAY TO
- 5 APPROACH IT AND, HOPEFULLY, ACCOMPLISH THE SAME THING? I'M NOT
- 6 SURE, WITHOUT TALKING TO COUNTY COUNSEL, WHETHER THIS IS KEY
- 7 TO BEING APPROVED WITH THE BUDGET SIMULTANEOUSLY BUT IT
- 8 REQUIRES TWO READINGS. SO IF YOU APPROVED IT TODAY, YOU COULD
- 9 SIMPLY HOLD OFF ON THE SECOND READING IF WE HAVE NOT BEEN ABLE
- 10 TO SATISFY THE STAFF AS TO THE CONCERNS. THAT WOULD BE MY
- 11 PREFERENCE.

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE PROBLEM WITH THAT IS THAT YOU'RE ONE VOTE
- 14 AWAY FROM HAVING IT APPROVED AND THE PROBLEM IS, AND I'LL GO
- 15 INTO THE PROBLEMS IF YOU WANT ME TO GO INTO IT RIGHT NOW.

16

17 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES. I'M NOT AWARE OF ANY.

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ONE, PART A STATES WHEN C.A.O. OFFICE IS
- 20 VACANT AND DUTIES ARE PERFORMED BY THE CHIEF DEPUTY, THE
- 21 POSITION WILL BE COMPENSATED AT THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE
- 22 OFFICER'S RATE, AND THE DEFINITION IS, WHAT IS VACANT? ON THE
- 23 LEGISLATIVE ADVOCATES PAY, IT DISCUSSES LEGISLATIVE ADVOCATES
- 24 AND EXTRA PAY FOR GOING TO SACRAMENTO 10 DAYS OUT OF THE
- 25 MONTH, NOT 10 CONSECUTIVE DAYS. AND IS THE LEGISLATIVE



- 1 ADEQUACY ALREADY PART OF THEIR JOBS DESCRIPTION? AND, IF SO,
- 2 WHY ARE THEY PAID MORE? DON'T THEY GET A PER DIEM? ON
- 3 SECRETARIES ASSISTING WITH THE COUNTY BUDGET, PART D PROPOSES
- 4 GIVING A RAISE TO SECRETARIES THAT HELP IN THE PREPARATION OF
- 5 THE COUNTY'S BUDGET. SHOULD THEY GET A PAY INCREASE FOR THAT
- 6 TIME PERIOD? PART OF THE FUNCTION OF THE C.A.O.'S OFFICE IS
- 7 BUDGET AND BEING ASSIGNED FOR SECRETARIAL WORK IN THAT
- 8 CAPACITY SHOULD NOT BE OUT OF THE ORDINARY. IS THERE SOMETHING
- 9 THAT IS BEING OVERLOOKED IN THIS? AND THE COORDINATOR OF
- 10 COMPENSATION BENEFITS PROGRAM STATES THAT A PERSON IS
- 11 DESIGNATED TO THE POSITION SHOULD BE PAID HIGHER. I WOULD
- 12 PRESUME THAT COMPENSATION BENEFITS PROGRAMS HAS ALWAYS BEEN A
- 13 FUNCTION OF SOMEONE IN THE C.A.O.'S OFFICE AND IS THERE A
- 14 REASON WHY WE HAVE A RECOMMENDATION TO INCREASE THE PAY SCALE
- 15 FOR THAT FUNCTION? IN THE C.A.O. PARTICIPANT AND MANAGEMENT
- 16 TRAINING PROGRAM, PART F STATES THAT A PERSON WITH A MASTER'S
- 17 DEGREE AND A PARTICIPANT IN THE MANAGEMENT TRAINING PROGRAM
- 18 COULD GET PAID 11 SCHEDULE STEPS HIGHER. WHAT'S THE PURPOSE OF
- 19 THE MANAGEMENT TRAINING PROGRAM? IS THAT A RECOMMENDED PAY
- 20 SCALE ADJUSTMENT PART OF THE PROGRAM OR JUST SPECIFIC TO THE
- 21 C.A.O.'S OFFICE? AND, ON PROBATION CHANGES, THERE ARE A SERIES
- 22 OF RECOMMENDATION PAY INCREASES FOR DIRECTORS OF PROBATION
- 23 CAMPS. MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THE COUNTY HAS HAD A SERIES OF
- 24 OUTSTANDING ISSUES RELATING TO THE OPERATION OF OUR CAMPS, AND
- 25 WHAT IS THE JUSTIFICATION FOR PAY INCREASES? IN THE DEPARTMENT



- 1 OF SOCIAL SERVICES, THERE'S A PAY INCREASE FOR AN ASSISTANT
- 2 DIRECTOR PERFORMING SPECIAL ASSIGNMENTS FOR THE DEPARTMENT.
- 3 WHAT'S THE DEFINITION OF AN ASSISTANT DIRECTOR AND WHERE IS
- 4 THE POSITION ASSIGNED?

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, MR. CHAIRMAN, I WANT TO JUST-- I WANT
- 7 TO SHARE THE FRUSTRATION. I'VE ALREADY SPOKEN TO MR. JANSSEN
- 8 ABOUT IT PRIVATELY ON ANOTHER MATTER, WHICH IS ITEM 4, WHICH
- 9 WAS DROPPED ON OUR DESK FIRST THING IN THE MORNING ON FRIDAY,
- 10 WHICH IS ONE DAY BEFORE TODAY'S MEETING, AND I WAS SO INCENSED
- 11 ABOUT IT, FRANKLY, I ASKED THAT WE POSTPONE THIS MEETING FOR A
- 12 DAY AND I STILL THINK WE SHOULD HAVE BECAUSE I'M SURE THERE
- 13 ARE THINGS IN THAT DOCUMENT WHICH WE WILL FIND OVER THE COURSE
- 14 OF THE NEXT FEW DAYS THAT WE WEREN'T AWARE OF. AND I DON'T
- 15 CARE HOW HARD EVERYBODY WORKED TO TRY TO SATISFY US ON IT. AND
- 16 THIS IS JUST ANOTHER EXAMPLE. I HAVE BEEN OVER-- OVER THE
- 17 YEARS THAT I'VE BEEN DOING THIS STUFF, BEEN WITH THE CITY AND
- 18 HERE, HAVE BEEN MORE EMBARRASSED BY THE KINDS OF THINGS THAT
- 19 YOU FIND IN THESE DOCUMENTS THAT ARE DOZENS AND DOZENS OF
- 20 PAGES LONG, PERSONNEL ORDINANCES OR LAST-MINUTE
- 21 APPROPRIATIONS, THAT THAT'S THE WAY-- IF YOU'RE IN THE
- 22 BUREAUCRACY AND YOU WANT TO TAKE CARE OF SOMETHING, THAT'S
- 23 WHERE YOU TAKE CARE OF IT, WHERE, APPARENTLY, NOBODY WILL
- 24 LOOK. AND THIS IS ONLY-- I MEAN, I UNDERSTAND YOUR STAFF READ
- 25 THROUGH THIS THING. YOU CAN'T-- YOU SHOULDN'T DO BUSINESS THIS



- 1 WAY. AND I SHOULDN'T HAVE TO AND NO MEMBER OF THIS BOARD
- 2 SHOULD HAVE TO GET A DOCUMENT A DAY AHEAD OF TIME AND BE
- 3 EXPECTED TO READ, IN THE CASE OF ITEM NUMBER 4, 40 PAGES
- 4 ADDING UP TO \$200 MILLION WORTH OF CHANGES IN A BUDGET. I
- 5 MEAN, THAT'S A BIG JOB, ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE TRYING TO DO
- 6 EVERYTHING ELSE AND I WASN'T EVEN AWARE OF THIS UNTIL JUST A
- 7 LITTLE BIT AGO. SO I'M SYMPATHETIC TO ASKING FOR-- TO GIVING
- 8 MR. ANTONOVICH AND THE REST OF US THE TIME. I DON'T KNOW WHAT-
- 9 IF YOU APPROVE IT ON FIRST READING, I DON'T KNOW HOW IT
- 10 WORKS HERE, IF IT'S A UNANIMOUS VOTE, IS IT AUTOMATICALLY
- 11 APPROVED OR DOES IT HAVE TO GO THROUGH TWO READINGS NO MATTER
- 12 HOW MANY... IS IT A UNANIMOUS VOTE? IF IT WAS A 5-NOTHING
- 13 VOTE, WOULD THERE STILL BE A SECOND READING REQUIRED ON THIS?

14

- 15 RAY FORTNER, COUNSEL: MR. CHAIRMAN, SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY,
- 16 YES, THERE WOULD.

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, I THINK THAT'S WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN
- 19 IS YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE, BETWEEN NOW AND NEXT WEEK, YOU'RE
- 20 GOING TO HAVE A SLEW OF QUESTIONS. WHAT IS THE-- DOES THIS
- 21 ORDINANCE HAVE TO BE APPROVED SIMULTANEOUSLY?

- 23 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO, I WAS-- AND, YOU KNOW, YOU CAN PUT IT OVER
- 24 FOR A MONTH, IF YOU WANT. BUT EVERYTHING THAT HE READ DATES
- 25 BACK TO RICHARD DIXON OR HARRY HUFFORD. WE ARE NOT AND I



- 1 RESENT A LITTLE BIT THE IMPLICATION THAT WE'RE TRYING TO SLIP
- 2 SOMETHING THROUGH AFTER EIGHT YEARS OF DOING THIS. THOSE ARE
- 3 VERY OLD PROVISIONS. THEY PROBABLY DON'T BELONG THERE. THEY
- 4 HAVEN'T BEEN USED, THEY JUST GET ROLLED OVER EVERY SINGLE
- 5 YEAR.

6

7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IT'S BEEN DISCUSSED [INAUDIBLE]

8

- 9 C.A.O. JANSSEN: SO WE CAN CONTINUE THIS FOR TWO WEEKS. IT JUST
- 10 MEANS THAT THE NEW POSITIONS WILL NOT BE ABLE TO BE FILLED
- 11 UNTIL YOU ACT ON THAT. SO YOU LITERALLY CAN CONTINUE IT AS
- 12 LONG AS YOU WANT.

13

14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY.

15

- 16 SUP. BURKE: MR. CHAIRMAN? IF, IN FACT-- AND THIS IS MY
- 17 QUESTION REALLY, IF, IN FACT, THESE ARE THE SAME PROVISIONS
- 18 WE'VE APPROVED EVERY YEAR OVER A PERIOD OF YEARS, I WOULD
- 19 SUGGEST THAT WE CONTINUE THIS FOR ONE WEEK AND THEN, IF
- 20 THERE'S PEOPLE WHO WANT TO CHANGE THEM, WE WOULD HAVE AN
- 21 OPPORTUNITY TO DO THAT, OR EVEN 'TIL TOMORROW.

- 23 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO, LET'S CONTINUE-- I WOULD LIKE TO CONTINUE
- 24 IT FOR TWO WEEKS. I REALLY WANT TO GET THIS CLEARED UP. I WANT
- 25 TO MAKE SURE THAT I'M RIGHT, FIRST OF ALL, THAT WHAT MY STAFF



- 1 TOLD ME IS THAT THEY'VE BEEN THERE A LONG TIME. THIS HAS BEEN
- 2 RAISED. LET'S TAKE THE TIME AND SETTLE IT. AND IF THERE ARE
- 3 PROVISIONS IN THERE THAT SHOULDN'T BE THERE, LET'S TAKE THEM
- 4 OUT, AND THERE MAY WELL BE. BUT MY UNDERSTANDING IS EVERYTHING
- 5 IN THIS DOCUMENT IS JUST SIMPLY UPDATING, NO SERIOUS CHANGES
- 6 TO THE BUDGET BUT LET'S TAKE A COUPLE WEEKS AND DO IT RIGHT.

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. THERE'S BEEN A MOTION TO CONTINUE THE
- 9 ITEM TWO WEEKS.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: JUST FOR THE RECORD, MR. CHAIRMAN, I DIDN'T
- 12 SAY YOU SLIPPED ANYTHING THROUGH. I SAID THAT, IF A BUREAUCRAT
- 13 WANTED TO SLIP SOMETHING THROUGH, THIS IS HOW HE WOULD DO IT,
- 14 OR SHE.

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND WITH A COMPARISON, WHAT IS THE OLD AND
- 17 WHAT IS THE NEW?

18

- 19 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ABSOLUTELY. THAT WOULD BE A GREAT WAY TO DO
- 20 IT. 190 PAGES. OKAY. ITEM NUMBER...

21

- 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WAIT A MINUTE. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 23 ORDERED.



- 1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM NUMBER 9 IS DEBT MANAGEMENT GUIDELINES.
- 2 AND WE CONTINUE TO DO VERY WELL ON OUR DEBT MANAGEMENT BUT I
- 3 WANTED TO READ VERY SHORTLY, SUPERVISOR MOLINA TALKED EARLIER
- 4 ABOUT THE CONSERVATIVE -- FISCAL IRRESPONSIBLE NATURE OF THIS
- 5 BOARD OVER SEVERAL YEARS AND I WANTED TO JUST READ YOU A
- 6 STATEMENT FROM MOODY'S WHEN THEY RAIDED OUR NOTES AND
- 7 SUPERVISOR KNABE WENT TO NEW YORK WITH US TO CONVINCE THEM TO
- 8 MAINTAIN OUR HIGHEST RATINGS AND THEY DID DO THAT, AND
- 9 MOODY'S, IN THEIR OPINION, SAID, "THE RATING REFLECTS THE
- 10 COUNTY'S STABLE FINANCIAL POSITION, A PROPOSED BUDGET WHICH
- 11 CONSERVATIVELY REFLECTS UNCERTAINTIES ASSOCIATED WITH THE
- 12 STATE BUDGET BY NOT INCLUDING AN UNREASONABLE GROWTH
- 13 ASSUMPTION AND THE BOARD'S DEMONSTRATED WILLINGNESS TO MAKE
- 14 SIGNIFICANT PROGRAM CUTS, WHEN NECESSARY, TO MAINTAIN BALANCED
- 15 OPERATIONS." IN TERMS OF THE COUNTY'S LONG-TERM RATINGS, THEY
- 16 MOVED US FROM NEGATIVE TO STABLE AND WE WERE ON NEGATIVE
- 17 BECAUSE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. "MOODY'S HAS REVISED THE
- 18 OUTLOOK ON L.A. COUNTY'S LONG-TERM RATINGS TO STABLE FROM
- 19 NEGATIVE. THE STABLE OUTLOOK REFLECTS THE COUNTY'S
- 20 DEMONSTRATED ABILITY TO MITIGATE THE NEGATIVE EFFECTS OF THE
- 21 STATE BUDGET THUS FAR IN THE COUNTY'S FINANCIAL POSITION. TO
- 22 DATE, THE COUNTY HAS DISPLAYED SUCCESSFUL MANAGEMENT OF THE
- 23 CHALLENGES ARISING FROM THE STATE BUDGET CRISIS AND MOODY'S
- 24 BELIEVES THAT IT CAN BE EXPECTED TO CONTINUE TO DO SO." BOTH
- 25 STANDARD AND POORS AND FITCH HAD SIMILAR STATEMENTS ABOUT THE



- 1 BOARD AND ITS ABILITY TO MAKE TOUGH FISCAL DECISIONS AND IT
- 2 MADE OUR TRIP TO NEW YORK VERY EASY INDEED. WE HAD A GOOD
- 3 MESSAGE, A GOOD STORY TO CARRY.

4

- 5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I THINK IT'S A VERY STRONG MESSAGE, IT'S
- 6 CLEAR THAT, YOU KNOW, THAT THEY RESPECTED THE WAY THAT WE
- 7 MANAGED OUR MONEY AND THE WAY WE DID OUR BUDGETS AND THE CUTS
- 8 THAT WE'VE MADE AND TRIED TO DO THE RIGHT THING. IT WAS A VERY
- 9 STRONG MESSAGE THAT THE NEGATIVE COMMENTS WERE NOT IN REGARDS
- 10 TO US BUT TO THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND THAT WAS PREDOMINANT
- 11 IN ALL-- IN THREE INTERVIEWS, AS WELL AS THE CONFERENCE CALL
- 12 WITH ALL THE MAJOR INVESTORS, AS WELL, TOO, SO...

13

- 14 C.A.O. JANSSEN: SO YOU HAVE ITEM 9 BEFORE YOU ON THE DEBT
- 15 GUIDELINES.

16

17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. SUPERVISOR...

18

19 SUP. MOLINA: AMAZING. EVEN WITH DEMOCRATS WE CAN DO THAT.

20

21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: PARDON ME?

22

- 23 SUP. MOLINA: EVEN WITH DEMOCRATS ON THE BOARD, THIS CAN BE
- 24 DONE. [LIGHT LAUGHTER]



1 SUP. MOLINA: SOME PEOPLE ACCUSE US OF...

2

- 3 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: NO, NO. DON'T, DON'T, DON'T. I WAS GOING TO
- 4 SAY SOMETHING BUT, YOU KNOW, SO...

5

6 SUP. MOLINA: JUST A LITTLE BIT OF LEVITY. [LIGHT LAUGHTER]

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WE COULD GO BACK AND-- NO, NEVER MIND.
- 9 MOVED BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA, SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR BURKE.
- 10 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

11

- 12 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ALL RIGHT. ITEM NUMBER 10 IS A REPORT FROM DR.
- 13 GARTHWAITE ON THE CREATION OF THE OFFICE OF NURSING AFFAIRS
- 14 THAT HAS BEEN INCLUDED IN THE PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE BUDGET.
- 15 YOU COULD NOTE AND RECEIVE, I GUESS, IF YOU'RE COMFORTABLE
- 16 WITH...

17

- 18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I THINK, ON ITEM 10, WE'RE GOING TO RECEIVE
- 19 AND FILE.

20

- 21 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RECEIVE AND FILE. NURSING. NO, WE INCLUDED THE
- 22 ADDITIONAL MONEY IN THE BUDGET.

23

24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO ORDERED. ITEM NUMBER 11.



- 1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM NUMBER 11. AGAIN, THIS IS A REPORT BACK
- 2 FROM THE COUNTY LIBRARIAN ON CURTAILMENT SCENARIOS. SHE
- 3 CLEARLY DOES NOT HAVE TO DO THAT. THIS COULD BE A RECEIVE AND
- 4 FILE.

5

- 6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: IT'S BEEN MOVED BY SUPERVISOR BURKE. CHAIR
- 7 WILL SECOND TO RECEIVE AND FILE. SO ORDERED.

8

- 9 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM NUMBER 12 IS OUR REPORT ON TRANSFER OF
- 10 LAKE LIFEGUARDS OPERATIONS FROM PARKS AND RECREATION.
- 11 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH HAD AN ALTERNATIVE MOTION, I THINK, THAT
- 12 YOU APPROVED, SO THIS COULD BE RECEIVE AND FILE.

13

- 14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MOVED BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIR WILL
- 15 SECOND TO RECEIVE AND FILE. SO ORDERED.

16

17 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM 13 IS MOTION...

18

19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: AS AMENDED BY THAT PREVIOUS MOTION UNDER 5.

20

- 21 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM NUMBER 13 IS A MOTION BY SUPERVISOR
- 22 MOLINA ON FEE WAIVER POLICY IN THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND
- 23 RECREATION. I THINK THERE'S A POLICY ISSUE HERE.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR MOLINA, I BELIEVE THIS IS YOUR
- 2 MOTION, ITEM NUMBER 13.

3

- 4 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THE POLICY WHICH PROHIBITS THE WAIVING OF
- 5 VEHICLE ENTRY FEES AND STAFF TIME.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I'M NOT AWARE, AT LEAST IN MY DISTRICT, BUT
- 8 WE WAIVE PERSONNEL COSTS. WE DON'T REALLY HAVE CHARGE FOR
- 9 PARKING IN OUR DISTRICT.

10

11 SUP. MOLINA: I'M SORRY?

12

- 13 C.A.O. JANSSEN: DO YOU WANT TO HOLD-- ROLL THIS ITEM FOR TWO
- 14 WEEKS?

15

16 SUP. MOLINA: I'M SORRY. GO AHEAD.

17

18 C.A.O. JANSSEN: DO YOU WANT TO ROLL THIS ITEM FOR TWO WEEKS?

19

20 SUP. MOLINA: NO, NO. I MEAN, IF WE'RE READY TO GO ON IT.

21

22 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WHAT IS IT?

- 24 SUP. MOLINA: (INAUDIBLE) CARRIED OVER FOR-- DO YOU WANT ME TO
- 25 READ IT AGAIN?

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



```
1
    SUP. BURKE: NO, WE'VE READ IT. [ LAUGHTER ]
2
3
    SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YOU CAN READ IT AND SEE IF YOU GET A
4
5
    SECOND, I GUESS.
6
7
    SUP. MOLINA: IS THERE A PROBLEM WITH IT?
8
9
    C.A.O. JANSSEN: THE RECOMMENDATION IS THAT THE COUNTY NOT
    WAIVE VEHICLE ENTRY FEES AND STAFF TIMES -- STAFF TIME. IS THAT
10
11
    RIGHT?
12
13
    SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WE DON'T HAVE ANY PARKING FEES IN OUR
    DISTRICT, NUMBER ONE, AND WE NEVER WAIVE THE COST FOR COUNTY
14
    STAFF, SO I'M JUST NOT SURE WHY THIS IS NECESSARY.
15
16
    SUP. BURKE: WE HAVE PARKING FEES AND...
17
18
19
    SUP. MOLINA: IT JUST PUTS THAT SAME POLICY IN PLACE. THAT'S
20
    THE ISSUE.
21
22
    SUP. BURKE: BUT SOMETIMES WE DO WAIVE THEM.
23
    SUP. MOLINA: I'M SORRY?
24
```



- 1 SUP. BURKE: WE HAVE PARKING FEES BUT SOMETIMES WE DO WAIVE
- 2 THEM, PARTICULARLY FOR EVENTS, CHARITABLE EVENTS. I KNOW THERE
- 3 WAS A HUGE HEALTH FAIR THAT-- BECAUSE OUR FEES WERE ONLY ON
- 4 THE WEEKENDS, WHERE THERE ARE HUGE EVENTS THAT ARE--
- 5 PARTICULARLY COUNTY EVENTS WHERE PEOPLE ARE COMING TO THEM, WE
- 6 WAIVE THEM. I DON'T KNOW HOW TO RESPOND TO THIS.

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, THERE'S A MOTION BEFORE US. IS THERE
- 9 A SECOND? DIES FOR LACK OF A SECOND.

10

- 11 C.A.O. JANSSEN: OKAY. ITEM NUMBER 14 IS THE SHERIFF'S REPORT
- 12 ON WORKERS' COMPENSATION COSTS AND BILLINGS. WE INDICATED
- 13 EARLIER, I THINK, SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, IN HIS MOTION,
- 14 INDICATED THAT WE'RE ANTICIPATING, HOPEFULLY, \$6 MILLION OF
- 15 SAVINGS IN THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. I WILL TELL YOU, ON ITEM
- 16 14, IT IS OUR INTENT TO WORK THIS YEAR TO CHANGE THE BILLING
- 17 PRACTICES MORE CONSISTENT WITH WHAT THE SHERIFF IS ASKING FOR.
- 18 AND SO, WITH THAT, ASSUMING THAT'S ACCEPTABLE, I WOULD RECEIVE
- 19 AND FILE THE REPORT.

20

21 SUP. BURKE: IS IT SIX MILLION THAT'S THE SAVINGS?

22

23 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THAT'S WHAT WE'RE PROJECTING AT THIS TIME.

24

25 **SUP. BURKE:** IS THAT REFLECTED IN THE BUDGET?



1

- 2 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THAT WAS REFLECTED IN SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY'S
- 3 MOTION. IT'S A WORKERS' COMP ISSUE.

4

- 5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. MOVED BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY,
- 6 SECONDED BY ANTONOVICH TO RECEIVE AND FILE. ITEM NUMBER 14.
- 7 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

8

- 9 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM NUMBER 15 IS A REPORT FROM THE DIRECTOR
- 10 OF ANIMAL CARE AND CONTROL INDICATING THAT THE CASTAIC ANIMAL
- 11 SHELTER WILL REMAIN OPEN. THIS COULD BE RECEIVE AND FILE.

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MOVED BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH. THE CHAIR
- 14 WILL SECOND. RECEIVE AND FILE, ITEM 15. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 15 ORDERED.

- 17 C.A.O. JANSSEN: OKAY. MEASURE B, SPECIAL TAX FUNDING
- 18 ALLOCATION FOR TRAUMA, THIS IS-- THIS HAS BEEN BEFORE THE
- 19 BOARD BEFORE. WE HAVE WORKED WITH THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT,
- 20 FIRE CHIEF, CITY FIRE ARE RECOMMENDING THAT THE SHERIFF'S
- 21 DEPARTMENT BE ALLOCATED UP TO, NOT 800,000-- UP TO 800,000
- 22 BASED ON THEIR EXPANSION OF WHAT THEY'RE CURRENTLY DOING. IT
- 23 IS NOT OUR INTENT TO REIMBURSE ANY EXISTING SERVICES OF THE
- 24 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, AND THE REMAINING MONEY, 1.6, WOULD BE
- 25 REIMBURSED TO COUNTY FIRE AND CITY FIRE FOR OPERATIONAL COSTS



- 1 RELATED TO TRAUMA TRANSPORT IN ANTELOPE AND SAN GABRIEL
- 2 VALLEYS AND IT WOULD BE BASED ON ACTUAL SERVICE. WE'RE NOT
- 3 MAKING A RECOMMENDATION ON THIS TIME ON CURRENT YEAR FUNDING,
- 4 '03/'04.

5

- 6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THE ONLY ISSUE I WOULD RAISE, I MEAN, I
- 7 SUPPORT THIS, BUT I DID RECEIVE PHONE CALLS FROM BOTH THE
- 8 COUNTY OF POLICE CHIEF'S ASSOCIATION AS WELL AS THE COUNTY
- 9 FIRE CHIEF'S ASSOCIATION THAT, ALTHOUGH THEY'RE SUPPORTIVE OF
- 10 THIS, AT SOME POINT, IF THERE ARE EXCESS DOLLARS, THEY WANT TO
- 11 MAKE SURE THEY'RE IN THE LOOP ON THESE EXPENSES AS IT RELATES
- 12 TO MEASURE B AND, YOU KNOW, THEIR PARTICIPATION IN THE
- 13 PROGRAM. OKAY. MOVED BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, THE CHAIR WILL
- 14 SECOND. ON ITEM 16, WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

15

- 16 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM 17 IS AN ITEM ON-- SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY
- 17 HAD A MOTION TO BUDGET ON FUNDING CODE ENFORCEMENT UNIT IN
- 18 COUNTY COUNSEL. THAT WAS INCLUDED IN THE CHANGE LETTER, SO YOU
- 19 CAN RECEIVE AND FILE THAT UNLESS YOU WANTED TO BE...

20

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT WAS INCLUDED IN WHAT?

22

23 C.A.O. JANSSEN: IN THE BUDGET. IT IS INCLUDED IN THE BUDGET.

24

25 **SUP. BURKE:** MR. CHAIRMAN?

1

13

15

17

19

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YES. 3 SUP. BURKE: DID THAT REOUIRE THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY TO GIVE 4 5 AUTHORITY OR SOME KIND OF CONSENT? HAS THAT BEEN GIVEN NOW? 6 7 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO, NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE, IT HAS NOT. 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I DON'T KNOW IF THE BUDGET ITEM IS CONTINGENT ON HIS GIVING THAT AUTHORITY. 10 11 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO, I DON'T THINK IT IS. 12

14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THE BUDGET ITEM IS FOR THE COUNTY COUNSEL?

16 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THAT IS CORRECT.

18 SUP. BURKE: SHOULD IT BE CONTINGENT ON AUTHORITY TO DO IT?

20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, I THINK WE HAVE MORE CONTROL OVER THE

- 21 BUDGET OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL THAN WE WOULD OVER AN INDEPENDENT
- 22 ELECTED OFFICIAL SO I'M SATISFIED TO JUST MONITOR THAT AND SEE
- 23 WHAT TRANSPIRES. BUT I THINK IT-- WE WOULD HAVE TO GET SOME
- 24 KIND OF AUTHORITY FROM THE D.A. IN ORDER TO ALLOW EITHER FOR
- 25 THEM TO BE DEPUTIZED OR A COMMITMENT FROM THE D.A. THAT HE'LL



- I ALLOW THEM TO DO THE WORK AND THEY WILL DO THE FILING, ONE WAY
- 2 OR THE OTHER

3

4 SUP. BURKE: CONTRACT.

5

6 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YEAH.

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. OKAY. MOVED BY SUPERVISOR
- 9 YAROSLAVSKY. THE CHAIR WILL SECOND TO RECEIVE AND FILE.
- 10 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

11

- 12 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM NUMBER 18 IS A REPORT ON THE
- 13 TRANSPORTATION OF UNFIT JUVENILES THAT ALSO HAS BEEN FUNDED IN
- 14 THE BUDGET AND CAN BE RECEIVED AND FILED.

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: NUMBER 18, MOVED BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH.
- 17 THE CHAIR WILL SECOND TO RECEIVE AND FILE. WITHOUT OBJECTION,
- 18 SO ORDERED.

19

- 20 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM 19 IS APPROVE THE REVISED FIGURES AS THE
- 21 FINAL BUDGET AND INSTRUCT THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER TO PREPARE
- 22 AND PRESENT THE FINAL BUDGET RESOLUTION FOR ADOPTION.

23

24 MALE VOICE: (INAUDIBLE).

23



```
SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO, ON 19, WE NEED TO WAIT ABOUT FIVE
1
2
   MINUTES?
3
    C.A.O. JANSSEN: YEAH, YOU NEED TO APPROVE THIS NOW. YOU'RE NOT
4
5
   MAKING ANY FURTHER CHANGES TO THE BUDGET SO APPROVE THIS.
   HE'LL BE BACK A LATER ITEM AS...
6
7
8
    SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ON ITEM 20?
9
    C.A.O. JANSSEN: CORRECT.
10
11
12
    SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY.
13
    SUP. BURKE: I'LL MOVE IT.
14
15
16
    SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. MOVED BY SUPERVISOR BURKE. THE CHAIR
17
    WOULD SECOND ON ITEM NUMBER 19.
18
19
    C.A.O. JANSSEN: ACTUALLY, 21 IS THE FINAL BUDGET. ITEM 20 ARE
20
   MISCELLANEOUS...
21
22
    SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.
```



- 1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM 20 ARE MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS AFFECTING
- 2 EVERYTHING FROM THE ARTS COMMISSION TO MUSIC CENTER. THESE ARE
- 3 ROUTINELY ACTED ON EVERY YEAR BY THE BOARD, I BELIEVE.

4

- 5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. ON ITEM NUMBER 20, MOVED BY
- 6 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY. THE CHAIR WILL SECOND. WITHOUT
- 7 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

8

- 9 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ALL RIGHT. THEN THE LAST ITEM IS ITEM 21, AND
- 10 THE AUDITOR INDICATED HE NEEDED JUST-- ARE YOU DONE? THAT WAS
- 11 QUICK.

12

13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: IF WE'RE NOT DONE, THEN WE CAN...

14

15 C.A.O. JANSSEN: HE'S DONE.

16

- 17 SPEAKER: MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, WE HAVE
- 18 SUFFICIENT INFORMATION, ALL THE CHANGES MADE TODAY, TO PREPARE
- 19 THEIR FINAL BUDGET RESOLUTION SO IT CAN BE ACTED ON.

20

21 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THEY CAN ACT ON IT?

22

23 **SPEAKER:** YEAH.

24

25 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YOU DON'T NEED A NUMBER?



1

- 2 SPEAKER: WE LOOK OVER THESE THINGS TWO AND THREE TIMES TO MAKE
- 3 SURE WE GOT EVERY SINGLE ONE OF YOUR CHANGES, BUT WE HAVE ALL
- 4 THE DETAILS FROM TODAY.

5

6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. THEN THE FINAL BUDGET IS BEFORE US.

7

8 SUP. BURKE: I'LL MOVE IT.

9

- 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: AS AMENDED. MOVED BY SUPERVISOR BURKE, THE
- 11 CHAIR WOULD SECOND. WITHOUT OBJECTION-- HUH?

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: FOUR OF THE SUPERVISORS ATTENDED A FUNERAL
- 14 TODAY OF ONE OF OUR DEPUTY SHERIFFS WHO WAS KILLED AND A
- 15 NUMBER OF US HAVE ATTENDED SIMILAR FUNERALS THIS PAST YEAR FOR
- 16 MEMBERS OF THE DEPARTMENT WHO HAVE BEEN KILLED AND WE HAVE
- 17 ALSO ATTENDED THE MEMORIAL SERVICE AT THE SHERIFF'S ACADEMY.
- 18 AND ONE OF THE MOST EFFECTIVE PROGRAMS TO STEM THE CRIME, TO
- 19 TAKE GUNS OUT OF THE HANDS OF KNOWN CRIMINALS, KNOWN GANG
- 20 BANGERS, IS A PROGRAM THAT HAS THE PROBATION AND LOCAL LAW
- 21 ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN. AND, IN FACT, THAT
- 22 PROGRAM WAS SO SUCCESSFUL THAT OVER 60% OF THOSE INDIVIDUALS
- 23 WHO HAVE BEEN CONTACTED HAVE HAD ILLEGAL WEAPONS, FROM ASSAULT
- 24 WEAPONS AND OTHER HANDGUNS AND OTHER TYPES OF ASSAULT WEAPONS.
- 25 IF WE ARE SERIOUS ABOUT GETTING TOUGH ON JUVENILE GANGS, IF WE



- 1 ARE SERIOUS ABOUT GETTING TOUGH ON PROTECTING OUR COMMUNITIES
- 2 AND HELPING OUR MEN AND WOMEN WHO SERVE IN LAW ENFORCEMENT,
- 3 THAT'S A PROGRAM THAT NEEDS TO BE AGGRESSIVELY SUPPORTED. HAD
- 4 WE SUPPORTED THAT PROGRAM, I WOULD HAVE SUPPORTED THE BUDGET,
- 5 BUT THAT PROGRAM CONTINUES TO BE ON A LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEM.
- 6 AND, AS A RESULT OF THAT, I WILL NOT SUPPORT THE BUDGET FOR
- 7 THAT PARTICULAR REASON.

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, MICHAEL, I MEAN, YOU CAN DO WHAT YOU
- 10 WANT TO DO, BUT, I MEAN, BY US MOVING IT TO FUND BALANCE
- 11 CONSIDERATION FOR AMOUNT OF MONEY, YOU KNOW, OF THAT SIZE, I
- 12 THINK WAS AN APPROPRIATE THING FOR US TO DO. THERE ARE SOME OF
- 13 US THAT SUPPORT THAT PROGRAM AS WELL, AND I'M ONE OF THEM, AND
- 14 SO, YOU KNOW, TO-- WHATEVER. THE BUDGET IS BEFORE US IN ITS
- 15 FINAL FORM. AND, SUBJECT TO WHATEVER POINT IN TIME WE DEAL
- 16 WITH THE FUND BALANCE IN SEPTEMBER AND/OR ANYTHING THAT
- 17 HAPPENS IN THE NEXT FEW WEEKS WITH THE STATE,

18

19 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT, WITH THE STATE.

20

- 21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: RIGHT. MOVED BY SUPERVISOR BURKE, SECONDED
- 22 BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY WITH SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH
- 23 OBJECTING, SO ORDERED.

24

25 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.



1	SUP.	KNABE,	CHAIR:	WE'RE	ADJOURNED.
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					



1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE					
2						
3						
4	I, Jennifer A. Hines, Certified Shorthand Reporter Number					
5	6029/RPR/CRR qualified in and for the State of California, do					
6	hereby certify:					
7	That the foregoing transcript of recorded					
8	proceedings was taken on Monday, June 21, 2004, at the time					
9	and place therein set forth and recorded by the Los Angeles					
10	County Board of Supervisors, thereafter transcribed into					
11	typewriting under my direction and supervision;					
12	And I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript					
13	of recorded proceedings is a full, true, and correct					
14	transcript of the recorded proceedings before the Los Angeles					
15	County Board of Supervisors.					
16	I further certify that I am neither counsel for nor					
17	related to any party to said action, nor in anywise interested					
18	in the outcome thereof.					
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this					
20	30th day of June, 2004.					
21						
22						
23 24	(Signature on file) JENNIFER A. HINES					
25	CSR No. 6029/RPR/CRR					